On the unicode.org list, there was a question as to why the emoji data was not in Unicode properties. That is a valid question, because we have given implementers a structure for relating UCD data files to properties, and how to use them (eg in regex).

Ken answered, then I added:

As Ken said, there's been some preliminary discussion, but we wanted to get initial information out in connection with UTR #51 first, and take more time to consider what UCD properties would look like, and which are necessary.

The basic information that people want to access for implementations are:

1. Is a character emoji or not?
2. Which emoji have default text presentation? (others having emoji presentation)
3. Which emoji are modifiers, and which are modifier bases? (others being neither)
4. Which sequences of emoji are recommended (zwj and/or combining marks) for those who support them?
5. Flags and modifier sequences are specified algorithmically, and don't need to be listed.

The levels, the distinction between primary and secondary, and the carrier sources were useful in development of the emoji data and tr51 but aren't really necessary for implementations.

Proposal

Describe 4 binary character properties related to emoji, described in UTR #51, and maintain them in data files associated with #51. These would not be formally part of the UCD for 9.0, but would share the same namespace and structure. We would adapt the emoji-data.txt v2.0 structure for these.

Emoji
- Yes for characters that are emoji

Emoji_Presentation
- Yes for characters that have emoji presentation by default

Emoji_Modifier
- Yes for characters that are emoji modifiers

Emoji_Modifier_Base
- Yes for characters that can serve as a base for emoji modifiers