# L2/02-117

## ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2

# PROPOSAL SUMMARY FORM TO ACCOMPANY SUBMISSIONS FOR ADDITIONS TO THE REPERTOIRE OF ISO/IEC 10646

Please fill all the sections A, B and C below.

(Form number: N2352-F (Original 1994-10-14; Revised 1995-01, 1995-04, 1996-04, 1996-08, 1999-03, 2001-05, 2001-09)

### A. Administrative

#### 1. Title: Additional Characters for Indic Scripts

2. Requester's name: Unicode Technical Committee 3. Requester type (Member body/Liaison/Individual contribution): Liaison 4. Submission date: March 21, 2002 5. Requester's reference (if applicable): 6. (Choose one of the following:) This is a complete proposal: x or, More information will be provided later: **B.** Technical - General 1. (Choose one of the following:) a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters): NO Proposed name of script: b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block: YES Name of the existing block: Devanagari, Bengali, Gurmukhi, Gujarati, Oriya, Kannada 2. Number of characters in proposal: 12 3. Proposed category (see section II, Character Categories): A1 4. Proposed Level of Implementation (1, 2 or 3) (see clause 14, ISO/IEC 10646-1: 2000): З Is a rationale provided for the choice? NO If Yes, reference: 5. Is a repertoire including character names provided? YES a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the 'character naming guidelines in Annex L of ISO/IEC 10646-1: 2000? YES b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? YES, see below 3 0904 DEVANAGARI SHORT LETTER A 5 09BD BENGALI SIGN AVAGRAHA 0A01 GURMUKHI SIGN ADAK BINDI OA03 GURMUKHI SIGN VISARGA a 0A8C GUJARATI LETTER VOCALIC L OAE1 GUJARATI LETTER VOCALIC LL 🔒 0AE2 GUJARATI VOWEL SIGN VOCALIC L 🗧 0AE3 GUJARATI VOWEL SIGN VOCALIC LL In the second secon 9 0B35 ORIYA LETTER VA 0CBC KANNADA SIGN NUKTA OCBD KANNADA SIGN AVAGRAHA

6. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font (ordered preference: True Type, or PostScript format) for publishing the standard? Unicode, Inc. If available now, identify source(s) for the font (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.) and indicate the tools used:

- 7. References:
  - a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? YES
  - b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? NO
- 8. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? NO
- 9. Additional Information: These characters were proposed in "Feedback on Unicode Standard 3.0", an article published in <u>Vishwabhara@tdil</u> (Newsletter of the TDIL Programme of Ministry of Information Technology, Government of India).

#### **C. Technical - Justification**

1	Has this proposal for addition of character(s) been submitt	ed before? <b>NO</b>
-	If YES explain	
2.	Has contact been made to members of the user community (for	example:
	National Body, user groups of the script or characters, other experts, etc.)?	YES
	If YES, with whom? Government of India	IES
	If YES, available relevant documents:	
	http://www.unicode.org/L2/L2001/01304-feedback	pdf
3.	Information on the user community for the proposed characte	
	(for example: size, demographics, information technology us	
	publishing use) is included?	NO
	Reference:	
4.	The context of use for the proposed characters (type of use	;
	common or rare)	COMMON
	Reference:	
5.	Are the proposed characters in current use by the user comm If YES, where? Reference: Various parts of India	unity? <b>YES</b>
6.	After giving due considerations to the principles in <i>Princi</i>	ples and
	Procedures document (a WG 2 standing document) must the pro	
	characters be entirely in the BMP?	YES
	If YES, is a rationale provided? Common use additions to e	xisting blocks
	If YES, reference:	
7.	Should the proposed characters be kept together in a contig	uous range
	(rather than being scattered)?	NO
8.	Can any of the proposed characters be considered a presenta	tion form of an
	existing character or character sequence?	NO
	If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?	
~	If YES, reference:	
9.	Can any of the proposed characters be encoded using a compo	
	sequence of either existing characters or other proposed ch If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?	aracters? NO
	If YES, reference:	
10	. Can any of the proposed character(s) be considered to be s	imilar (in
TO	appearance or function) to an existing character?	NO
	If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?	
	If YES, reference:	
11	. Does the proposal include use of combining characters and/	or use of
	composite sequences (see clauses 4.12 and 4.14	
	in ISO/IEC 10646-1: 2000)?	NO
	If YES, is a rationale for such use provided?	
	If YES, reference:	
	Is a list of composite sequences and their corresponding gl	yph images
	(graphic symbols) provided?	
10	If YES, reference:	ontion such so
	. Does the proposal contain characters with any special prop control function or similar semantics?	
(	JUNCLUL LUNCLIUN UL SIMIIAL SEMANULS:	NO

```
If YES, describe in detail (include attachment if necessary)
13. Does the proposal contain any Ideographic compatibility character(s)? NO
If YES, is the equivalent corresponding unified ideographic character(s)
identified?
If YES, reference:
```