

## Response to L2/13-161 on naming Tamil fractions and symbols

Shriramana Sharma, jamadagni-at-gmail-dot-com, India

2013-Aug-09

### §1. Summary of L2/13-161

Following my revised proposal N4430 L2/13-047 to encode Tamil fractions and symbols, the Tamil Virtual Academy (TVA) has submitted L2/13-161 as feedback. I include the verbatim contents of that document as an appendix herewith for the convenience of the committees. To summarize, the TVA has expressed the opinion that the spelling of some of the proposed character names and the proposed/existing annotations should be different:

	Tamil	ISO 15919	Current	TVA
<b>Character names proposed in L2/13-047:</b>				
11FD2	ஊ சுவடு	<i>cuvaṭu</i>	SUVADU	CUVADU
11FDD	வ வராகன்	<i>varākaṇ</i>	VARAAGAN	VARAAKAN
11FDE	பு பாரம்	<i>pāram</i>	BAARAM	PAARAM
11FDF	கி கஜம்	<i>kajam</i>	GEJAM	KESAM
	கெஜம்/கெசம்	<i>kejam/kecam</i>		
11FE9	வ வகையரா	<i>vakaiyarā</i>	VAGAIYARAA	VAKAIYARAA
<b>Annotations proposed in L2/13-047:</b>				
0BF3	உ பிள்ளையார் சுழி	<i>piḷḷaiyār cuḷi</i>	PILLAIYAAR SUZHI	PILLAIYAAR CUZHI
0BFD	ச சில்வானம்	<i>cilvānam</i>	SILVAANAM	CILVAANAM
	சில்லரை	<i>cillarai</i>	SILLARAI	CILLARAI
<b>Annotations already in Unicode 6.2:</b>				
0BF9	ரூ ரூபாய்	<i>rūpāy</i>	RUPAI	RUUPAAY
0BFD	பு பற்று	<i>parru</i>	PATRU	PARRU

### §2. Spelling changes proposed for character names

First let me discuss the spelling changes proposed for some character names of the newly proposed characters since they are the ones that cannot be changed post-encoding.

In my original proposal L2/12-231 p 61 I had clarified the syntax that I had followed for naming the Tamil symbols:

*For the symbols, when the meaning or significance of the character is translatable, the format is <SCRIPT> <MEANING> SIGN. as in TAMIL DEBIT SIGN etc. So we propose TAMIL BALANCE SIGN etc. When it is not translatable, the format is <SCRIPT> SIGN <NATIVE\_NAME>. as in DEVANAGARI SIGN AVAGRAHA etc. So we propose TAMIL SIGN NEL etc. ... Further, in transliterating the native names, we use the popular conventions (such as zh for ழ, etc) for readability by native users.*

While my usage of the term “transliterate” is somewhat inaccurate here, neither a true transliteration nor transcription of Tamil words is feasible with the basic 26-letter Latin script alone. The TVA document speaks of “Tamil transcriptions [sic] standards that are currently in practice”. I am not sure what standard(s) the TVA refers to, since:

- a) there is no reference provided;
- b) to my knowledge there is no such published standard;
- c) my inquiries\* of Tamil scholars did not identify any such standards.

As such, my intention in naming these characters was to best approximate what I understand as the most common pronunciation of these words in formal Tamil such as heard in Doordarshan (TV channel founded by the GOI) Tamil News.

Now to consider the individual suggestions:

11FD2	சுவடு	சுவடு	cuvaṭu	SUVADU	CUVADU
-------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------

Most people pronounce word-initial *c* as ‘s’ and some as ‘ch’. Hence the spelling would be preferably ‘suvadu’. It is felt that ‘cuvadu’ would be a quite awkward spelling since this would read ‘kuvadu’ as per common English pronunciation outside a formal transliteration context. Note also that the TVA has not objected to the following instances of rendering Tamil ச i.e. *c* with an ‘s’:

11FD8	பசு	பைசா	paicā	PAISAA
11FDA	கசு	காசு	kācu	KAASU

---

\* <https://listes.services.cnrs.fr/www/arc/ctamil/2013-08/msg00025.html>

11FDD வ் வராகன் *varākaṅ* VARAA**G**AN VARAA**K**AN

Intervocalic stops other than *c* are normally pronounced voiced in Tamil. Again note that the TVA has not objected to these other instances:

11FD6 ஷு பதக்கு *patakku* PAdAKKU  
11FE8 மு முதலிய *mutaliya* MUDALIYA

11FDE று பாரம் *pāram* BAARAM PAARAM

While word-initial stops in native Tamil words are normally voiceless, this word is derived from Sanskrit *bhāra*<sup>1</sup> and is hence normally rendered with a voiced ‘b’.

11FDF கீ கஜம் *kajam* GE**J**AM KE**S**AM  
கெஜம்/கெசம் *kejam/kecam*

The TVA has suggested two changes here: ‘g’ to ‘k’ and ‘j’ to ‘s’. However, the word is almost always pronounced with the sounds of English ‘g’ and ‘j’, since it is derived from the Urdu word *gaz/gaj*<sup>2</sup>. For the same reason the primary form of the word is கஜம் transliterated *kajam*<sup>3</sup> (but pronounced ‘gajam’) although the alternate forms *kejam* and *kecam* are seen.

This would indicate that in fact this character should be named TAMIL SIGN GAJAM<sup>4</sup>.

Another important reason that the spelling ‘kesam’ suggested by the TVA is dissatisfactory is that it would normally be understood as கேசம் *kēcam* which means hair. Only with the ‘g’ and ‘j’ sounds will one recognize the length measure used for cloth. All cloth merchants I have met pronounce the word with the ‘g’ and ‘j’ sounds.

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://dsalrv02.uchicago.edu/cgi-bin/philologic/getobject.pl?c.8:1:5467.tamillex>

<sup>2</sup> <http://dsalrv02.uchicago.edu/cgi-bin/philologic/getobject.pl?c.7:1:994.platts>

<sup>3</sup> since <http://dsalrv02.uchicago.edu/cgi-bin/philologic/getobject.pl?c.3:1:5024.tamillex.2013941> *kecam* and <http://dsalrv02.uchicago.edu/cgi-bin/philologic/getobject.pl?c.3:1:5222.tamillex.2084469> *kejam* both point to <http://dsalrv02.uchicago.edu/cgi-bin/philologic/getobject.pl?c.2:1:6406.tamillex.2509528> *kajam*

<sup>4</sup> At the time of the proposal I had not suspected an Urdu derivation for this word despite it having the ‘g’ and ‘j’ sounds out of the normal places, since even some native Tamil words like *calli* are rendered *jalli* etc: <http://dsalrv02.uchicago.edu/cgi-bin/philologic/getobject.pl?c.12:1:5851.tamillex.2316792>. I shall submit a follow-up to N4430 L2/13-047 asking for the spelling to be changed to reflect the primary form of the word.

Apart from the “intervocalic stops are voiced” point made previously in the case of 11FDD VARAAGAN, this word is also derived from Urdu\* and is mostly (if not always) pronounced with the ‘g’ sound.

Thus I do not feel that the spelling changes suggested by the TVA either follow any transcription standard or even reflect the common pronunciation of the words. However, the current spelling of 11FDF TAMIL SIGN GEJAM should be changed to GAJAM as explained.

### §3. Spelling changes proposed for annotations

The TVA has requested changes of spelling for these annotations proposed in L2/13-047:

	Tamil	ISO 15919	Current	TVA
0BF3	உ பிள்ளையார் சுழி	<i>piḷḷaiyār cuḷi</i>	PILLAIYAAR SUZHI	PILLAIYAAR CUZHI
0BFD	சில்வானம்	<i>cilvāṇam</i>	SILVAANAM	CILVAANAM
	சில்லரை	<i>cillarai</i>	SILLARAI	CILLARAI

The suggested spellings using ‘c’ for transcribing ச c in word-initial position are not preferable for the same reason as provided above for 11FD2 TAMIL SIGN SUVADU, i.e. the pronunciation is mostly that of ‘s’. While ‘c’ can occasionally give this sound as in ‘cilvaanam’, it is better to use the unambiguous ‘s’ throughout for consistency.

Finally the following changes are requested for annotations existing in Unicode 6.2:

0BF9	ரூபாய்	<i>rūpāy</i>	RUPAI	RUUPAAY
0BFD	பு பற்று	<i>parru</i>	PATRU	PARRU

For 0BF9 I do think the spelling proposed by the TVA is “better” than the existing spelling. However, the one proposed for 0BFD is not in line with the existing common pronunciation of the word which is in fact pronounced closer to ‘patru’ than to ‘parru’.

Thus among the annotations I feel the one for 0BF9 is the only one to be changed.

---

\* see <http://dsalsrv02.uchicago.edu/cgi-bin/philologic/getobject.pl?c.11:1:3469.tamillex.1233335> for Tamil vakaiyarā coming from <http://dsalsrv02.uchicago.edu/cgi-bin/philologic/getobject.pl?c.9:1:2245.platts> Urdu wagairā coming from <http://dsalsrv02.uchicago.edu/cgi-bin/philologic/getobject.pl?c.9:1:2136.platts> waḡaira

## Appendix 1: Contents of L2/13-161

From: Tamil Virtual Academy <tamilvu@yahoo.com>  
To: Lisa Moore/Santa Teresa/IBM@IBMUS, "rick@unicode.org" <rick@unicode.org>,  
Date: 07/24/2013 12:13 AM  
Subject: Request to Use Tamil names in Tamil fractions and symbols in Unicode Standard  
Date : 24.07.2013

Dear Dr. Lisa Moore,

Tamil Virtual Academy has gone through the documents submitted for encoding Tamil fractions and symbols for a future version of the Unicode Standard. We are happy with the progress made in encoding these rare symbols and fractions.

Because the Character names, once given, in Unicode Standard cannot be changed later and will be used by scholars and general public for many years in the future, Tamil Virtual Academy, Dept. of Information Technology, Government of Tamil Nadu requests the following Tamil names to be used for the characters. This is from the Tamil transcriptions standards that are currently in practice.

The changes needed in Reference document L2/13-047 are:

Character names:

- (1) சுவடு - use CUVADU in 11FD2 as character name
- (2) வராகன் - use VARAAKAN in 11FDD as character name
- (3) பாரம் - use PAARAM in 11FDE as character name
- (4) கெசம் - use KESAM in 11FDF as character name
- (5) வகையறா - use VAKAIYARAA in 11FE9 as character name

In Annotations:

- (6) சூழி - use CUZHI in 0BF3 annotation
- (7) ரூபாய் - use RUUPAAY in 0BF9 annotation
- (8) சில்வானம்/சில்லரை - use CILVAANAM/CILLARAI in 0BFD annotation
- (9) பற்று - use PARRU in 0BF6 annotation

Thanking You  
Yours faithfully,

Director,  
Tamil Virtual Academy,  
Department of Information Technology,  
Government of Tamil nadu,  
Chennai.

அன்புடன்,  
தமிழ் இணையக் கல்விக்கழகம்,  
காந்தி மண்டபம் சாலை,  
அரசு தகவல் தொகுப்பு விவரம் எதிரில்  
சென்னை - 25.  
தொ.பே: 2220 1012 / 13  
மின் முகவரி: tamilvu@yahoo.com  
அரிச்சுவடி முதல் தமிழ் கற்க : www.tamilvu.org

## Appendix 2: Response to an alternate suggestion re naming

While discussing the suggestions of the TVA with others interested in Indic encoding issues, it was suggested that the pseudo-transliteration system currently used in Indic Unicode character names may be followed for consistency. However, this is found highly dissatisfactory to native users since the reduplication of consonants (such as NA vs NNA vs NNNNA) in this system would make whole words written this way quite unreadable or misleading (such as ANNAA below which suggests a geminated N where there is none):

In the case of characters which the TVA's document mentions:

	ISO 15919	Current	TVA	UCS-Pseudo-ASCII
<b>Character names proposed in L2/13-047:</b>				
11FD2	ஞ <i>cuvaṭu</i>	SUVADU	CUVADU	CUVATTU
<b>Annotations proposed in L2/13-047:</b>				
0BF3	உ <i>pillaiyār cuḷi</i>	PILLAIYAAR SUZHI	PILLAIYAAR CUZHI	PILLLLAIYAAR CULLLI
0BFD	ஈ <i>cilvāṇam</i>	SILVAANAM	CILVAANAM	CILVAANNAM
<b>Annotations already in Unicode 6.2:</b>				
0BFD	பு <i>parru</i>	PATRU	PARRU	PARRRRU

In the case of other characters:

11FD3	ற <i>ālākku</i>	AAZHAAKKU	-	AALLLAAKKU
11FD5	ற <i>mūvuḷakku</i>	MUUVUZHAAKKU	-	MUUVULLLAKKU
11FD7	ற <i>mukkuruṇi</i>	MUKKURUṆI	-	MUKKURUNNI
11FD9	அ <i>aṇā</i>	ANAA	-	ANNAA
11FDB	ப <i>paṇam</i>	PANAM	-	PANNAM
11FE0	க <i>kuli</i>	KUZHĪ	-	KULLLI
11FE1	வ <i>vēli</i>	VELI	-	VEELI
11FEB	ப <i>pillai</i>	PILLAI	-	PILLLLAI

-0-0-0-