Author : Dhruv Pathak   (City : Gurgaon, India)
Date : 2nd November 2017
Subject : Proposal to add very important "sri" symbol in devanagari unicode block

Symbol requested in "Devanagari" block

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sri

श्र

1. The proposal comes from community's difficulty to write few important words which rely on this symbol :
   https://www.quora.com/How-do-I-write-Shri-in-Hindi/answer/Dhruv-Pathak

2. This symbol is a component of the word "Sri" which is an equivalent salutation of "Mister" in English. Without this symbol, a big community cannot write "Mr." in their native language unicode fonts.

   https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sri

श्री

   3. The symbol is used in day to day language by 400 million hindi speakers.
   4. It is only present in legacy (non-unicode) fonts like "Kruti Dev", "Krishna", but is absent in "Devanagari" unicode block.
 Devanagari is close to Gujarati in its script, and this important symbol is correctly present in

Gujarati unicode block as શ્રી , hence shall be present in the Devanagari block too considering

its huge importance.

5. Current transliterations of 'sri' in hindi (Devanagari) as rendered as combination of multiple characters as श्री , which is incorrect and never used practically anywhere in literature, publications or books.

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2
PROPOSAL SUMMARY FORM TO ACCOMPANY SUBMISSIONS
FOR ADDITIONS TO THE REPERTOIRE OF ISO/IEC 10646[1]
Please fill all the sections A, B and C below.
Please read Principles and Procedures Document (P & P) from HTTPhttp://std.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/principles.htmlUTH for
guidelines and details before filling this form.
Please ensure you are using the latest Form from HTTPhttp://std.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.htmlUTH.
See also HTTPhttp://std.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/roadmaps.html UTH for latest *Roadmaps*.

A. Administrative

1. **Title:** Devanagari
2. Requester's name: Dhruv Pathak
3. Requester type (Member body/Liaison/Individual contribution): Individual contribution
4. Submission date: 2 November 2017
5. Requester's reference (if applicable):
6. Choose one of the following:
　　This is a complete proposal:
　　(or) More information will be provided later: Yes

B. Technical – General

1. Choose one of the following:
　　a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters):
　　　　Proposed name of script:
　　b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block:
　　　　Name of the existing block: Devanagari
2. Number of characters in proposal: 1
3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document):
　A-Contemporary　　A　B.1-Specialized (small collection)　　　B.2-Specialized (large collection)
　C-Major extinct　　　　D-Attested extinct　　　　　　　E-Minor extinct
　F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic　　　　　　G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols
4. Is a repertoire including character names provided?
　　a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines"
　　　　in Annex L of P&P document? Yes
　　b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? Yes
5. Fonts related:
　　a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard?
　　　　India
　　b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.):

6. References:
　　a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? Yes
　　b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources)
　　of proposed characters attached? Yes
7. Special encoding issues:
　　Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input,
　　presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? Yes

8. Additional Information:
Submitters are invited to provide any additional information about Properties of the proposed Character(s) or Script that will assist in correct understanding of and correct linguistic processing of the proposed character(s) or script. Examples of such properties are: Casing information, Numeric information, Currency information, Display behaviour information such as line breaks, widths etc., Combining behaviour, Spacing behaviour, Directional behaviour, Default Collation behaviour, relevance in Mark Up contexts, Compatibility equivalence and other Unicode normalization related information. See the Unicode standard at HTTPhttp://www.unicode.orgUTH for such information on other scripts. Also see Unicode Character Database (Hhttp://www.unicode.org/reports/tr44/) and associated Unicode Technical Reports for information needed for consideration by the Unicode Technical Committee for inclusion in the Unicode Standard.

C. Technical - Justification

1. Has this proposal for addition of character(s) been submitted before?　　No
   　　If YES explain
2. Has contact been made to members of the user community (for example: National Body,
   　　user groups of the script or characters, other experts, etc.)?　　No
   　　　　If YES, with whom?
   　　　　If YES, available relevant documents:
3. Information on the user community for the proposed characters (for example:
   　　size, demographics, information technology use, or publishing use) is included?　　Yes
   　　Reference:
4. The context of use for the proposed characters (type of use; common or rare)　　common
   　　Reference:
5. Are the proposed characters in current use by the user community?　　Yes
   　　If YES, where? Reference:
6. After giving due considerations to the principles in the P&P document must the proposed characters be entirely
   　　in the BMP?
   　　　　If YES, is a rationale provided?
   　　　　　If YES, reference:
7. Should the proposed characters be kept together in a contiguous range (rather than being scattered)?
8. Can any of the proposed characters be considered a presentation form of an existing
   　　character or character sequence?　　No
   　　　　If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?
   　　　　　If YES, reference:
9. Can any of the proposed characters be encoded using a composed character sequence of either　　Yes
   　　existing characters or other proposed characters?　　Yes
   　　　　If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?
   　　　　　If YES, reference:
10. Can any of the proposed character(s) be considered to be similar (in appearance or function)　　No
    　　to, or could be confused with, an existing character?
    　　　　If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?
    　　　　　If YES, reference:
11. Does the proposal include use of combining characters and/or use of composite sequences?　　No
    　　If YES, is a rationale for such use provided?
    　　　　If YES, reference:
    　　Is a list of composite sequences and their corresponding glyph images (graphic symbols) provided?
    　　　　If YES, reference:
12. Does the proposal contain characters with any special properties such as No
    　　control function or similar semantics?
    　　　　If YES, describe in detail (include attachment if necessary)

13. Does the proposal contain any Ideographic compatibility characters?　　No
    　　If YES, are the equivalent corresponding unified ideographic characters identified?
    　　　　If YES, reference: