From: Joan Ferrer, Grup LITTERA, Universitat de Barcelona; Noemí Moncunill, Marie Curie Fellow, Université Paris-Sorbonne; Javier Velaza, Grup LITTERA, Universitat de Barcelona and Deborah Anderson, SEI, UC Berkeley

Date: 21st April 2017

Title: Proposal to encode the Palaeohispanic script

1. Summary

The Palaeohispanic script is not a single script but a group of different writing systems that belong to the same family. We encode them together since all these scripts share a similar corpus of signs along with some characteristic features, the most notorious being the coexistence of alphabetic and syllabic characters. Moreover, all of them share a common ancestor, which might ultimately arise from the Phoenician alphabet (see figure 11).

The Palaeohispanic script family consists at least of 5 different scripts attested in the Iberian Peninsula by *ca*. 2,700 inscriptions dating from the 7th century BC to the 1st century AD. Although the longest inscription contains *ca*. 500 words, most long texts rarely reach over 50 words; others, on the other hand, are very short and contain just a personal name or abbreviations.

The scripts that belong to this family can be divided into 2 types: the northern (with *ca*. 2,500 inscriptions) and the southern group (with *ca*. 170 inscriptions). The first one comprises the northeastern Iberian and the Celtiberian scripts. The southern group, in its turn, comprises the southeastern Iberian and the south-western or Tartessian scripts, and the Espanca abecedary, found in the same area where the south-western or Tartessian script is attested. Nevertheless, this abecedary does not exactly match the Tartessian script or the south-eastern Iberian script.

The decipherment of the northern Palaeohispanic scripts was accomplished at the beginning of the 20th century by Manuel Gómez-Moreno (1922, 1949). Nevertheless, some aspects were not entirely deciphered until very recent dates. Such is the case of a variant of this script called *the dual system*, which consists of the use of signs with two variants, each of them with its own distinctive value, differing from each other in presenting an additional stroke (e. g. X = da and X = ta). In fact, some concrete features of this system are even still undergoing research. Unlike the northern scripts, the southern scripts have not been fully deciphered, since there are many signs for which there is no agreed value among specialists. The non-deciphered characters will be named in this proposal after an arbitrary code, as it is currently in use in the specialized bibliography.

The Palaeohispanic inscriptions are being edited and digitalized in the Hesperia open access database (http://hesperia.ucm.es/), in the framework of a research project carried out by a team of scholars from different Spanish universities.

2. Background

This proposal represents a unification of two earlier proposals: "L2/15-120-Preliminary proposal to encode the north-eastern Iberian script" and "L2/15-119 Preliminary proposal to encode the southern Palaeohispanic scripts".

With the proposed repertoire, all characters in Northeastern, Celtiberian, Southeastern, Southwestern and Espanca's scripts can be represented. As with Old Italic, fonts will be used to represent the different alphabets of Iberia (see the tables below).

The earlier proposals, L2/15-120 and L2/15-119, contain detailed discussion on the characters, figures, and references. See also the article J. Ferrer, N. Moncunill, J. Velaza, "Toward a systematisation of Palaeohispanic scripts in Unicode: synthesising multiple transcription hypotheses into two consensus encodings", *Palaeohispanica* 15, 2015, pp. 13-55.

3. Structure

All Palaeohispanic scripts are semisyllabic: vowels, nasals, laterals, sibilants and trills are alphabetical, whereas characters for plosives are syllabic.

There is also a feature shared by most Palaeohispanic scripts: the possibility they offer to differentiate some similar signs with close phonetic value by an additional stroke; rather than a mere diacritic (although originally it might have been so), this stroke tends to be an integrating component of the sign itself. This subset of scripts with a larger number of variants has been labelled as "dual". The recent discovery of dual abecedaries confirms that these dualities were integrated in the standard scripts, where the pair of signs appears always in the same order: the complex variant, with its additional stroke, in the first place and, thereafter, the simple one.

These dualities can affect different sets of sounds: plosives, continuous consonants and even vowels. However, and despite their autonomous apparition in the abecedaries, only for the first ones the phonetic opposition (in this case, voiced – voiceless) between the simple and the complex has been confirmed. Therefore, according to the extension of the use of dualities, it is possible to identify different subsets within every script:

- The north-eastern Iberian script can be divided into: i. extended dual, when dualities affect plosives, continuous consonants and vowels; ii. standard dual, when it only affects plosives, and iii. non-dual, when the script lacks dualities.
- The Celtiberian script, either in its eastern or western variant, can be divided into: i. standard dual, or ii. non-dual.
- The south-eastern Iberian, according to the current available documentation, seems to be always dual, with dualities for both plosives and continuous consonants.
- The south-western script and the script represented by the Espanca abecedary are clearly non-dual.

The standard script for Unicode has been built taking into account an inventory of signs as large as possible, including all dual variants confirmed in the extant abecedaries; in general terms, the glyphs for the proposed characters to be encoded match the glyphs of the norh-eastern Iberial dual extended script.

In addition, the Unicode repertoire also considers as meaningful a three-elements variability for the signs **ke** and **ka** in the standard or extended dual script: $\P/\P/C$ and $\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{A}$. Indeed, some long standard dual inscriptions show the simultaneous use of three variants of the **ke** sign. That's the case of the lead sheet from Castelló (F.6.1) where two-stroke **ke** (\mathfrak{C}) coexist with one-stroke (\mathfrak{C}) and no-marked variants (\overline{C}). In a similar way, on the lead sheet from Ensérune (B.1.373*; Hesperia HER.02.773) a two-dot variant of the **ke** sign (\mathfrak{C}), coexists with a one-dot (\mathfrak{C}) and a no-marked variant (\mathfrak{C}). Furthermore, it needs to be pointed out that the most common word in the lead sheet from Castelló with the two-stroke **ke**, **balke**, was written in this same way in painted inscriptions of the extended dual type from Llíria. This behavior can also be observed in the lead sheet from Los Villares (F.17.2) where three simultaneous variants of the **ka** sign are used ($\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{A}$). This suggests that the three-element variation for these two characters is also a characteristic of the extended dual script, although it is not explicitly documented in any of the known abecedaries.

4. Direction of script

The proposed default direction of the script is left to right, which is the predominant direction in inscriptions of the northern group. In order to render texts right to left, users should use RLO and PDF overrides, or other mechanisms as described in UAX #9 (<u>http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr9/proposed.html</u>). If the default direction of the script is overridden, the glyphs in the font should be mirrored from those presented here.

5. Character names

The character names are based by default on the north-eastern script, which is the script with more inscriptions and the one whose decipherment is completed. However, since the values are not usually the same in each Palaeohispanic script, notes are used to specify the value of the character in every script. For instance:

= R1 in Southeastern Iberian

= R2 in Southwestern Palaeohispanic

= S52 in Espanca's script

The lack of notes implies that the sign has the same value in all scripts. For instance:

10227 N PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER N1

When a sign is exclusive of one single script it is specified that way:

• Northern Palaeohispanic

The marked signs in the "dual" scripts are named with the terminology "complex" (when the variability implies two elements) or "complex with additional stroke" (when the variability implies three different elements). For instance:

10202 PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX E

• Northeastern Iberian (Dual Extended)

10237 A PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX KA WITH ADDITIONAL STROKE

• Northeastern Iberian (Dual)

When the value of a sign is unknown, we use the conventional code used in the specialized bibliography. For instance:

= S45.2 in Southern Palaeohispanic

Please note that the names of the letters don't always reflect the transcription system used in the discursive parts of the proposal. These are the correspondences:

```
S1 = s
S2 = \hat{s}
COMPLEX S1 = \hat{s}
COMPLEX S2 = \check{s}
N1 = n
COMPLEX N1 = \dot{n}
N2 = m
N3 = \acute{m}
N4 = \check{m}
R1 = r
R2 = COMPLEX R1 = \check{r}
COMPLEX R2 = \dot{\mathbf{r}}
\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{a}
COMPLEX A = \mathbf{\acute{a}}
A2 = \hat{a}
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{e}
COMPLEX E = \acute{e}
I = i
COMPLEX I = i
\mathbf{O} = \mathbf{0}
COMPLEX O = \phi
\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{u}
COMPLEX U = \hat{u}
KA = ga
COMPLEX KA = ka
COMPLEX KA WITH ADDITIONAL STROKE = \dot{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{a}
KE = ge
COMPLEX KE = ke
COMPLEX KE WITH ADDITIONAL STROKE = \dot{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{e}
KI = gi
COMPLEX KI = ki
KO = go
COMPLEX KO = \mathbf{ko}
KU = gu
COMPLEX KU = ku
```

Iberian metrological expressions are basically formed by groups of vertical bars (equivalent to the sign **ba**) to generate the numerical component of the expression: I = 1, II = 2, III = 3, IIII = 4, IIIII = 5. The accumulation of bars can reach up to 20 elements (F.17.1). Occasionally these bars can appear together with a sign similar to Greek Π , which appears to be acting as an auxiliary base, perhaps with the value of 5 or 6.

Some metrological expressions use a specific L-shaped sign, which does not match any other character of the Iberian script; the numerical value for that sign is still uncertain. This sign also appears in metrological expressions on painted amphora inscriptions from Vieille-Toulouse (for instance L III) and in lead-sheet inscriptions from làtova (for instance L Π IIIII [F.20.2]).

Finally, some coin inscriptions present value marks, which, in some cases, have an equivalent symbol formed by the initial of the unit followed by the numerical component. In the case of **undikesken** coins, quarters show the – sign and halves the = sign, which is actually a reduplication of the former $(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2})$.

7. Punctuation

The most common word separator consists of two vertical dots. Nevertheless, the oldest epigraphic tradition tends to use rather 3 or more vertical dots; in the most recent inscriptions on stone, on the other hand, the use of an isolated dot is frequent, imitating the Roman style. Finally, the vertical bar can also be used and, in some rare cases, just a blank.

Since all these word separators can be found in other scripts, to represent the Palaeohispanic punctuation we recommend already-encoded characters to be employed:

007C VERTICAL LINE 00B7 MIDDLE DOT 205A TWO DOT PUNCTUATION 205D TRICOLON 205E VERTICAL FOUR DOTS

8. Order

For the code chart: vowels will appear in the alphabetical order **a**, **e**, **i**, **o**, **u**; plosives in the usual alphabetical order **b**, **k/g**, **t/d**; and continuous consonants in the alphabetical order **I**, **m**, **n**, **r**, **s**. The marked-sign pairs will be grouped together, the marked character preceding the unmarked, as appears in the north-eastern Iberian abecedaries. The conflictive **T**-shaped sign is grouped together with nasals, as it actually appears in the attested abecedaries. The conflictive sign in the shape of a complex Iberian **I** (**â**) is placed together with this sign, as it appears in the Castellet de Bernabé abecedary. The signs **ka** and **ke** with three stroke will appear at the end. Numerals are grouped in a new column after letters.

The proposed order for sorting is as follows: a, á, â, ba, be, bi, bo, bu, da, ta, de, te, di, ti, do, to, du, tu, e, é, ga, ka, ka, ge, ke, ke, gi, ki, go, ko, gu, ku, i, í, l, m, n, o, ó, r, ŕ, š, š, s, ŝ, u, ú, m, m. Specific exceptions to the alphabetical order are as follows:

- Consecutive order for simple sibilant (s) and complex sibilant (ŝ);
- Consecutive order for voiceless and voiced plosives in order to keep together the dual and non-dual transcriptions of the same elements (for instance, the word **ekiar** / *egiar*).
- Consecutive order for **m** and **n**, since they are signs that can alternate (for instance **iunstir** / **iumstir**).

Consecutive order for the supposed nasal m and m, after the two signs for u, since the characteristic vocalic component of m can be usually identified as u (for instance mbar / VMAR).

9. Unicode Character Properties

All the Palaeohispanic letters from 10200 to 10238 are as below. 10200; PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX A; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;; 10201;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER A;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10202; PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX E; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;; 10203;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER E;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10204;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX I;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10205;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER I;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10206;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX O;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10207;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER O;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10208;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX U;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10209;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER U;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 1020A; PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER BA; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;; 1020B;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER BE;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 1020C;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER BI;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 1020D;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER BO;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 1020E;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER BU;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 1020F;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX KA;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10210;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER KA;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10211;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX KE;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10212;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER KE;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10213;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX KI;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10214;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER KI;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10215;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX KO;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10216;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER KO;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10217; PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX KU; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;; 10218;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER KU;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10219;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX TA;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;;

1021A;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER TA;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 1021B;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX TE;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 1021C;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER TE;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 1021D;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX TI;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 1021E;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER TI;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 1021F;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX TO;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10220;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER TO;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10221;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX TU;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10222;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER TU;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10223;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER L;Lo;0;L;;;;N;;;;; 10224;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER A2;Lo;0;L;;;;N;;;;; 10225;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER N2;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10226;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX N1;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10227; PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER N1; Lo; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;; 10228;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER N3;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10229;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER N4;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 1022A;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPEX R1;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 1022B;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER R1;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 1022C;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX R2;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 1022D;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER R2;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 1022E;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX S1;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 1022D;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER R2;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 1022E;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX S1;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 1022F;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER S1;Lo;0;L;;;;N;;;;; 10230;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER S3;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10231;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX S2;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10232;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER S2;Lo;0;L;;;;N;;;;; 10233;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER BU2;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10234;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER KU2;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10235;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER TO2;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;; 10236;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER S87;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;;

10237;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER KA WITH ADDITIONAL STROKE;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;;

10238;PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX KE WITH ADDITIONAL STROKE;Lo;0;L;;;;;N;;;;;

All the Palaeohispanic numerals and fractions from 10240 to 10244 are as below.

10240;PALAEOHISPANIC NUMERAL ONE;No;0;L;;;;1;N;;;;;

10241;PALAEOHISPANIC NUMERAL A;No;0;L;;;;;N;;;;;

10242;PALAEOHISPANIC NUMERAL B;No;0;L;;;;;N;;;;;

10243;PALAEOHISPANIC FRACTION ONE QUARTER;No;0;L;;;;1/4;N;;;;;

10244;PALAEOHISPANIC FRACTION ONE HALF;No;0;L;;;;1/2;N;;;;;

c		1020		1021		1022		1023	10	024
0	Ρ	COMPLEX A	٨	KA	Ш	ТО	#	S 3		ONE
1	D	А	C	COMPLEX KE	Δ	COMPLEX TU	X	COMPLEX S2	L	А
2		COMPLEX E	(KE	$\overline{\Delta}$	TU	Μ	S2	Π	В
3	Ł	E	477	COMPLEX KI	$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	L	Ħ	BU2	-	ONE QUAR TER
4	Ч	COMPLEX I	4	KI	\mathbf{k}	A2	×	KU2	=	ONE HALF
5	Ч	Ι	X	COMPLEX KO	Ψ	N2	\mathbb{A}	TO2		
6	ŢŢ	COMPLEX O	Χ	KO	2	COMPLEX N1	⋘	S87		
7	H	0	<u>Ко</u> Сомреех Ки		Ч	N1	♦	COMPLEX KA WITH ADDITION AL STROKE		
8		COMPLEX U	0	KU	V	N3		COMPLEX KE WITH ADDITION AL STROKE		
9	\wedge	U	Ж	COMPLEX TA	Ť	N4				
A	İ	BA	X	ТА	R	COMPLEX R1				
В	Ŋ	BE	\oplus	COMPLEX TE	٥	R1				
С	0	BI	Φ	TE	φ	COMPLEX R2				
D	*	BO	Щ	COMPLEX TI	φ	R2				
E		BU	Ψ	TI	ş	COMPLEX S1				
F	\land	COMPLEX KA	Ш	COMPLEX TO	٤	S 1				

10. Proposed Characters

10200 P PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX

• Northeastern Iberian (Dual extended)

10201 D PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER A

= S81 in southern Palaeohispanic

10202 PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX

• Northeastern Iberian (Dual extended)

10203 PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER E

= be in Southeastern Iberian

= S41 in Southwestern Palaeohispanic and Espanca

10204 PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER

• Northeastern Iberian (Dual extended)

10205 NPALAEOHISPANIC LETTER I

10206 PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX

= de in Southeastern Iberian

10207 H palaeohispanic letter o

= te in Southeastern Iberian

= te/de in Southwestern Palaeohispanic and Espanca

10208 PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX

Northeastern Iberian (Dual extended)

10209 \bigwedge palaeohispanic letter u

= bi in Southeastern Iberian

= pi/bi in SouthwesternPalaeohispanic and Espanca

1020A PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER BA

= S42 in Southeastern Iberian

= pa/ba in Southwestern Palaeohispanic and Espanca

1020B PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER BE = R1 in Southeastern Iberian = R2 in Southwestern Palaeohispanic = S52 in Espanca's script

1020C PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER BI

= ba in Southeastern Iberian

= pe/be in Southwestern Palaeohispanic and Espanca

10200 **X** PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER BO

Northern Palaeohispanic

1020E PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER BU

= bo in Southeastern Iberian

po/bo in SouthwesternPalaeohispanic and Espanca

1020F \bigwedge palaeohispanic letter complex ka

10210 A PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER KA

10211 C PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX

10212 C PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER KE

10213 PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX KI

= S45.4 in Southeastern Iberian PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER KI 10214 = S45.2 in Southern Palaeohispanic 10215 X PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX КΟ 10216 X palaeohispanic letter ko 10217 O PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX КIJ Northern Palaeohispanic PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER KU 10218 = e in Southern Palaeohispanic 10219 A PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX TA 1021A X PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER TA 1021B PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX TE = di in Southeastern Iberian 1021C 🖵 PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER TE = ti in Southeastern Iberian = ti/di in Southwestern Palaeohispanic and Espanca 1021D **H** PALAEOHISPANIC COMPLEX LETTER ТΙ Northern Palaeohispanic (Dual) 1021E H PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER TI = S48 in Southern Palaeohispanic 1021F III PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX то Northern Palaeohispanic (Dual)

10220 III PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER TO Northern Palaeohispanic 10221 A PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX ΤU 10222 Δ palaeohispanic letter tu PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER L 10223 10224 PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER A2 = a in Southern Palaeohispanic 10225 PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER N2 = S83 in Southwestern Palaeohispanic 10226 PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX **N1** PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER N1 10228 PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER N3 = u in Southern Palaeohispanic PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER N4 10229 = o in Southern Palaeohispanic 1022A R palaeohispanic letter complex **R**1 Southeastern Iberian (R2) 1022B **Q** PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER R1 = COMPLEX R2 in Southeastern Iberian = S50 or R1 in Espanca's script 1022C Ψ palaeohispanic letter complex R2

= gi in Southeastern Iberian

1022D PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER R2

= ki in Southeastern Iberian

= ki/gi in Southwestern Palaeohispanic and Espanca

1022E PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX S1

• Northeastern Iberian (Dual extended)

1022F PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER S1

= S80 in Southwestern Palaeohispanic

= S51 or S80 in Espanca's script

10230 **#** PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER S3

Southern Palaeohispanic

10231 PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX S2

Southeastern Iberian

10232 PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER S2

10233 Palaeohispanic letter bu2

- Southern Palaeohispanic
 - = bu in Southeastern Iberian

10234 PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER KU2

• Southern Palaeohispanic

11. Acknowledgement

10235 A PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER TO2

Southwestern Palaeohispanic

10236 V PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER S87

= S92 Southwestern Palaeohispanic

10237 PALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX KA WITH ADDITIONAL STROKE

• Northeastern Iberian (Dual)

10238 TPALAEOHISPANIC LETTER COMPLEX KE WITH ADDITIONAL STROKE

Northeastern Iberian (Dual)

10240 PALAEOHISPANIC NUMERAL ONE

10241 PALAEOHISPANIC NUMERAL A

• Northeastern Iberian

10242 PALAEOHISPANIC NUMERAL B

• Northeastern Iberian

10243 — PALAEOHISPANIC FRACTION ONE QUARTER

• Northeastern Iberian

10244 — PALAEOHISPANIC FRACTION ONE HALF

Northeastern Iberian

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 655938. It has been also partly supported by a grant from the United States National Endowment for the Humanities (PR-253360-17), which funds the Universal Scripts Project (part of the Script Encoding Initiative at the University of California, Berkeley). Any views, findings, conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect those of the National Endowment for the Humanities.

12. Figures.

	10	20	102	1	102	2	10	23	10	24
0			\wedge	ka/ ga	Ш	to/ do				numera 1 1
1	\triangleright	a							L	numer al-A
2			<	ke/ ge	Δ	tu/ du	Μ	Ś	Π	numer al-B
3		e			\wedge	l			_	1/4
4			4	ki/ gi					=	1/2
5	\sim	i			\mathbf{Y}	m				
6			Χ	ko/ go			*	S 87		
7	Η	0			\sim	n				
8			\diamond	ku/ gu	\vee	ń				
9	\wedge	u			\vdash	ň				
A		ba	Х	ta/ da						
В	\Diamond	be			\bigtriangledown	r				
С	7	bi	\diamond	te/ de						
D	Ж	bo			Ŷ	ŕ				
E		bu	Ψ	ti/ di						
F		k			5	s				

Figure 1: Character repertoire of the North-eastern Iberian non dual script

	10	020	10)21	10	22	1	.023	10	24
0			\land	ga	Ш	do				nume ral 1
1	Ρ	a	E	ke	Δ	tu			L	nume ral-A
2			(ge	Δ	du	Μ	ś	Π	nume ral-B
3		e	<i>4</i> / <i>1</i>	ki	$\left \right $	l			_	1/4
4				gi					=	1/2
5	×	i	X	ko	Y	m				
6			Χ	go			₩	S87		
7	Η	0	\odot	ku		n	\land	ќа		
8			Ο	gu	V	ŕ	E	ќе		
9	\uparrow	u	X	ta	Ι	ň				
A	(ba	Х	da						
В	ጹ	be	\oplus	te	D	r				
С	P	bi	Θ	de	φ	ŕ				
D	*	bo	Щ	ti	φ	ř				
E	$\overline{\cdot}$	bu	Ψ	di						
F	\land	ka	Ш	to	ł	s				

Figure 2: Character repertoire of the north-eastern Iberian dual standard script

	1(020	10	21	10)22		1023	10	24
0	Ρ	á	\land	ga	Ш	do				numer al 1
1	D	a	€	ke	Δ	tu			L	nume ral-A
2		é	(ge	Δ	du	Μ	Ś	Π	nume ral-B
3	<u> </u>	e	4 <i>7</i>	ki	~	l			_	1/4
4	Ч	í	1	gi	~	â			=	1/2
5	М	i	X	ko	ψ	m				
6		ó	X	go	Z	ń	₩	S87		
7	H	0	\odot	ku		n	\mathbf{A}	ќа		
8	≉	ú	0	gu	\boldsymbol{V}	ń	∎	ke		
9	\uparrow	u	Ж	ta	Ι	ň				
A		ba	Х	da						
В	\mathbb{Q}	be	\oplus	te	٥	r				
С	0	bi	θ	de	φ	ŕ				
D	*	bo	Ψ	ti	φ	ř				
E		bu	Щ	di	5	ŝ				
F	\land	ka	Ш	to	ξ	s				

Fig. 3: Character repertoire of the north-eastern Iberian dual extended script.

c	1	020	1()21	10)22		1023	1024
0			\wedge	ka			#	S	numeral 1
1	D	S81	K	ge	4	du	∑	š	
2			K	ke	Δ	tu	Μ	Ś	
3		be	44	S45.4	~	l		bu	
4			\leq	S45.2	1	a	\mathbf{X}	ku	
5	Z_	i	Х	go					
6	Ħ	de	Χ	ko	7Z	ń			
7	Ш	te			Z_	n			
8			0	e		u			
9	\uparrow	bi	#	da	₽	0			
A	$\boldsymbol{\sim}$	S42	+	ta	R	ř			
В	Ж	r	Ð	di	Р	ŕ			
С	\cap	ba	Φ	ti	P	gi			
D					φ	ki			
E		bo	Ψ	S48					
F	A	ga							

Figure 4: Character repertoire of the south-eastern Iberian dual

	102	0	102	1	102	2	10	23
0			\wedge	ka/ga			ŧ	S
1	D	S81						
2			K	ke/ge	Δ	tu/du	Μ	Ś
3		S41				l		pu/bu
4			4	S45.2	A	a	×	ku/gu
5	М	i			Y	S83	A	to/do
6			\bowtie	ko/go			Ĭ	S92
7		te/de			Ν	n		
8			0	e	 	u		
9	\uparrow	pi/bi			#	0		
А	{	pa/ba	Х	ta/da				
В	X	ŕ			Р	r		
С	Ō	pe/be	Φ	ti/di				
D					φ	ki/gi		
E		po/bo						
F					M	S80		

Figure 5: Character repertoire of the south-western script

c	1020	0	102	1	102	22	102	23
0			\wedge	ka/ga			#	S
1								
2			K	ke/ge	Δ	tu/du	Μ	Ś
3		S41				1		
4			1	S45.2	A	a		
5	7	i						
6			X	ko/go				
7	Ħ	te/de			Ν	n		
8			Ь	e	H	u		
9	\uparrow	pi/bi			*	0		
Α	2	pa/ba	Х	ta/da				
в	€ C	ŕ/S52			(r/S50		
С	Ą	pe/be	Φ	ti/di				
D					Ŷ	ki/gi		
E		po/bo	Щ	S48				
F					ſ	S51/ S80		

Fig. 6: character repertoire of the Espanca script

	10	20	10)21	102	22		1023	10	24
0			\wedge	ga		do				nume ral 1
1	Ρ	а	G	ke	Δ	tu				
2			С	ge	\wedge	du	M	s		
3	<i>m</i> 7	e	μ_{η}	ki	\sim	l				
4			17	gi						
5	M	i	X	ko						
6			Χ	go						
7	Η	0	Ō	ku	Z	m				
8			Ο	gu	V	n				
9	\rightarrow	u	Ж	ta	\top	;?				
Α		ba	Х	da						
В	\sim	be	\otimes	te						
С	Ρ	bi	\bigcirc	de						
D	*	bo	Ψ	ti	φ	r				
E		bu	Ĥ	di						
F	\land	ka	Ш	to	ş	Z				

Fig. 7: character repertoire of the western Celtiberian dual script

	102	20	102	21	10	22	102	3	10)24
0			\wedge	ka/g a	Ш	to/ do				nume ral 1
1	\wedge	a								
2			C	ke/ ge	Δ	tu/ du	Μ	s		
3	<i>m</i>	e			/	l				
4			4	ki/gi						
5	\bowtie	i								
6			Χ	ko/ go						
7		0			2	m				
8			\diamond	ku/ gu	Y	n				
9	\wedge	u			\vdash	;?				
A		ba	Х	ta/ da						
В	8	be								
С	Γ	bi	\diamond	te/ de						
D	Ж	bo			\diamond	r				
E		bu	Ψ	ti/di						
F					5	Z				

Fig. 8: character repertoire of the western Celtiberian non dual script

	10	020	10	21	1022	,	102	3	1024
0			\wedge	ga		do			numeral 1
1	Ρ	a	J	ke	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$	tu			
2			C	ge	Δ	du	Μ	S	
3	<i>m</i>	e	μ_{η}	ki	7	1			
4				gi					
5	M	i	N gi ko		Ŵ	m			
6			Χ	go					
7	X	0	\odot	ku	\geq	n			
8			0	gu					
9	\uparrow	u	Ж	ta	Τ	;?			
A		ba	Х	da					
В	}	be	\otimes	te					
С	Ρ	bi	\Diamond	de					
D	*	bo	Ψ	ti	φ	r			
E		bu		di					
F	\land	ka	Ш	to	ł	z			

Figure 9: character repertoire of the eastern Celtiberian dual script

	10	20	102	21	102	2		1023	10	24
0			Λ	ka/ ga	Ш	to/ do				numer al 1
1	∇	a								
2			<	ke/ ge	Δ	tu/ du	Μ	S		
3	Ł	e			$\left \right $	l				
4			4	ki/ gi						
5	\sim	i			γ	m				
6			Χ	ko/ go						
7	Η	0			\wedge	n				
8			\Diamond	ku/ gu						
9	\uparrow	u			Τ	;?				
А		ba	Х	ta/ da						
В	\Leftrightarrow	be								
С	٢	bi	\diamond	te/ de						
D	Ж	bo			\diamond	r				
E		bu	Ψ	ti/ di						
F					5	Z				

Fig. 10: character repertoire of the eastern Celtiberian non dual script

Phoenician	,	b	g	d	h	w	z	ķ	.t	у	k	Ι	m	n	s	"	р	ş	q	r	š	t								
Theeneidh	¥	9	1	⊿	1	Ч	Ι	Ħ	\otimes	٩,	K	ト	щ	4	ŧ	0	2	٣	φ	٩	W	Х								
Southern PH	A	٦	٨	Δ	IJ	4	ŧ	Ħ	Φ	Ч	Э	1	\$	Μ	ŧ	0		4	φ	q	Μ	Х	Ψ	\uparrow	1	κ	\bowtie	D		
Northern PH	1	P	٨	Δ	E	Y	Ι	Ħ	θ	Ч	C	1	ſ	٢		\odot		٤	φ	۵	Μ	Х	Ψ	\uparrow	1	ጹ	X	Р	ш	*

Fig. 11: comparison between Phoenician, Southern Palaeohispanic and Northern Palaeohispanic.

Encoded glyph						Norther	n					Southern	l
				Iberian			Celtił	perian			Iberian	South western	Espanca
			NI+	NI++	NI-	WC+	WC-	EC+	EC-		SI+	SW	Esp
Р	10200	á		Р									
Ď	10201	a	Ρ	D	\land	Р	\triangleright	Р	\overline{V}	S81	D	D	
	10202	é											
11	10203	e		<u></u>		111	111	111	11	be/ S41			E E
Ч	10204	í		Ч									
Z	10205	i	M	Z	\mathbb{N}	_M	×	M	\mathbb{N}	i	74	۲ ۲	Ч
Ħ	10206	ó		Ħ						de	Ħ		
H	10207	0	H	H	H	Η	H	\mathbb{N}	Η	te/de	Ħ	Ħ	Ħ
余	10208	ú		惫									
\wedge	10209	u	\uparrow	\wedge	\uparrow	\uparrow	\wedge	\uparrow	个	bi/pi	\uparrow	\uparrow	\uparrow
	1020A					_				S42/			
•		ba	ſ							ba/ pa	٤	{	2
Ъ	1020B	be	ጹ	Ъ	\diamond	\sim	\sim	}	\$	r/ŕ /S52	Ж	X	Œ
િ	1020C		0	D	ק	ק		ק	П	ba/ be /			
N/Z	1020D	bi	P	9					Ļ	ре		0	م ا
_ ₩	1020D	bo	*	*	X	*	×	*	X	bo/			
		bu	$\overline{\cdot}$							po			
\wedge	1020F	ka	\land	\land		\land		\land		ga	A		
\wedge	10210	ga/ ka	\land	\wedge	\wedge	Λ	\wedge	Λ	Λ	ka / ga	\wedge	\wedge	\wedge
$\left(\right)$	10211	ke	E	\mathbf{I}		G		G		ge	K		
(10212	ge/ ke	((<	С	С	С	Ś	ke/ ge	K	K	K
<i>t</i> #	10213	ki	1/1	4 7		47		4		S45. 4	4		
1	10214	gi/ki	1	4	4	1	47	1	4	S45. 2	4	4	1
X	10215	ko	X	X		X		X		go	X		
Χ	10216	go/ko	X	X	Χ	X	Χ	X	Χ	ko/ go	\bowtie	\bowtie	\bowtie
\odot	10217	ku	$\overline{\bigcirc}$	$\overline{\bigcirc}$	1	$\overline{\bigcirc}$	1	$\overline{\bigcirc}$		ð			

												outhern	
			Iberi dual	an dual ex	t.	Celtit dual	perian	dual			SE Iberian	SW Iberian	Espanca
\bigcirc	10218	gu/ku	\cap	\bigcirc	\diamond		\diamond		\diamond				Ь
X	10219	gu/ku ta	X	X	\sim)*	\sim	<u> </u>		e da	0 +	0	0
X	1021A	da/	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	ta/		X	V
\oplus	1021B	ta te	\oplus	$\widehat{\oplus}$		\otimes		\otimes		da di	Ð		Х
ð	1021C	de/te	Ð	Ð	\diamond	\otimes	\diamond	\bigotimes	\diamond	ti/di	\square	Φ	Φ
Щ	1021D	ti	Ψ	Ψ	•	Ψ	Ť	Ψ	Ť		¥	Ť	
ΓΨ́	1021E	di/ti	Ψ	Ψ	Ψ	Ϋ́	Ψ	Ϋ́	Ψ	S48	Ψ		Ψ
Ш.	1021F	to	Ш	Ш́.	•	Ш		Ш					•
Ш	10220	do/ to	Ш	Ш	Ш		Ш		Ш				
Δ	10221	tu	Λ	Δ				Λ		du	4		
Δ	10222	du/ tu	Λ	Λ	Λ	\wedge	Λ	Λ	Λ	tu/ du	Λ	Λ	Λ
	10223	l			$\overline{\wedge}$	\sim	\sim		\sim	1			$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$
k	10224	â		~			•		•	a		À	À
Ý	10225	m	\mathbb{Y}	Ý	Y			Y	γ	S83	•	Ý	
N	10226	ń		Ż	•				•	ń	Z,	•	
Ν	10227	n / n / m	\geq	Z	\sim	2	Ν	Ν	\mathbb{N}	n	Z	М	Z
/	10228	<i>m</i> ́ / n	V	V	V	V	Y			u	Ĥ	$\left \right $	H
Ι	10229	ň /?	T	Τ	Τ	Τ	Τ	T	Τ	0	Ŧ	*	#
R	1022A									ř	R		
D	1022B	r	D	D	\triangleleft					ŕ/r/ S50	Р	Р	(
φ	1022C	ŕ	φ	φ						gi	Я		
φ	1022D	ř/ŕ/ r	φ	Q	\diamond	φ	\diamond	φ	\diamond	ki/gi	φ	φ	9
ş	1022E	ŝ		5									
٤	1022F	s / z	~~	×	<	~	5	ž	>	S80/ S51		\sim	ŕ
#	10230									s	#	_	-##
M	10231									š	M		
M	10232	ś / s	Μ	Μ	Μ	Μ	Μ	Μ	Μ	Ś	Μ	Μ	Μ
Ħ	10233									bu /pu		Ħ	
×	10234									ku/ gu	Å	网	
A	10235									to/ do		A	

			Northern -							Sou	thern		
			Iberi	an		Celtibe	rian				SE	SW	Espanca
			dual	dual ext	t	dual		dual	-		Iberian	Iberian	-
₩	10236	S87	⋙	≫	≯					S92		Ĭ	
\land	10237	ќа	\bigwedge	\land									
	10238	ќе	Ċ	€									
	10240	numera 11											
L	10241	numer al-A		L	L								
Π	10242	numer al-B	Π	Π	Π								
-	10243	1/4	-	—	_								
=	10244	1/2	Π	Ξ	=								

- NI+ = Northeastern Iberian dual standard
- NI++ = Northeastern Iberian dual extended
- NI- = Northeastern Iberian non dual
- WC+ = Western Celtiberian dual
- WC- = Western Celtiberian non dual
- EC+ = Eastern Celtiberian dual
- EC- = Eastern Celtiberian non dual
- SI + = Southeastern Iberian dual
- SW = Southwestern Palaeohispanic

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2

PROPOSAL SUMMARY FORM TO ACCOMPANY SUBMISSIONS

FOR ADDITIONS TO THE REPERTOIRE OF ISO/IEC 106461

Please fill all the sections A, B and C below.

Please read Principles and Procedures Document (P & P) from <u>http://std.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/principles.html</u> for guidelines and details before filling this form.

Please ensure you are using the latest Form from http://std.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html.

See also <u>http://std.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/roadmaps.html</u> for latest *Roadmaps*.

A. Administrative

1. Title:		Proposal to encode the Palaeohispanic script					
2. Requester's nam	e: Joan Ferrer, Noemí Moncunill, Javier Velaza, and Deborah Anderson						
3. Requester type (Member body/Liaison/Ind	ividual contribution):	liaiso	ion			
4. Submission date	:		21 April 2017				
5. Requester's reference (if applicable):							
6. Choose one of the following:							
This is a complete proposal:				x			
(or) More information will be provided later:							

B. Technical – General

1. Choose one of the following:						
a. This proposal is for a new script (set of c	x					
Proposed name of script:		Palaeohispanic				
b. The proposal is for addition of character((s) to an existing block:					
Name of the existing block:						
2. Number of characters in proposal:	59					
3. Proposed category (select one from below - see						
A-Contemporary B.1-Specialized (sm	nall collection)	B.2-Specialized (large collect	ion)			
C-Major extinct D-Attested extinct	×	E-Minor extinct				
F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic	mbols					
4. Is a repertoire including character names provi	yes					
a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines"						
in Annex L of P&P document?	yes					
b. Are the character shapes attached in a le	b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review?					

¹ Form number: N4502-F (Original 1994-10-14; Revised 1995-01, 1995-04, 1996-04, 1996-08, 1999-03, 2001-05, 2001-09, 2003-11, 2005-01, 2005-09, 2005-10, 2007-03, 2008-05, 2009-11, 2011-03, 2012-01)

5.	Fonts	related:

	a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard?
	The Atelier National de Recherche Typographique (Thomas Huot-Marchand and Arthur Francietta)
-	b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.):
	Fill in
6. Re	ferences:
	a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? Yes
	b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources)
	of proposed characters attached? yes
7. Sp	ecial encoding issues:
	Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input,
	presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? yes
8. Ad	ditional Information:
assist prope break Mark at <u>htt</u> (<u>http</u>	itters are invited to provide any additional information about Properties of the proposed Character(s) or Script that will in correct understanding of and correct linguistic processing of the proposed character(s) or script. Examples of such erties are: Casing information, Numeric information, Currency information, Display behaviour information such as line is, widths etc., Combining behaviour, Spacing behaviour, Directional behaviour, Default Collation behaviour, relevance in Up contexts, Compatibility equivalence and other Unicode normalization related information. See the Unicode standard p://www.unicode.org for such information on other scripts. Also see Unicode Character Database ://www.unicode.org/reports/tr44/) and associated Unicode Technical Reports for information needed for consideration by nicode Technical Committee for inclusion in the Unicode Standard.

C. Technical - Justification

1. Has this proposal for addition of chara	yes							
If YES explain	If YES explain L2/15-119, L2/15-120, and L2/15-012							
2. Has contact been made to members of the user community (for example: National Body,								
user groups of the script or charac	ters, other experts, etc.)?	yes						
If YES, with whom?	Scholars in Spain, Portugal, France, Germany, and U	JK						
۔۔ If YES, available relevant do	If YES, available relevant documents:							
3. Information on the user community fo	or the proposed characters (for example:							
size, demographics, information te	echnology use, or publishing use) is included?	yes						
Reference:	See proposal							
4. The context of use for the proposed cl	naracters (type of use; common or rare)	rare						
Reference:	See proposal							
5. Are the proposed characters in curren	t use by the user community?	yes						
If YES, where? Reference:	Books, articles, database, etc.							
6. After giving due considerations to the	6. After giving due considerations to the principles in the P&P document must the proposed characters be entirely							
in the BMP?		no						
If YES, is a rationale prov	vided?							
If YES, reference:								
7. Should the proposed characters be ke	pt together in a contiguous range (rather than being scattered)?	yes						
8. Can any of the proposed characters be	e considered a presentation form of an existing							
character or character sequence?								
If YES, is a rationale for i	ts inclusion provided?	yes						
If YES, reference:	See proposal							
9. Can any of the proposed characters be	e encoded using a composed character sequence of either							
existing characters or other propo	sed characters?	по						
If YES, is a rationale for i	ts inclusion provided?							
If YES, reference:								
10. Can any of the proposed character(s)	be considered to be similar (in appearance or function)							
to, or could be confused with, and	to, or could be confused with, an existing character?							
If YES, is a rationale for i	If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?							
If YES, reference:	See proposal							
11. Does the proposal include use of con	nbining characters and/or use of composite sequences?	по						

If YES, is a rationale for such use provided?	
If YES, reference:	
Is a list of composite sequences and their corresponding glyph images (graphic symbols) provided?	no
If YES, reference:	
12. Does the proposal contain characters with any special properties such as	
control function or similar semantics?	по
If YES, describe in detail (include attachment if necessary)	
13. Does the proposal contain any Ideographic compatibility characters?	no
If YES, are the equivalent corresponding unified ideographic characters identified?	
If YES, reference:	