

Report on 〇 and Two Ideographs

John H. Jenkins

27 September 2016



The original report was:






Date/Time: Mon Sep 26 22:36:14 CDT 2016

Contact:hqsjg@163.com

Name: Jack

Report Type: Error Report

Opt Subject: 〇、和

1. 〇是一个汉字却被放在了符号区,希望改放在汉字区
2. 从康熙字典和汉语大字典(第二版)上看,和是两个不同的汉字,现在却将作为的兼容字,我认为不妥,应将移出兼容区
若您需要证据,我可以提交

In translation:

1. 〇 [U+3007 IDEOGRAPHIC NUMBER ZERO] is an ideograph but is found in a punctuation block. I hope it can be moved to an ideographic block.
2. According to the Kangxi Dictionary and Hanyu Da Zidian (second edition), U+2F8A4 and U+226D4 are two different ideographs, but now U+2F8A4 is flagged as a compatibility variant of U+226D4. I disagree with this and would remove U+2F8A4 from the compatibility block. Should you require evidence, I can make provide some.

Response:

1. Whatever the arguments in favor of this, it just isn't going to happen.
2. They are correct on this. U+226D4 is in the Hanyu Da Zidian at 42314.030, where it is given the pronunciation *dōng* defined as 愚蠢貌 (stupid-looking). U+2F8A4 is at 42326.100, where it is said to be the same as (同) U+5B3E 嬾, *lǎn*, lazy. This would make them non-cognate

under IRG rules and therefore subject to disunification.