L2/03-333

**Title: Defect Report on Duplicate Encoded CJK Forms** 

Source: Richard Cook <rscook@unicode.org>

Status: Expert Contribution

Date: 2003-10-6-17:11

Action: For consideration by WG2 and IRG

(A.) The 10646-2 (SIP) forms below (Table 1) are duplicates of 10646-1 (BMP) forms.

**Table 1: Duplicate Encoded CJK Forms** 

Item	Forms	BMP	SIP
(1)	慳	U+34a8	U+20457
(2)	虁	U+8641	U+27144

The duplication in these cases resulted from SIP disunifications of BMP unifications.

- For *Item (1)*: Note the 2 forms unified in 10646-1 at [U+34a8]. The 10646-1 [U+34a8] G-Source form also appears in 10646-2 at [U+20457]. Instead, it is the 10646-1 T-Source form which should appear in 10646-2 at [U+20457].
- For *Item (2)*: Note the 4 forms unified in 10646-1 at [U+8641]. The 10646-1 [U+8641] G-Source (= K-Source) form also appears in 10646-2 at [U+27144]. Instead, it is the 10646-1 T-Source (= J-Source) form which should appear in 10646-2 at [U+27144].

The 10646 forms and *Hanyu Da Zidian* (HDZ) mappings should be revised as follows (Table 2):

Table 2: Revised SIP Forms and Revised HDZ Mappings

Item	Forms	USV	HDZ	Revision
(1A)	傻	U+34a8	10239.060	
(1B)	麀	U+20457	10239.050	← revised form
(2A)	虁	U+8641	53338.110	
(2B)	虁	U+27144	53336.031	← revised form

**(B.)** The manner of resolving the duplication in the following pairs (Table 3) is less apparent.

**Table 3: Duplicate Encoded CJK Forms** 

Item	Forms	BMP	SIP	
(3)	堅		U+249bc	U+249e9
(4)	発		U+204f2	U+23515
(5)	烟	U+3db7	U+2420e	

For Items (3) and (4), it is suggested that the forms be revised as follows (Table 4):

**Table 4: Revised SIP Forms** 

Item	Forms	USV	HDZ	Revision
(3A)	聖	U+249bc		← revised form
(3B)	堅	U+249e9	21130.040	
(4A)	籴	U+204f2	10199.020	
(4B)	棄	U+23515	21235.060	← revised form

For Item (5), the identical forms 姰 [U+3db7]/[U+2420e] map as follows:

烔	[U+3DB7]	kIRGKangXi	0671.081
	[U+3DB7]	kIRG_KSource	3-2A24
	[U+3DB7]	kRSUnicode	86.6
	[U+3DB7]	kTotalStrokes	10
烠	[U+2420E]	kIRGKangXi	0671.081
	[U+2420E]	kIRG_TSource	F-3070
	[U+2420E]	kRSKangXi	86.6

This pair of duplicates can perhaps not be formally resolved.