UCA spec bugs

Author: Markus Scherer Date: 2012-mar-15

3.7 Well-Formed Collation Element Tables

Point 2 says

All Level N weights in Level N-1 ignorables must be strictly less than all weights in Level N-2 ignorables.

For example, secondaries in non-ignorables must be strictly less than those in primary ignorables: Given collation elements [C, D, E] and [0, A, B], where $C \neq 0$ and $A \neq 0$, Dmust be less than A.

This is a contradiction. For N=2, the condition statement says "secondary weights in primary ignorables must be strictly less than all weights in non-ignorables" which is wrong and contradicts the example.

The correct statement is "All Level N weights in Level N-2 ignorables must be strictly less than all weights in Level N-1 ignorables."

Note from Ken Whistler: This contradiction has been an error all the way back to its introduction in Version 9 of UCA (= UCD 3.1.0 with Corrigendum 3) nearly 10 years ago.

6.10.1 Collation Element Format & 6.10.2 Sample Code

a)

The CE layout has

- expansionsOffset
 - 12 bits = FFF
 - 20 bits = offset (allows for 1,048,576 items)
- contractionsOffset
 - 12 bits = FFE
 - 20 bits = offset (allows for 1,048,576 items)

```
but the sample code has
```

```
void processCE(int ce) {
    if (ce < 0xFFF00000) {
        output[outputPos++] = ce;
    } else if (ce >= 0xFFE00000) {
        copyExpansions(ce & 0x7FFFFF);
    } else {
        searchContractions(ce & 0x7FFFFF);
    }
}
```

which neither matches, nor works at all.

```
For the code to match the CE bits, it would have to be
```

```
void processCE(int ce) {
    if (ce < 0xFFE00000) {
        output[outputPos++] = ce;
    } else if (ce >= 0xFFF00000) {
        copyExpansions(ce & 0xFFFFFF);
    } else {
        searchContractions(ce & 0xFFFFFF);
    }
}
```

b)

void searchContractions(int offset)

- Does not handle discontiguous contractions. That should at least be noted.
- Skips or reads the backwardsOffset from input rather than from contractionMatches.
- Reads the length entry again as a cc character rather than skipping it.
- Has some code to do backwards matching but it only ever reads input[inputPos++]. Given that none of the rest of the sample code is prepared to work backwards, I suggest removing all mentioning of the "forwards" flag and the getCollationElementStart() function. (That one also does not take into account combining marks that might be skipped.)
- Compares input chars and contraction chars as (short) which is a signed type. The test "cc > goal" will fail if input is ≥ U+8000.

An improved version of searchContractions() might look like this:

```
void searchContractions(int offset) {
    offset++; // skip backwardsOffset
    int goal = input[inputPos++];
    int length = contractionMatches[offset];
    int limit = offset + 1 + length;
    for (int i = offset + 1; i < limit; ++i) {</pre>
        int cc = contractionMatches[i];
        if (cc > goal) { // definitely failed
            processCE(contractionCEs[offset]);
            break;
        } else if (cc == goal) { // found match
            processCE(contractionCEs[i]);
            break;
        }
    }
}
```

c)

The sample code does not work for supplementary code points, but I guess that is meant as an exercise for the reader. It should be noted as such.