Title: Addition of seven CJK Unified Ideographs From: Ken Lunde and Eric Muller, Adobe Systems Inc

Date: February 8, 2007

The seven CJK ideographs proposed here are present in the K-JIS and Sha-ken character collections. The K-JIS collection is developed by 共同通信社 and 配信先新聞社 for writing newspaper articles in Japan. The Sha-ken collection is part of a proprietary typesetting system widely used in Japan. These characters are also present in the Adobe-Japan1 collection, which is the basis for many desktop fonts, and at the time of this proposal are the only characters of that collection not present in Unicode / ISO/IEC 10646.

Glyph	Source collection	USource	Adobe-Japan1 CID	Proposed code point
增	K-JIS #4431	UTC00836	15431	U+9FBC
龽	K-JIS #2191	UTC00835	15429	U+9FBD
龾	K-JIS #5304	UTC00837	15434	U+9FBE
龿	Sha-ken Index 7666	UTC00838	20068	U+9FBF
蘑	Sha-ken Index 7614	UTC00839	20069	U+9FC0
洪	Sha-ken Index 7163	UTC00840	20070	U+9FC1
鵏	Sha-ken Index 7907	UTC00841	20071	U+9FC2

We propose to encode those seven characters in the CJK Unified Ideographs block, at positions U+9FBC through U+9FC2.

At its last meeting, the IRG did not object to the fast-tracking of those characters, nor to their inclusion in Amendment 4. However, the IRG asked that those seven characters not be interleaved in Extension C, hence the proposed code points.

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2

PROPOSAL SUMMARY FORM TO ACCOMPANY SUBMISSIONS FOR ADDITIONS TO THE REPERTOIRE OF ISO/IEC 106461

Please fill all the sections A, B and C below.

Please read Principles and Procedures Document (P & P) from http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/principles.html for guidelines and details before filling this form.

Please ensure you are using the latest Form from http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html.

See also http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html.

A. Administrative

1. Title: Addition of seven CJK Unified Ideographs						
2. Requester's name: Adobe Systems						
3. Requester type (Member body/Liaison/Individual contribution): Individual contribution						
4. Submission date: February 8, 2007						
5. Requester's reference (if applicable):6. Choose one of the following:						
This is a complete proposal: Yes						
(or) More information will be provided later:						
B. Technical – General						
1. Choose one of the following:						
a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters):						
Proposed name of script:						
b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block: Yes						
Name of the existing block: CJK Unified Ideographs Extension A						
2. Number of characters in proposal:						
3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document):						
A-Contemporary x B.1-Specialized (small collection) B.2-Specialized (large collection)						
C-Major extinct D-Attested extinct E-Minor extinct						
F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols						
4. Proposed Level of Implementation (1, 2 or 3) (see Annex K in P&P document):						
Is a rationale provided for the choice? no						
If Yes, reference:						
5. Is a repertoire including character names provided? yes						
a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines"						
in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? yes yes						
6. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font (ordered preference: True Type, or PostScript format) for publishing the standard? TrueType, Adobe Systems						
publishing the standard? <u>TrueType, Adobe Systems</u> If available now, identify source(s) for the font (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.) and indicate the tools						
used:						
7. References:						
a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? Yes						
b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources)						
of proposed characters attached?						
8. Special encoding issues:						
Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input,						
presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)?						
9. Additional Information:						
Submitters are invited to provide any additional information about Properties of the proposed Character(s) or Script						
that will assist in correct understanding of and correct linguistic processing of the proposed character(s) or script. Examples of such properties are: Casing information, Numeric information, Currency information, Display behaviour						
information such as line breaks, widths etc., Combining behaviour, Spacing behaviour, Directional behaviour, Default						
Collation behaviour, relevance in Mark Up contexts, Compatibility equivalence and other Unicode normalization						
related information. See the Unicode standard at http://www.unicode.org for such information on other scripts. Also						
see http://www.unicode.org/Public/UNIDATA/UCD.html and associated Unicode Technical Reports for information						
needed for consideration by the Unicode Technical Committee for inclusion in the Unicode Standard.						

¹ Form number: N3002-F (Original 1994-10-14; Revised 1995-01, 1995-04, 1996-04, 1996-08, 1999-03, 2001-05, 2001-09, 2003-11, 2005-01, 2005-09, 2005-10)

C. Technical - Justification

O. FORMANIA CHARACTER CONTRACTOR					
Has this proposal for addition of character(s) been submitted before? If YES explain	No				
2. Has contact been made to members of the user community (for example: National Body,					
user groups of the script or characters, other experts, etc.)?	No				
If YES, with whom?					
If VCC, available relevant decuments					
3. Information on the user community for the proposed characters (for example:					
	A I =				
size, demographics, information technology use, or publishing use) is included?	No				
Reference:					
	rare				
	Voc				
5. Are the proposed characters in current use by the user community?	Yes				
If YES, where? Reference: K-JIS and Sha-ken					
6. After giving due considerations to the principles in the P&P document must the proposed characters to					
in the BMP?	no				
If YES, is a rationale provided?					
If YES, reference:					
7. Should the proposed characters be kept together in a contiguous range (rather than being scatter	rod)2 wos				
	eu): yes				
8. Can any of the proposed characters be considered a presentation form of an existing					
character or character sequence?	no				
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?					
If YES, reference:					
9. Can any of the proposed characters be encoded using a composed character sequence of either					
	no				
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?					
If YES, reference:					
10. Can any of the proposed character(s) be considered to be similar (in appearance or function)					
to an existing character?	no				
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?					
If VES reference:					
11. Does the proposal include use of combining characters and/or use of composite sequences?	no				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	110				
If YES, is a rationale for such use provided?					
If YES, reference:					
Is a list of composite sequences and their corresponding glyph images (graphic symbols) prov	/ided?				
If YES, reference:					
12. Does the proposal contain characters with any special properties such as	-				
	no				
If YES, describe in detail (include attachment if necessary)					
	<u>-</u>				
13. Does the proposal contain any Ideographic compatibility character(s)?	no				
If YES, is the equivalent corresponding unified ideographic character(s) identified?					
10,450					
If YES, reference:	-				