General comment
The US commends the editor for the excellent effort in the disposition of comments in document SC 2 N 3567-1. The dispositions are very clear and the justifications are expressed dispassionately.

Endorsement of Document N 3567-2

Specific comments on draft of FCD 2375
The 24 x 24 code table on page 19 now has the rows and columns correctly labeled.

The US believes that updates requested below will correct the cross-references to the new subclause numbers of clause 13. However, the Editor should verify that all cross-references to clause 13 reflect the renumbering of clause 13.

Clause 5.6
Remove the underline from the first example.

Clause 8
Change from “copyright for a publication” to “copyright for the publication”.

Clause 10.2.2.2
Change from “clause 13.3” to “clauses 13.3 and 13.4”.

Clause 11.4.7
Change cross-reference from 13.7 to 13.6.

Clause 13.3
Remove the last sentence in parentheses.
Rationale: This sentence (a) is redundant with the new first sentence in the paragraph and (b) references an invalid clause number.
Clause 13.5
Change “applies” to “apply”.

Clause 13.6
Correct “regustration” typo.

Clause 14.1
It is unclear from the wording of Clause 14.1 whether the RA-JAC reviews every application for registration or only (a) those which have a mapping and (b) those without a mapping where the RA requests an opinion. This confusion must be clarified by modification of the text, with modification of the flowchart (in new Annex F) if necessary.

The US recommends the following modifications to the wording of Clause 14.1:
- Change “any” to “the”
- Insert “and mapping” between “registration application” and “first”.

Rationale for recommended modification: Requiring the RA-JAC to review every application (as in the current clause 14.1) will unnecessarily delay the processing of every application without a mapping by up to 60 days. The current wording of Clause 14.1 is also inconsistent with Clause 13.5, which states that the procedures in Clause 14 apply (a) only when the application for registration includes a mapping, and (b) the mapping was not created by the subcommittee concerned with coded character sets.

Clause 14.2
Change “any mapping included in an application” to “the mapping”.
Rationale: Consistency with Clause 13.5 and proposed changes to Clause 14.1.

Clause 14.6
Change cross-reference from 13.7 to 13.6.

Clause 14.8
Change “concerns” to “comments”.

Clause 16.1.2 1st bullet, and Clause 16.2 1st bullet
Change from “clause 13.3” to “clauses 13.3 and 13.4”.
Rationale: Based on earlier drafts of 2375, both “clauses 13.3 and 13.4” define appropriate grounds for appeals. [The editor may want to obtain feedback on this issue from the RA.]

Clause 16.4 2nd bullet
Change cross-reference from 13.7 to 13.6.

Clause 19.3.2
Change from “clause 13.8” to “clauses 13.6” and remove the underlining.

Annex F
1) Change the designation of the current Annex F to Annex G.
3) Add “RA”, “RA-JAC”, and “SA” to the legend area of the flowchart.
4) The US is enclosing a refined version of the original flowchart, ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2 N 3567-3, which was submitted to SC 2 and SC 2/WG 3 by Edwin Hart as a personal contribution.

*Renumbered Annex G*
Add a bullet to note that Annex F adds a flowchart to describe the registration procedure.
**Notation**

CCS: Coded character set  
RA: Registration Authority  
RA-JAC: Registration Authority, Joint Advisory Committee  
RA (RA-JAC): RA-JAC may assist the RA in the task  
SA: Sponsoring Authority  
SC CCS: Subcommittee concerned with coded character sets