

Title: Proposed Change to Proposal Summary Form  
Source: Deborah Anderson, Script Encoding Initiative, UC Berkeley  
Action: For consideration by UTC and WG2  
Status: Liaison Contribution  
Date: 18 October 2009

This document proposes modifying two questions of the "ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2 Proposal Summary Form to Accompany Submissions for Additions to the Repertoire of ISO/IEC 10646" regarding fonts.

The current wording on questions 5a and 5b of the Proposal Summary Form has created confusion:

Does question 5a, "Who will provide the ... font..for publishing the standard?" refer to the person who will send the font to the Editor (who may or may not be the creator of the font), or does it refer only to the creator of the font?

Does the "source(s) for the font" in question 5b refer to the person providing the font for publishing the standard or to the original creator of the font?

In order to clarify the intention of the questions, the following modifications are proposed:

Current:

5a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font (ordered preference: True Type, or PostScript format) for publishing the standard?

Proposed modification:

5a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard?

Note: A font must be provided to the Editor promptly after the characters have been approved by WG2 for use in printing the charts. If a font is not provided, the Editor cannot include the glyphs in the charts and, as a result, the repertoire of characters corresponding to these glyphs will not be included in draft amendments. Fonts must be in one of the following formats (in preferential order): OpenType, TrueType, Postscript Type 1.

Current:

5b. If available now, identify source(s) for the font (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.) and indicate the tools used:

Proposed modification:

5b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.):