

Draft

Unicode Technical Report #49

UNICODE CHARACTER CATEGORIES

| Editors | Ken Whistler |
|------------------|---|
| Date | 2011-07-12 |
| This Version | http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr49/tr49-2.html |
| Previous Version | http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr49/tr49-1.html |
| Latest Version | http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr49/ |
| Revision | <u>2</u> |

Summary

This document presents an approach to the categorization of Unicode characters, and documents a data file that implementers can use for defining Unicode character categories.

Status

This document is a draft document which may be updated, replaced, or superseded by other documents at any time. Publication does not imply endorsement by the Unicode Consortium. This is not a stable document; it is inappropriate to cite this document as other than a work in progress.

A Unicode Technical Report (UTR) contains informative material. Conformance to the Unicode Standard does not imply conformance to any UTR. Other specifications, however, are free to make normative references to a UTR.

Please submit corrigenda and other comments with the online reporting form [Feedback]. Related information that is useful in understanding this document is found in the References. For the latest version of the Unicode Standard see [Unicode]. For a list of current Unicode Technical Reports see [Reports]. For more information about versions of the Unicode Standard, see [Versions].

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Character Categories
 - 2.1 <u>Hierarchical Typology</u>
 - 2.2 Implementation by Annotation and Merging
 - 2.2 Names for Categories

- 2.3 Display Labels for Categories
- 2.4 Informative Status of the Categories
- 3 Data Files
 - 3.1 Maintenance of Data Files

References Acknowledgements Modifications

1 Introduction

One problem that has often been considered is how to extract good "categories" for Unicode characters out of the Unicode names list. This goal is occasioned, for example, by the need to develop new character picker applications, which organize characters into groups that will make sense for people searching for characters in graphic panes or other UI elements.

The problem is two-fold. First, the existing machine-readable data files in the Unicode Character Database [UCD] do not provide a fine enough categorization to meet the requirements of such applications. For example, the General_Category property distinguishes letters from combining marks and punctuation and symbols, but it doesn't drill down to the next level: independent vowel letters versus consonants versus matras; or game symbols versus map symbols versus zodiacal symbols versus dingbats, and so on. Second, people who need that kind of finer detail of categorization have generally been attempting to extract it by making use of the editorial subheaders used in the printing of the Unicode names list, figuring that that information is better than nothing and assuming that doing the finer-level classification from scratch would be prohibitively complex.

However, the subheaders in the Unicode names list have always been editorial content aimed primarily at structuring the code charts for display, and are not particularly well-suited to a systematic categorization of Unicode characters in any context more extensive than considering characters visually displayed one chart at a time. Efforts to revise the subheaders to make them work better for machine-extracted categorization of Unicode characters from the Unicode names list are counterproductive. The subheaders would not work very well if reorganized that way, and the net result would be a significant deterioration of the editorial content of the code charts.

The existing subheaders also often group characters which other applications might want to distinguish. For example, the header for the range U+2600..U+260D is "Weather and astrological symbols". But we can do much better, distinguishing more precisely those which are weather symbols, such as U+2602 UMBRELLA, those which are astrological symbols, such as U+260A ASCENDING NODE, and those which really are not either, such as U+2606 WHITE STAR.

What is needed to address the general problem is an approach that focuses on the character category distinctions needed by such applications, without being entangled with the editorial requirements for the Unicode names list maintenance. This document presents such an approach, and documents the resulting data file that implementers can use for defining further refining of

Unicode character categories for particular applications.

2 Character Categories

This section describes the approach taken in this report for the provision of a set of usable categories for Unicode characters.

2.1 Hierarchical Typology

The current scheme of categorization uses a hierarchical typology. Such a scheme assumes that each category provided may itself be further subdivided at another level into more subcategories. Each subcategorization is, in principle, independent of the subcategorization of other categories. Thus, for example, how one might want to subcategorize letters would typically be quite distinct from how one might most usefully subcategorize punctuation marks. This approach departs from the structure of partition properties for Unicode characters, such as the General_Category property itself. A partition property assumes a single dimension of semantic applicability, and then assigns every character a single value within that dimension. Such a character property is easy to implement, but as users of the General_Category property well know, the drawback of such partitions for categorization is their rigidity and the inability to deal with edge cases and nuances.

The approach to categorization taken here makes no assumption that any particular level of the hierarchical subcategorization has any fixed significance. A third-level subcategorization of a punctuation mark might involve rather different salient distinctions than a third-level subcategorization of symbols, for example. The typology basically starts with first-level categories roughly based on the General_Category property, but then may diverge arbitrarily on a category-by-category basis, depending on what is most useful for distinguishing characters.

There is no assumption that all levels have to be specified for all characters. Categories defined this way can be extensible based on what level of detail people find useful to maintain for various characters. There is also no assumption that there is actually a single correct solution for categorization. The categorization may be modified and improved over time. Furthermore, it should be expected that actual implementations will merely start with categories in the data file and run with them, to provide whatever additional changes or refinements are needed in their particular domain.

These general principles are illustrated in part by the following examples, for several different major categories. For example, for letters:

```
Letter > Vowel

Letter > Vowel > Dependent (i.e. Indic matras)

Letter > Consonant > Dependent > Subjoined
```

For symbols:

```
Symbol

Symbol > Graphic

Symbol > Technical

Symbol > Technical > Keyboard

Symbol > Arrow

Symbol > Arrow > Harpoon

Symbol > Arrow > Harpoon > Double
```

For punctuation marks:

```
Punctuation > Space

Punctuation > Quotation

Punctuation > Bracket

Punctuation > Bracket > CJK
```

Currently the categorization makes use of four levels of typology hierarchy, but this approach could easily be extended to five (or more), if finer levels of distinction for some groups of characters proves to be desirable. For example, arrows could be further subcategorized based on their shapes and orientations.

2.2 Implementation by Annotation and Merging

2.2 Names for Categories

Note: The names currently in the data file are provisional. It is expected that there will be further changes, corrections, and/or subdivisions proposed during the review of the data.

- Each label should have a name that is meaningful in isolation. E.g. "Western Music". not "Western".
- Labels should be the same (or nearly) only when they really mean the same thing.
- Labels that mean the same thing (or nearly) should be the same.
- Labels should not be "empty"; that is, if a category further down the hierarchy is given a label, an intermediate level should not be missing a label. (This will simplify algorithmic processing of the categories in the data file.)

Each level of hierachical categorization is given a conventional name, such as "Letter" or "Symbol" for the highest level, or "Game", "Technical", "Weather", "Astrological", and so for, for various sub-levels. As far as possible, such names are drawn from actual practice in the Unicode Standard and in the UTC committee practice in referring to various groups of characters.

There are no "empty" intermediate levels. Thus, for instance, if a name is given

in the date file for a fourth level subcategorization for a particular character, there will also always be explicit names given at the first, second, and third level of categories for that character.

2.3 Display Labels for Categories

Because of the way the hierarchical categorization works, and the way in which names are chosen for the subcategories, it is always possible to create unique identifiers for each terminal subcategory in the hierarchy, simply by concatenating the level names together. Thus, for example, one could have identifiers such as "Letter_Consonant_Dependent_Subjoined" or "Symbol_Technical_Keyboard". However, while unique, such identifiers are not particularly felicitous as display labels for subcategories.

Certainly, implementers can apply whatever display labels make sense for their particular context. However, to make the starting point somewhat easier, suggested display labels are also supplied in a data file. A display label is provided for each unique, hierarchical subcategory. The display labels are created with the following principles in mind:

- Each display label is meaningful in isolation.
- Display labels are the same (or nearly) only when they really mean the same thing.
- As much as possible, display labels follow common English practice in referring to identified groups of characters, to avoid creating new, artificial terminology that would be difficult to translate.

Although these principles are generally adhered to, some of the categorial distinctions between Unicode characters are rather technical in nature. Also, there are many characters in the Unicode Standard for writing systems which are mostly unfamiliar to the English-speaking world. In such cases, it is occasionally unavoidable that technical terminology ends up in the list of suggested display labels.

2.4 Informative Status of the Categories

The categories defined in the data file are informative, and may be changed or augmented in the future. This distinguishes them from the General_Category character property, which is normative and rather constrained in how it can be changed.

The first key here is staying flexible, so that the classification can be extended and modified easily in the future, as may prove suitable. Using an annotation approach and then programmatic merging with UnicodeData.txt makes it very easy to assign new subtypes or to change or subdivide ranges already assigned to types and subtypes, without having to do extensive modification of files that give explicit listings of values for each character.

The second key is corollary to the first: this *must* not turn into another normative data file and/or normative set of property values. That is the trap that has always afflicted the General_Category property and which makes it

useless for this kind of finer-level categorization of Unicode characters.

3 Data Files

The basic categories data is available in a data file [Data] called Categories.txt. That data file contains a listing of all Unicode characters other than CJK unified ideographs and Hangul syllables, giving informative category values at up to four levels of hierarchical assignment.

The data is formatted in tab-delimited fields, suitable for spreadsheet import. Once in a spreadsheet, the data can easily be further manipulated to whatever end an implementer needs.

The field values, along with a sample of the particular category values are shown below.

| Code | GC | Level1 | Level2 | Level3 | Level4 | Name |
|------|----|-----------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 23CE | So | Symbol | Technical | Keyboard | | RETURN SYMBOL |
| 2460 | No | Symbol | Number | Circled | | CIRCLED DIGIT ONE |
| 25CB | So | Symbol | Geometric | | | WHITE CIRCLE |
| 2602 | So | Symbol | Weather | | | UMBRELLA |
| 260A | So | Symbol | Astrological | | | ASCENDING NODE |
| 2660 | So | Symbol | Game | Playing card | l Suit | BLACK SPADE SUIT |
| 266D | So | Symbol | Music | Western | Accidental | MUSIC FLAT SIGN |
| 2FBD | So | Ideograph | Radical | СЈК | Kangxi | KANGXI RADICAL HAIR |
| A869 | Lo | Letter | Consonant | | | PHAGS-PA LETTER TTA |

Note: For debugging and review, the current data file brackets each label, so that the values, including spaces, are easier to see and compare. The brackets are not part of the actual category values. So for example, an entry will currently appear as follows:

| 2660 So [| Symbol] | [Game] | [Playing | card] | [X] | BLACK | SPADE | SUIT |
|-----------|---------|--------|----------|-------|-----|-------|-------|------|

Also for debugging and review purposes, empty values in unspecified fields are listed as "[X]", rather than as a blank. These conventions are only temporary, to assist review when viewing this data in browsers or editors, and are not intended to be used in the actual data file in the future.

The display label data is is available in a data file [Data] called CategoryLabels.txt. This data file contains two tab-delimited fields. The first field contains a constructed identifier for each unique subcategory currently defined in Categories.txt. The second field contains a suggested display label for that subcategory. For example:

| Subcategory | Identifier | Subcategory | Display | Label |
|-------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------|
| | | | | |

```
Letter_Consonant

...

Letter_Consonant_Dependent_Subjoined Subjoined Consonant

...

Symbol_Arrow_Harpoon Harpoon

...

Symbol_Game_Playing_card Playing Card Symbol

...

Symbol_Music_Western Western Musical Symbol

...

Symbol_Technical_Keyboard Keyboard Symbol
```

3.1 Maintenance of Data Files

The approach taken to maintaining this hierarchical typology reuses technology which is currently designed for maintenance of the Unicode names list. In particular, category assignments are treated as annotations over ranges of characters. The annotation file can is then be maintained completely independently of the detailed, character-by-character listing files that are part of the UCD—most importantly, UnicodeData.txt. In this way, the annotation information (and the associated development and refinement of categorial assignments) can be is version-agnostic, and is not required to be updated in lockstep with each version of the Unicode Standard.

The program that is used to maintain annotations for the Unicode names list has been modified slightly, and is now used for an automated merger of categorial annotations file with particular versions of the UnicodeData.txt file, producing as output a structured data file containing categorial information about all Unicode characters, with an explicit listing for each separate character, including its code point and Unicode character name.

Categorial information about CJK unified ideographs and Hangul syllables. Categorial information about CJK unified ideographs is better handled by other means, and in particular by the Unihan database. The 11,172 Hangul syllables do not have useful categorial distinctions in the sense relevant to other Unicode characters, so including all of them explicitly as part of a category listing would simply be redundant and verbose.

The merged data is in a suitable format for direct import into a spreadsheet. Once in a spreadsheet, the data can easily be further manipulated to whatever end an implementer needs *Section 3, Data File*, for a specification of the format in detail.

References

Note: Once this report is approved, the data files will move to a versioned directory under http://www.unicode.org/Public/categories/

[Errata] Updates and errata to the Unicode Standard, as well as other technical standards developed by the Unicode Consortium can be found at http://www.unicode.org/errata/

[Feedback]Reporting Errors and Requesting Information Online http://www.unicode.org/reporting.html

[FAQ] Unicode Frequently Asked Questions

http://www.unicode.org/faq/

For answers to common questions on technical issues.

[Glossary] Unicode Glossary

http://www.unicode.org/glossary/

For explanations of terminology used in this and other documents.

[Reports] Unicode Technical Reports

http://www.unicode.org/reports/

For information on the status and development process for technical reports, and for a list of technical reports.

[Stability] Unicode Character Encoding Stability Policy http://www.unicode.org /policies/stability_policy.html

[UCD] Unicode Character Database, http://www.unicode.org/ucd/
For an overview of the Unicode Character Database and a list of its associated files

[Unicode] The Unicode Standard

For the latest version see: http://www.unicode.org/versions/latest/

[UTC] The Unicode Technical Committee, see http://www.unicode.org /consortium/utc.html for more information on procedures.

[UTR23] Unicode Technical Report #23: *The Unicode Character Property Model*, http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr23/

[Versions] Versions of the Unicode Standard, http://www.unicode.org/standard/versions/

For information on version numbering, and citing and referencing the Unicode Standard, the Unicode Character Database, and Unicode Technical Reports.

Acknowledgements

TBD

Modifications

Revision 2 [KW]

- Restructuring of Section 2, to distinguish names for category levels from display labels for each subcategory.
- Introduction of new CategoryLabels.txt data file.
- Further updates to data file based on feedback.
- Minor editorial updates.
- Updated to Draft status.

Revision 1 [KW]

- Data file updated to Unicode 6.0 and tweaked based on feedback.
- Initial proposed Draft.

Copyright © 2011 Unicode, Inc. All Rights Reserved. The Unicode Consortium makes no expressed or implied warranty of any kind, and assumes no liability for errors or omissions. No liability is assumed for incidental and consequential damages in connection with or arising out of the use of the information or programs contained or accompanying this technical report. The Unicode <u>Terms of Use</u> apply.

Unicode and the Unicode logo are trademarks of Unicode, Inc., and are registered in some jurisdictions.