To: UTC and ISO/IEC JTC1/SC2 WG2
Title: Proposal to encode ORIYA SIGN OVERLINE in the UCS
From: Lorna Priest Evans (SIL International)
Date: 6 May 2019

We wish to propose the addition of ORIYA SIGN OVERLINE in the "Oriya (Odia)" block at the expected (preserved) position for length marks: U+0B55. The character is a combining mark and the glyph should appear as a straight macron above the base character.

The Kuvi [kxv] language of India is spoken by 158,000 [Ethnologue]. The Kuvi language is written using the Oriya (Odia) script. However, length is quite important to distinguish in the Kuvi orthography. At this point there is no ordinary "LENGTH MARK" in the Oriya script (there are two characters 0B56 \degree ORIYA AI LENGTH MARK and 0B57 \degree ORIYA AU LENGTH MARK which have a different purpose and different appearance, and are described in The Unicode Standard, Chapter 12 South and Central Asia-I: Official Scripts of India).

The length mark proposed here has this appearance:

ੋ

In the Table below, one can see the same words listed without and with the length mark. Transliteration is marked, and the definition of the word is included. It is quite clear that the length mark is needed in order to understand the writing system. There have been some language materials printed using this orthography since at least 1987, and those examples will be shown below. (The language community is considering the use of a nukta instead of the overline. However, since the overline has a long history of use we believe it should be encoded for historical reasons even if the use of the nukta is embraced.)

No	Without macron			With macron		
1	ଅଜୁ	support		ଅିତୁ	share	
	[odu]			[oːdu]		
	କଡି	stone		କିଡି	cow	
	[kodi]			[ko:di]		
2	ଆକୁ	grand father		ଆକୁ	leaf	
	[aku]			[a:ku]		
	ବାଲା	seed		ବାଲା	spear	
	[bala]			[baːla]		
3	ଏସ୍ଟି	up/top		ଏସ୍ଟି ସ୍	thirsty	
	[eski]	սբյւօբ		[e:ski]	cimiticy	
	ମେଶ୍ଚା	knee		ମେଶ୍ଚ	sheep	
	[mena]			[me:ŋa]	ысср	

The ORIYA SIGN OVERLINE acts like a secondary modifier. 뀡 is a short vowel and 뀡 with the length mark is the long vowel.

This character could be considered the same as U+0304; COMBINING MACRON. The appearance is very similar. However, in Ganesan's document, there is a relevant quote from Ken Whistler regarding the similar case of encoding a Tamil nukta:

The problem which needs to be addressed is how to represent the diacritic dot (or dots) below that are manifestly present in Badaga written text (and in several other minority language orthographies) written with the Tamil script in Tamil Nadu. The engineering requirements that I see falling out from this are: 1. The diacritic must be a combining mark with ccc=7. This comes from the general Indic rendering system implementation requirements, as suggested by Martin. It takes 0323 COMBINING DOT BELOW (ccc=220) off the table. 2. The diacritic must not unnecessarily break script runs in Tamil. That follows from the fact that the *rest* of the text in question

Proposal to Encode ORIYA SIGN OVERLINE Page 2 of 11 Lorna Priest Evans May 06, 2019 is going to be using Tamil characters. Encoding this with a character that breaks script runs will do nobody any favors.
3. The diacritic must be easily available on Badaga language keyboards and display correctly with fonts that support Badaga text display.
4. The single dot form and the double dot form should not be unified as a single character for representation. Unification of those two would be an unusual step and lead to confusion in use and data representation, I think. In that respect I agree with Michael Everson -- so the requirement from the data is for *two* distinct characters that meet requirements #1 and #2, although there is only a single *function* involved here, and no single orthography seems to need to distinguish a single dot diacritic from a double dot diacritic systematically.

This case is similar in that if the combining macron were to be used, it would not be supported in the general Indic rendering system implementation requirement. If the combining macron were used, script runs in Oriya would break.

The Kuvi language does not use any of the following signs with the overline:

- U+0B3F **î**
- U+0B4C ୌ
- U+0B56 ∂
- U+0B57 ੀ

The following signs do occur with the overline:

- U+0B3E ∣
- U+0B47 6○

Examples of these are shown in the figures below (for example, Figure 1).

Thus, we wish to request the encoding of ORIYA SIGN OVERLINE as a length mark.

Character Name and annotations

0B55	ORIYA SIGN OVERLINE
	Kuvi language

Unicode Character Properties

Properties for the new character:

OB55; ORIYA SIGN OVERLINE;Mn;0;NSM;;;;;N;;;;

This character has no decomposition.

This character can sort immediately before U+0B56 ORIYA AI LENGTH MARK.

Indic Properties

- # Indic_Positional_Category=Top
- # Indic_Syllabic_Category=Vowel_Dependent

Figures

କିସେପଃଇଁ ତିଂକା ଆହାନା ବେୟେସି, ଏ କିସେପ, ନିଂଗାମୁ କକାଶାଃଇଁ ଇଂକାଁ ତାମି ଈୟାନି ତଲେ ମିସର ଦିଦସାତା ହଣ୍ମୁ, ଅଡ଼େ ନାିନୁ ମିଂଗେ ବେୟାଃଆ ପେତେକା ଏସାଃଆଁ ମାଂକୁ, ଏିନାଃଆଁତାକି ଇଚିହିଁ ହେରଦ ରାକା କକାଶାଃଇଁ ପାିୟାଲି ପାରିମାନେସି । ୧୪ ଏସାଟିଃଏ ଏିବାସି ଲାିଃଆୟାଁ ନିଂଗାନା କକାଶାଃଇଁ ଇଂକା ତାମି ଈୟାନି ତଲେ ମିସର ଦେସାତା ହଟେସି । ୧୫ ଅଡ଼େ ହେରଦ ରାକା ହାଁନି ପେତେକା ଏସାଃଆଁ ମାିଚୁ ଏନିକିହିଁକ ମାହାପୃ ବିଲୁ ବେହ୍ନାଣି ତାଶାଟି ମାହାପୃତି ଈ କାତା ଲେହେଁ ଆଁନେ, ମିସର ଦେସାତି ନାଁକୁ ନାଁ ମାର୍ଏଣାଃଇଁ ହାଁଟିତେଃଏଁ ।

Figure 1. ORIYA SIGN OVERLINE (BSI, 1987).

ତ

ତ

ତିଯ୍ହା ମାର୍ନୁଡ ବାଁକା ଇଚିନାଯ୍ଚି

(ମାକ ୧୧: ୧୨-୧୪, ୨୦-୨୪)

୧୮ ଲାଞ୍ଚଇଁ ତ ଗାଡ଼ାତା ଳୀସ୍ଥ ବେଣା 2 ହାଜିମାଗୁଟି ହାକି ଆଁତେସି । ୧୯ ଅଁଡ଼େ ଜିରୁ 68 ଦାରିତା ରି ତିୟାମାନ୍ତି ମେସାନା ମାନ୍ତି 69 ଡାକିତା ହାଚେସି କ୍ର ପିସ୍ପେ ଏମ୍ଲାଃଆଁ ଏନାଃଆ ପ୍ରାଃଆନାହା ମା ଏଦାନି ବାଁକା ଇଟାନା ଏଲେ ଇଚେସି, ଅଁଡ଼େ 1 ଏଚେଲବା ନୀ ତାଣା ପାଁଡ଼େୟି ଆୟାଃଆପେ, ସୁଂ ଇଂଜା ଦେବୁଣିଃଏ 2 ଏ ତିୟା ମାନ ଇ ବାିୟାହାଚେ । ୨୦ ତାନି ସୀସ୍ଥୟାଁ ଏବାଃଆଁ ଇ ମେସାନା କାବା ଆହାନା ଏଲେ ଇଚେରି, ମିଂ ତିୟା ମାନୁ ଏନିକିଁ ଏ ଦେବୁଣିଃଏ ବାୟା ହାଚେ । ୨୧ ଜୀସ୍ଥ ଏବାରଃଇଁ ଏଲେ ଇଚେସି, ର୍ ନାନୁ ମିଂଗେ ସତ ବେସିମାଂକାଃଇଁ ମିଂଗେ 9 ନାମ ମାଚିହିଁ ଅଡ଼େ ମୀର୍ଥ ଆନାମାନା ମାର କିଃଆନାହାଁ ଈ ତିୟା ମାନୁ ତି ନାନୁ ଏନି କାନ କିହାମାଃଇଁ ଏଦି ଆଁଃଏ ସାମା ଈ ନୀଂ ହିରୁତି କିକେଲ ନୀନୁ ମେଗାନା ସାମ୍ଦୁରିତା 90 ରୀକାମୁ ଇଂକିଁ ଇଚିହିଁ বি ରୀନେ । ନାନ ୨୨ ମୀରୁ ନାମାନା ପ୍ରାତାନା କିତିହିଁ ଏନାଃଆଁ 90 ରୀହ୍ଦେରି ଏ ବାରେ ପ୍ରାଃଦେରି । ଇଂହି ଅର୍ଣ୍ଣିଗ କାମ

Figure 2. ORIYA SIGN OVERLINE (BSI, 1987).

Proposal to Encode ORIYA SIGN OVERLINE Page 6 of 11 Lorna Priest Evans May 06, 2019

ପାରୁସ୍ପୁାଶ ଅଡ଼େ ମେର୍ ଲ 11 ପୁନାଗ୍&ଇଁ ଦିହ ଦହନାଘ୍ନି ୕ମୢ ମୋକ ୧୨ : ୪୦; ଲୂକ ୧୧ : ୩୯-୪୨, ୪୪, ୫୨; ଲି १০ : ४୭) 31 ୧୩ ଆହାତା କୁଟୁଗାଟି ମେଗ ପୁନାଡେରି ລ ଅଡ଼େ ପାଁରୁସିୟାଁତେରି ମୀରୁ ଡଣ୍ଡ ପ୍ରାଃନାତେରି, 31 ମାହାପ୍ର ରାଜିତି ଦାିଗ ଲିକୁ ତାକି ମୀର୍ હ ସ୍ଥିଞିମାଂଜେରି, ମୀରୁ ମୀରୁଃଏ ହିଡିହିଲଃ-21 ଅତେରି ଇଂଜାଁ ହିଡାଲି ମିନ କିହିମାନାରଃଇଁ 1-ଜିକେଲ ହିଡାଲି ହୀହିହିଲଃଅତେରି । ĩ C°୪ ଆହାତା କୁଟୁଗାଟି ନେଗ ପୁନାତେରି રે ଅିଡ଼େ ପାଁରୁସିୟାଁତେରି ମୀରୁ ଡଷ ପ୍ରାଃନାତେରି, Ĩ ଇଚିହିଁ ମୀରୁ ଉଚ୍ଚେ ଉଚ୍ଚେଃଏ ଲମ୍ବା ଲମ୍ବା ରାଁଷ୍ଟେଶିସିକା ଇଲ୍କାଣି 2 କିହାନା ପ୍ରାତାନା ଏଦାଃଆଁତାକି 3 ବାରେ ମ୍ବେକ୍ଦେରି, ମୀର୍ . ହାରେକା ଡ଼ଞ ପାଃଦେରି ।] 9. 6 ୧୫ ଆହାତା କୁଟ୍ରଗାଟି ମେ**ଗ**୍ର ପନାତେରି ଅିଡ଼େ ପାଁରୁସିୟାଁତେରି ମୀରୁ ଡଣ୍ଡ ପ୍ଲାଃନାତେରି, 1 ଇଚିହିଁ ରଃଅଣାଃଇଁ ମୀ ଦାମ୍ରୁତା ଆଟ୍ହାଲି 3 ମୀରୃ ସାମ୍ଦୁରି ଆତାଲ ଗାୁଘନା ଦିଦସା 1 ଦେସା ରେଜେରି ଇଂଜାଁ ଏଚି ବେଲତା ଏିହାଣାଃଇଁ ମୀ ଦାମୁତା ଆଟ୍ହାମାଂକେରି 1 ମୀରୁ ଏିହାଣାଃଇଁ ନର୍କତା ମେତ୍ହି ଆିନାସି 3 କିହାନା ମୀ କିହଁ। ଆଗାଡ଼ା ଲଗେଃଏ ପଲେଃଏ କିବି କିଦେରି ।

Figure 3. ORIYA SIGN OVERLINE (BSI, 1987).

Proposal to Encode ORIYA SIGN OVERLINE Page 7 of 11
Lorna Priest Evans May 06, 2019

ଏହାଣାଃଇ ନିନ୍ଦା କିତେର କୀସୁ ହୀନାର୍ଯ୍ (ମାତି ୨୭ : ୪୫-୫୬; ଲୁକ ୨୩ : 88-86; କହ ୧୯ : ୨୮-୩୦) ୩୩ ବାଁର ଗଣ୍ଟା ବେଲାଟିଃଏ ଦେସା ବାରେ ଆନ୍ଦେରି ଆହା ହାକାନା ତୀନି ଗଣ୍ଟା ପେତେକା ଆନ୍ଦେରି ଆଁହାନା ତୟତେ । ୩୪ ତୀନି ଗଣ୍ଟା ବିବଲାତା ଜୀସ୍ଥ କାଜା ଗିୟାଁ ତଲେ ହାଟିହିଁ ଏଲେ ଇଚେସି, ଏଲହି, ଏଲହି, ଲେମା ସାବାକ୍ତାନି ଇଚିହିଁ ଏ ନା ମାହାପ, ନୀ ମାହାପ, ନୀନୁ ନାଂଗେ ଏିନାଃଆଁ V ତାକି ପିଞାତି । ୩୫ ଏଯାଃଆଁ ନିଗ୍ତମାଚି ଲିକୁ ବିହାଟି ଏଚର ଜାଣା ଏଲେ ଇଚେରି, ମେହଦ, ଏଁ ବାସି ଏଲିୟଃଇଁ ହାଟିମାନେସି ୩୬ ଇଂଜାଁ ରଃଅସି ହଟି ହଟିହିଁ ହାଜାନା ରି ଡାଃଲିତା ପଲା ଏୟୁ ନେଂକି କିହାନା ଏଦାଃଆଁ ବାଡ଼ ଗାତା ଦସାନା ଏବାଣାକି

Figure 4. ORIYA SIGN OVERLINE (Gospel of Mark in Kuvi).

References

- BSI. 1987. The New Testament: Kuvi O.V (Ref). The Bible Society of India. Printed in Calcutta, India.
- Ganesan N. 4-20-2016 Proposal to Encode Single-dot Sign at U+1133B Comment on M. Hosken's Badaga dot sign Proposal (L2/15-256) (<u>https://www.unicode.org/L2/L2016/16084-badaga-single-dot.pdf</u> accessed 30-Oct-2018)
- Simons, Gary F. and Charles D. Fennig (eds.). 2018. Ethnologue: Languages of the World, Twenty-first edition. Dallas, Texas: SIL International. (<u>http://www.ethnologue.com</u> accessed 30-Oct-2018).
- The Unicode Consortium. *The Unicode Standard*, Version 11.0.0, (Mountain View, CA: The Unicode Consortium, 2018. ISBN 978-1-936213-19-1) (http://www.unicode.org/versions/Unicode11.0.0/ accessed 28-Nov-18).

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2 PROPOSAL SUMMARY FORM TO ACCOMPANY SUBMISSIONS FOR ADDITIONS TO THE REPERTOIRE OF ISO/IEC 10646 ¹ Please fill all the sections A, B and C below. Please read Principles and Procedures Document (P & P) from http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/principles.html for guidelines and details before filling this form. Please ensure you are using the latest Form from http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html. See also http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/roadmaps.html for latest <i>Roadmaps</i> . A. Administrative
1. Title: Proposal to encode ORIYA SIGN OVERLINE in the UCS 2. Requester's name: Lorna Priest Evans 3. Requester type (Member body/Liaison/Individual contribution): Individual contribution 4. Submission date: 6-May-2019 5. Requester's reference (if applicable): 6-May-2019 6. Choose one of the following: Yes This is a complete proposal: No
B. Technical – General 1. Choose one of the following: a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters): Proposed name of script: b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block: Name of the existing block: Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document): A-Contemporary X B.1-Specialized (small collection) C-Major extinct F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols 4. Is a repertoire including character names provided? a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document? b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review? 5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard? Michael Everson b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.):
 6. References: a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? Yes b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? Yes 7. Special encoding issues: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? 8. Additional Information:
Submitters are invited to provide any additional information about Properties of the proposed Character(s) or Script that will assist in correct understanding of and correct linguistic processing of the proposed character(s) or script. Examples of such properties are: Casing information, Numeric information, Currency information, Display behaviour information such as line breaks, widths etc., Combining behaviour, Spacing behaviour, Directional behaviour, Default Collation behaviour, relevance in Mark Up contexts, Compatibility equivalence and other Unicode normalization related information. See the Unicode standard at http://www.unicode.org for such information on other scripts. Also see Unicode Character Database (http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr44/) and associated Unicode Technical Reports for information needed for consideration by the Unicode Technical Committee for inclusion in the Unicode Standard.

¹ Form number: N4502-F (Original 1994-10-14; Revised 1995-01, 1995-04, 1996-04, 1996-08, 1999-03, 2001-05, 2001-09, 2003-11, 2005-01, 2005-09, 2005-10, 2007-03, 2008-05, 2009-11, 2011-03, 2012-01)

C. Technical - Justification

1. Has this proposal for addition of character(s) been submitted before?	No
If YES explain	
2. Has contact been made to members of the user community (for example: National Body,	
user groups of the script or characters, other experts, etc.)?	Yes
If YES, with whom? Kuvi language speakers	
If YES, available relevant documents: <i>Examples in document were provided</i>	by them.
3. Information on the user community for the proposed characters (for example:	
size, demographics, information technology use, or publishing use) is included?	Yes
Reference: See proposal	
4. The context of use for the proposed characters (type of use; common or rare)	Yes
Reference: See proposal	
5. Are the proposed characters in current use by the user community?	Yes
If YES, where? Reference: See proposal	
6. After giving due considerations to the principles in the P&P document must the proposed characters be e	
in the BMP?	Yes
If YES, is a rationale provided?	Yes
If YES, reference: See proposal	
7. Should the proposed characters be kept together in a contiguous range (rather than being scattered)?	n/a
3. Can any of the proposed characters be considered a presentation form of an existing	11/4
character or character sequence?	No
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?	110
If YES, reference:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2. Can any of the proposed characters be encoded using a composed character sequence of either	λζ
existing characters or other proposed characters?	No
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?	
If YES, reference:	
10. Can any of the proposed character(s) be considered to be similar (in appearance or function)	
to, or could be confused with, an existing character?	Yes
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?	Yes
If YES, reference: See proposal	
1. Does the proposal include use of combining characters and/or use of composite sequences?	Yes, combining
If YES, is a rationale for such use provided?	Yes
If YES, reference: See proposal	
Is a list of composite sequences and their corresponding glyph images (graphic symbols) provided?	No
If YES, reference:	
12. Does the proposal contain characters with any special properties such as	
control function or similar semantics?	No
If YES, describe in detail (include attachment if necessary)	
13. Does the proposal contain any Ideographic compatibility characters?	No
If YES, are the equivalent corresponding unified ideographic characters identified?	No
If YES, reference:	

Proposal to Encode ORIYA SIGN OVERLINE Page 11 of 11 Lorna Priest Evans May 06, 2019