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1 Introduction

This proposal has three objectives:

1. Encode the new character [ෙ] ZANABAZAR SQUARE SUBJOINER, with properties as specified in §3.
2. Transfer the conjunct-forming function of [𑨴] ZANABAZAR SQUARE SIGN VIRAMA to the SUBJOINER.
3. Redefine virama to function solely as a silencer of the inherent vowel.

These recommendations do not alter the encoding model for the Zanabazar Square script, which has already been approved for inclusion in Unicode (see L2/14-024 and the updated proposal L2/15-337). Rather, they improve and simplify the model by distributing two distinct functions currently embodied within a single character across two separate characters dedicated to one function each.

2 Justification

The traditional repertoire for Zanabazar Square does not have a native virāma or halanta. The [𑨴] ZANABAZAR SQUARE FINAL CONSONANT MARK is conceptually similar, but is used only for marking a bare consonant in syllable-final position in Mongolian and Tibetan linguistic contexts. Bare consonants in Sanskrit are left unmarked. It is perhaps for this reason that a scribe decided to introduce a sign for silencing the inherent vowel of a consonant in Sanskrit contexts (see folio below). This sign was included in the proposed block as [𑨴] ZANABAZAR SQUARE SIGN VIRAMA. In the approved encoding for Zanabazar Square, the virama has the additional function of controlling the production of conjunct stacks.

The virama was introduced into the proposed repertoire at a late stage during research on the encoding for Zanabazar Square. In fact, the [ෙ] SUBJOINER character proposed here is not altogether a new concept for the script as it was included in earlier tentative repertoires. In the first preliminary proposal for the script, the character that is now called [𑨴] ZANABAZAR SQUARE FINAL CONSONANT MARK was given the name ‘NULL VOWEL’ on account of its usage for marking a bare consonant (see L2/10-411). The name was later changed to ‘virama’ in a revised preliminary proposal (see L2/11-162). As the orthography for conjuncts and the
model for encoding them became better understood, a method was needed for producing conjunct stacks. Although various vowel-silencing marks in Brahmi-based scripts have a secondary function of controlling conjunct formation, it did not seem practical to burden the syllable marker ◌ in a similar fashion. Instead, a new control character ◌ was introduced for this purpose (see L2/11-379). This ◌ was given the name ‘virama’ and ◌ was renamed as ‘final consonant sign’. After discussions with scholars and research on the encoding model for the Soyombo script, the ◌ was renamed ‘subjoinder’ and ◌ was renamed as ‘final consonant mark’ (see L2/13-068 and L2/13-198). The discovery of a true ◌ virama offered the potential to align the script with the Brahmi model. The sign ◌ was assigned the name ‘virama’ and endowed with both vowel-silencing and conjunct-producing properties (see L2/14-024). This approach eliminated the need for the ◌ ‘subjoinder’. Of the characters described above, the final, approved repertoire contains ◌ ZANABAZAR SQUARE FINAL CONSONANT MARK and ◌ ZANABAZAR SQUARE SIGN VIRAMA.

The removal of ◌ ‘subjoinder’ and the merger of its function with ◌ ‘virama’ may have been a bit too idealistic. It is certainly valid, but it complicates the encoding for two reasons.

First, the dual function of ◌ ZANABAZAR SQUARE SIGN VIRAMA requires that the conjunct-stacking behavior of the character be suppressed in order to display it visibly when it occurs in a conjunct. Consider a case from the folio below (from Byambaa Ragchaagiin 2005: 113–114).

The virama occurs twice in the sentence शुभम्स्तु-सर्व-जागतम् “may there be auspiciousness throughout the world” (line 3, column 3). It is used first in the phrase शुभम्स्तु, in the syllable शुभ म्स्तु. This syllable has a consonant cluster -mst-, of which the श ma is marked with a visible virama and the न sa and ट ta are rendered as a conjunct stack. The second occurrence is at the end of the sentence in the word जागतम् jagatam, where the virama is visible and marks the bare consonant ज m. The usage and shape of virama provide sufficient proof that it is distinct from ◌ ZANABAZAR SQUARE FINAL CONSONANT MARK.

To represent the syllable mstu as written in the folio using the approved model, it is necessary to block the conjunct-producing behavior of virama so that the sign is displayed visibly. This is achieved by placing the generic control character ț U+200C ZERO WIDTH NON-JOINER (abbreviated ZWNJ) after virama in the encoded sequence:
If \texttt{ZW NJ} is not used, then the \texttt{VIRAMA} will produce a conjunct stack:

\begin{verbatim}
unicode MA, \texttt{VIRAMA}, N SA, \texttt{VIRAMA}, TA, \texttt{VOWEL SIGN UE}
\end{verbatim}

The requirement to use \texttt{ZW NJ} complicates what would otherwise be a simpler encoding model. Using the proposed \texttt{SUBJOINER} and the redefined \texttt{VIRAMA}, the desired representation of \texttt{mstu}, as well as other possibilities, would be produced as follows, without the need for invisible control characters:

\begin{verbatim}
unicode MA, \texttt{VIRAMA}, N SA, \texttt{SUBJOINER}, TA, \texttt{VOWEL SIGN UE}
unicode MA, \texttt{SUBJOINER}, N SA, \texttt{SUBJOINER}, TA, \texttt{VOWEL SIGN UE}
unicode MA, \texttt{VIRAMA}, N SA, \texttt{VIRAMA}, TA, \texttt{VOWEL SIGN UE}
\end{verbatim}

Secondly, the \texttt{VIRAMA} is not an original element of the script designed by Zanabazar. It does not occur in charts and other descriptions of the script. The sign is a scribal innovation and is likely borrowed from Lantsa or Tibetan, specifically for transliterating these scripts. The usage of \texttt{VIRAMA} in the Zanabazar Square text preserves the graphical representation of the Lantsa and Vartu texts in lines 1 and 2, respectively. The orthographic congruity is carried forward in the Tibetan representation, where \texttt{subhamstu} is transliterated into Tibetan as \texttt{tsubhamstu}. Here, the cluster -mst- is written by marking \texttt{U+0F58 TIBETAN LETTER MA} with the \texttt{U+0F84 TIBETAN MARK HALANTA} and separating it from the conjunct stack \texttt{<U+0F66 TIBETAN LETTER TA>}. Unlike the \texttt{FINAL CONSONANT MARK}, the sign \texttt{VIRAMA} is not commonly used. It is essentially a Sanskrit-specific vowel silencer that complements the \texttt{FINAL CONSONANT MARK} used for Mongolian and Tibetan. Encoding it is necessary for enabling complete representation of Zanabazar Square texts. However, the \texttt{VIRAMA} will be used less for marking bare consonants in Sanskrit and substantially more for producing conjunct stacks, which occur with much greater frequency in the sources. Secondly, as the \texttt{VIRAMA} is not a part of the traditional script it is likely to not be readily recognized by users. Therefore, it is more suitable to restrict usage of \texttt{VIRAMA} to silencing the inherent vowel and to employ the generic character \texttt{\textless} for the common purpose of producing conjunct stacks.

The introduction of the \texttt{ZANABAZAR SQUARE SUBJOINER} improves the encoding model for the script. It serves the specific function of producing a conjunct stack by placing, or rather subjoining, the following letter beneath the previous consonant. It possesses no vowel-silencing function. That purpose should be served by \texttt{VIRAMA}, as well as the existing \texttt{FINAL CONSONANT MARK}, depending upon linguistic context. The \texttt{VIRAMA} would behave like other combining marks in the script and would always be displayed visibly. This redefinition aligns the properties of \texttt{VIRAMA} with \texttt{ZANABAZAR SQUARE FINAL CONSONANT MARK}, as well as with \texttt{TIBETAN MARK HALANTA}, which does not have any control properties. This will benefit users of Zanabazar Square, who are accustomed to the Tibetan encoding in Unicode, who would expect the same behavior of the Zanabazar Square sign \texttt{VIRAMA}. Moreover, a dedicated \texttt{SUBJOINER} character aligns with the encoding model for Soyombo, a related script of Mongolia employed concurrently by users of Zanabazar Square, which has also been approved for inclusion in Unicode (see L2/15-004R).
3 Character Data

3.1 Character properties

In the format of UnicodeData.txt:

11A4x;ZANABAZAR SQUARE SUBJOINER;Mn;9;NSM;;;;;N;;;;;

3.2 Linebreaking

In the format of LineBreak.txt:

11A4x; CM # ZANABAZAR SQUARE SUBJOINER

3.3 Syllabic categories

In the format of IndicSyllabicCategory.txt. The ஑ ZANABAZAR SQUARE SUBJOINER is defined as an ‘Invisible_Stacker’:

# Indic_Syllabic_Category=Invisible_Stacker
11A4x ; Invisible_Stacker # Mn ZANABAZAR SQUARE SUBJOINER

The syllabic category for த ZANABAZAR SQUARE FINAL CONSONANT MARK and ஃ ZANABAZAR SQUARE SIGN VIRAMA should be redefined from ‘Virama’ to ‘Pure_Killer’:

# Indic_Syllabic_Category=Pure_Killer
11A33 ; Pure_Killer # Mn ZANABAZAR SQUARE FINAL CONSONANT MARK
11A34 ; Pure_Killer # Mn ZANABAZAR SQUARE SIGN VIRAMA
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