ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2 L PROPOSAL SUMMARY FORM TO ACCOMPANY SUBMISSIONS FOR ADDITIONS TO THE REPERTOIRE OF ISO/IEC 10646

A. Administrative

1. Title:	New Proposal - for Vedic Characters & Symbols
2. Requester's name:	Department of Information Technology,
	Ministry of Communications & IT,
	Government of India
3. Requester type	Member Body
4. Submission date:	26 th February, 2003
5. Requester's reference	
6. (Choose one of the following:)	Required more information will be provided later
This is a complete proposal:	
or,	
More information will be	
provided later:	

B. Technical

 6. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font (ordered preference: True Type, or PostScript format) for publishing the standard? If available now, identify source(s) for the font (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.) and indicate the tools used: 	Department of IT, Government of India
 7. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? 	All the relevant information will be provided
8. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)?	Basic information has been provided in the attachment 'A'
 9. Additional Information: Submitters are invited to provide any additional information about Properties of the proposed Character(s) or Script that will assist in correct understanding of and correct linguistic processing of the proposed character(s) or script. Examples of such properties are: Casing information, Numeric information, Currency information, Display behaviour information such as line breaks, widths etc., Combining behaviour, Spacing behaviour, Directional behaviour, Default Collation behaviour, relevance in Mark Up contexts, Compatibility equivalence and other Unicode normalization related information. 	Detailed information will be provided later

C. Technical - Justification

1. Has this proposal for addition or	No
character(s) been submitted before?	
If YES explain	

2. Has contact been made to members	Yes
of the user community (for example:	
National Body, user groups of the script	
or characters, other experts, etc.)?	
3. Information on the user community	Vedic Sanskrit scholars and linguists
for the proposed characters (for	
example: size, demographics,	
information technology use, or	
C ,	
publishing use) is included?	These sharesters are used in Madia
4. The context of use for the proposed	These characters are used in Vedic
characters (type of use; common or	Sanskrit, and the ancient Indian books.
rare)	
5. Are the proposed characters in	Yes
current use by the user community?	
6. After giving due considerations to	Yes
the principles in <i>Principles and</i>	
Procedures document (a WG 2	
standing document) must the proposed	
characters be entirely in the BMP?	
7. Should the proposed characters be	Yes
kept together in a contiguous range	
(rather than being scattered)?	
8. Can any of the proposed characters	
be considered a presentation form of	
an existing character or character	
sequence?	
9. Can any of the proposed characters	
be encoded using a composed	
character sequence of either existing	
characters or other proposed	
characters?	
10. Can any of the proposed	
character(s) be considered to be	
similar (in appearance or function) to	
an existing character?	
11. Does the proposal include use of	
combining characters and/or use of	
composite sequences	
12. Does the proposal contain	No
characters with any special properties	
such as control function or similar	
semantics?	
13. Does the proposal contain any	
Ideographic compatibility character(s)?	