

## From Unicode discussion

< Malayalam

Cibu C. Johny  
cibu@google.com  
2007-01-30

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Current Status

Unicode 5.0 says the Dot-Reph is <RA, VIRAMA, C>. This encoding produces following unacceptable results:

1. The word കാർയ്യം is rendered in a modern font as കാർയ്യം.
2. The word പാർയ്യതി is rendered in a modern font as പാർയ്യതി.

Instead of the current encoding, author proposes to encode Dot-Reph atomically to meet following objectives:

- n A correct text written using traditional orthography font should get displayed in a modern orthography font (and vice verse) without spelling mistakes or unreadable rendering.
- n Traditional writer should have the flexibility to mix Dot-Reph and Chillu-RA/RRA in the text without switching the font.

## 3 Arguments

### 3.1 Dot-Reph is not same as Chillu-RA/RRA or <RA, VIRAMA>

Dot-reph is not used in modern script. This does not mean that it has been replaced by Chillu-RA/RRA or <RA, VIRAMA>. Instead, modern script devised a different method (transcribe) to write words which had Dot-Reph in them.

Both of the following examples provide the evidence that, equivalences or fall-backs between Dot-Reph and Chillu-RA/RRA or <RA, VIRAMA> are not reliable.

#### 3.1.1 Example 1

A traditional writer using old orthography font, writes /kaaryam/ (meaning: matter, subject) as: കാർയ്യം. All following potential fallbacks for a modern orthography font are incorrect:

- n കാർയ്യം
- n കാർയ്യം
- n കാർയ്യം

That is, the word should be rendered as കാർയ്യം in any type of font.

In this case, the transcribing of <RA, YA, YA> from old orthography to new is made as <RA, YA> to get കാർയ്യം.

### 3.1.2 Example 2

Suppose Dot-Reph is made equivalent to Chillu-RA/RRA and a young writer using modern font writes /paarvathi/ as പാർവതി. A person using traditional (old orthography) font would see the incorrect rendering as പാവതി while he expects പാവൃതി.

In this case, the transcribing of <RA, VA, VA> from old orthography to new is made as <Chillu-RA/RRA, VA>.

## 4 Proposed Solution

### 4.1 Encode Dot-Reph atomically

As of now, there is no reliable mapping between Dot-Reph and Chillu-RA/RRA or RA\_dead. Transcribing is the only option between traditional and modern texts. So the words with Dot-Reph should be displayed as it is, irrespective of the nature of font. That is guaranteed only by encoding Dot-Reph.

This will give complete control for the writer to choose the style he want. This paradigm is similar to the decision to independently encode Chillu-RA/RRA.

#### 4.1.1 Problems

Need to separate Dot-Reph from its base character RA and Chillu-RA/RRA.

## 5 Alternate Solution

### 5.1 Mandate Dot-Reph implementation in a font

Unicode can mandate a Dot-Reph implementation in a Malayalam font. Thus following produce Dot-Reph in any Malayalam font.

```
n <ൠ, VIRAMA, ഘ, VIRAMA, ഘ>
n <ൠ, VIRAMA, ഘ, VIRAMA, ഘ>
n <ൠ, VIRAMA, ഘ, VIRAMA, ഘ>
n ...
```

This would not conflict with Chillu-RA/RRA since it is being atomically encoded.

Retrieved from "<http://unicode.wikia.com/wiki/Malayalam/Dot-Reph>"

---

```
n
n This page was last modified 2007-01-30 21:25:37.
```

```
n About Wikia
n Terms of use
n Advertise
n 
n 
```

Wikia is a service mark of Wikia, Inc. All rights reserved.