Universal Multiple-Octet Coded Character Set International Organization for Standardization Organisation Internationale de Normalisation Международная организация по стандартизации

Doc Type: Working Group Document

Title: Proposal to encode a Subscript Solidus in the UCS

Source: German NB Author: Karl Pentzlin

Status: National Body Contribution

Action: For consideration by JTC1/SC2/WG2 and UTC

Date: 2011-01-17

Block: Superscripts and Subscripts

```
/ U+208F SUBSCRIPT SOLIDUS
≈ <sub> 002F solidus
```

Properties:

208F; SUBSCRIPT SOLIDUS; Sm; 0; ON; < sub> 002F; ;;; N; ;;;

The "subscript solidus" was proposed before (N2788 = L2/04-191 of 2004-06-07) by Deborah Anderson and Michael Everson, based on its use by Indo-Europeanists (see fig. 1). It was the only character contained in that proposal which was not accepted by UTC #99 (without documenting the reason for that decision in the minutes L2/04-156R2).

The character is reproposed here, as additional evidence was found for its use in plain text (see fig. 2 and 3), from an area complete different from linguistics.

There is a German standard DIN EN 13501 for the classification of fire protecting doors which specifies how doors are to be identified regarding to fire and smoke protection requirements, to get the European CE conformance mark. There, fire protection is to be marked as " $I_{1/2}$ " (or more specific as " I_1 " or " I_2 " to denote one of the two specific method of proof of the fire protection property referenced there). Likewise, smoke protection is to be marked by " $S_{a/m}$ " (or more specific as " S_a " or " S_m " to denote one of the two specific ways of smoke protection referenced there).

Such use of the subscripted "1/2" and "a/m" is definitely plain text.

Therefore (while the subscripted "1", "2", "a", "m" are already encoded), the "subscript solidus" is needed here as an encoded character.

The author can confirm this directly from his professional work, where he develops software for calculating and administrating commercial offers for such building supplies. There, text blocks have to be managed describing the items offered in detail (thus containing " $I_{1/2}$ " or " $S_{a/m}$ "), which are exchanged by interfaces to different proprietary software modules using these text blocks in call for bids, order confirmations, etc. None of these software modules, being designed for commercial and not for aesthetic purposes, is in any way capable to deal with any kind of higher level protocol to insert such texts into commercial documents—only true plain text can be processed.

(At this time, the problem is eluded by writing " I_1/I_2 " and " S_a/S_m " instead of " $I_{1/2}$ " and " $S_{a/m}$ ", which is tolerated as a temporary stopgap although recognized as incorrect.)

Examples and Figures

Fig. 1: Proposal to encode six Indo-Europeanist phonetic characters in the UCS (Deborah Anderson and Michael Everson 2004-06-07). ISO/IEC SC2/WG2 document N2788; UTC document L2/04-191.

Excerpt from p.3, showing the examples of the SUBSCRIPT SOLIDUS as proposed there.

the wrong laryngeal: *h_{1/3}al-u-t-1

Figure 10. Subscripted _/ is used between two numbers indicating the laryngeal, as in *h_{1/3}al-u-t- here. Mark Southern. 1999. *Subgrammatical Survival: Indo-European s-mobile and its Regeneration in Germanic*. (Journal of Indo-European Studies Monograph; 34) Washington, DC: Institute for the Study of Man. Page 124.

IE *- ∂ - (a combination of a *H with a reduced vowel) > SA *-a- in the middle of a word: HLuw. ti-wali-tarali- (daughter), Lyc. $kbatr-a^{17}$ < IE * $dbngbH(_{ca})ter$, Skt. dubitar-, Gk. $\partial vy\acute{e}\tau\eta\rho$, Toch. B $tk\bar{a}cer$, oblique

Figure 11. Subscripted f is used between two letters indicating the laryngeal, as in *dhug'h $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{e}/\mathbf{o})$ ter here

Vyacheslav Ivanov. 2001. "Southern Anatolian and Northern Anatolian". in *Greater Anatolia and the Indo-Hittite Language Family: Papers presented at a colloquium hosted by the University of Richmond, March 18-19, 2000*, ed. Robert Drews, (Journal of Indo-European Studies Monograph; 38) Washington, DC: Institute for the Study of Man. Page 134.

Fig. 2: Spezialtüren Handbuch 2012 (special doors compendium 2012), a book supplied by a leading German manufacturer of building supplies (Schörghuber Spezialtüren KG, Ampfing, Germany) to its customers and to architects.

Excerpt from p.8, introducing the standards relevant for fire protection doors.

Die Klassifizierung erfolgt gemäß DIN EN 13501-2 mit folgenden Bezeichnungen:

E Raumabschluß

Wärmedämmung (bei Feuerschutztüren mit Index 1 oder 2)

Dauerhaftigkeit der Selbstschließung (C0 – C5)

Fig. 3: ibid., excerpt from p.150, introducing the standards relevant for smoke protection doors.

Die Klassifizierung erfolgt gemäß DIN EN 13501-2 mit folgenden Bezeichnungen:

S_{a/m}

Rauchdichtheit (mit Index a oder m)

Dauerhaftigkeit der Selbstschließung (C0 - C5)

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2

PROPOSAL SUMMARY FORM TO ACCOMPANY SUBMISSIONS FOR ADDITIONS TO THE REPERTOIRE OF ISO/IEC 10646.1

Please fill all the sections A, B and C below.

Please read Principles and Procedures Document (P & P) from http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/principles.html for guidelines and details before filling this form.

Please ensure you are using the latest Form from http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html.

See also http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html.

See also http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html.

A. Administrative		
1. Title: Proposal to encode a Subscript Solidus in the UCS		
2. Requester's name: German NB		
Requester's name: German NB Requester type (Member body/Liaison/Individual contribution): Member Body Cont	ribution	
4. Submission date: 2011-01-16		
5. Requester's reference (if applicable):		
6. Choose one of the following:		
	Yes	
(or) More information will be provided later:		
B. Technical – General		
1. Choose one of the following:		
a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters):	No	
Proposed name of script:		
	Voo	
b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block:	res	
Name of the existing block: Superscripts and Subscripts		
2. Number of characters in proposal:	1	
1		
3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document):	I = -4! \	
A-Contemporary X B.1-Specialized (small collection) B.2-Specialized (large coll	lection)	
C-Major extinct D-Attested extinct E-Minor extinct		
F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic G-Obscure or questionable usage	e symbols	
4. Is a repertoire including character names provided?	Yes	
	703	
a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines"	V	
in Annex L of P&P document?	Yes	
b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review?	Yes	
5. Fonts related:		
a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for public	shing the	
standard?	orming the	
Not necessary, as the representative glyph can be derived from the existing character U+0	002F SOLIDIUS	
b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-m	vail ftp cito oto \:	
b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the folia by the editors (include address, e-m	all, hp-site, etc.).	
,		
6. References:		
a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided?	Yes	
b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other s		
7. Special encoding issues:		
Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as		
presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information	on)? <i>No</i>	
8. Additional Information:		
	ractor(a) or Coriet	
Submitters are invited to provide any additional information about Properties of the proposed Char		
that will assist in correct understanding of and correct linguistic processing of the proposed charac		
Examples of such properties are: Casing information, Numeric information, Currency information, I		
information such as line breaks, widths etc., Combining behaviour, Spacing behaviour, Directional	behaviour, Default	
Collation behaviour, relevance in Mark Up contexts, Compatibility equivalence and other Unicode		
related information. See the Unicode standard at http://www.unicode.org for such information on		
see http://www.unicode.org/Public/UNIDATA/UCD.html and associated Unicode Technical Report	ts for information	
needed for consideration by the Unicode Technical Committee for inclusion in the Unicode Standa		

Form number: N3702-F (Original 1994-10-14; Revised 1995-01, 1995-04, 1996-04, 1996-08, 1999-03, 2001-05, 2001-09, 2003-11, 2005-01, 2005-09, 2005-10, 2007-03, 2008-05, 2009-11)

C. Technical - Justification

1. Has this proposal for addition of character(s) been submitted before?	Yes	
If YES explain No decision was taken at it in N2788 = L2/04-191, new evidence is provided	here (see text)	
2. Has contact been made to members of the user community (for example: National Body,		
user groups of the script or characters, other experts, etc.)?	Yes	
If YES, with whom? The author himself is a member of the user community	(see text)	
If YES, available relevant documents:		
3. Information on the user community for the proposed characters (for example:		
size, demographics, information technology use, or publishing use) is included?	see text	
Reference:		
	see text	
Reference:		
	Yes	
If YES, where? Reference: see text		
6. After giving due considerations to the principles in the P&P document must the proposed characters		
in the BMP?	Yes	
If YES, is a rationale provided?	Yes	
If YES, reference: To keep it in line with related characters		
7. Should the proposed characters be kept together in a contiguous range (rather than being scattered)?		
8. Can any of the proposed characters be considered a presentation form of an existing	A.I	
character or character sequence?	No	
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?		
If YES, reference:		
9. Can any of the proposed characters be encoded using a composed character sequence of either	No	
existing characters or other proposed characters?	NO	
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?		
If YES, reference:		
10. Can any of the proposed character(s) be considered to be similar (in appearance or function)	No	
to an existing character?	740	
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?		
If YES, reference:	No	
11. Does the proposal include use of combining characters and/or use of composite sequences?	No	
If YES, is a rationale for such use provided?		
If YES, reference: Is a list of composite sequences and their corresponding glyph images (graphic symbols) prov	idad?	
If VEC reference:		
12. Does the proposal contain characters with any special properties such as		
	No	
If YES, describe in detail (include attachment if necessary)	710	
ii 125, describe iii detaii (iiicidde attaciiiileiit ii flecessary)		
13. Does the proposal contain any Ideographic compatibility character(s)?	No	
If YES, is the equivalent corresponding unified ideographic character(s) identified?	740	
If VEO and an area		
IT YES, reference:		