

Re: ESC Recommendations for 2019Q3 UTC

From: Mark Davis and the ESC

Date: 2019-07-24

(Agenda numbers represent a previous version of the agenda.)

Recommendation to make Proposed update for 13.0 (after any amendments in discussion)

B.11.1.1 Working Draft for Proposed Update UTS#51, Unicode Emoji [Davis, Edberg, [L2/19-203](#)]

Recommendations for Unicode 13.0

E.1.1.1 Recommendations for Gendered Emoji ZWJ Sequences for Unicode 13.0, Phase 2 [ESC/Daniel, [L2/19-231](#)]

E.1.3.5 Alternate ZWJ sequences for women/men holding hands [Edberg, [L2/19-275](#)]

Depends on color mechanism; Needs broader discussion, the ESC has no recommendation for or against the following

E.1.3.6 Proposal for WHITE WINE emoji ZWJ sequence for RGI [Curry, [L2/19-276](#)]

E.1.3.7 Proposal for Emoji: BLACK CAT [Sunne, [L2/19-277](#)]

Recommendations for Unicode 14.0

E.1.1.2 Right Hand Gesturing Right and Right Hand Gesturing Left (+ related ZWJ sequence to create Multi-Skintoned Handshake Emoji) for Unicode 14.0 [ESC/Daniel, [L2/19-265](#)]

But change in names to:

WHITE RIGHTWARDS BACKHAND

WHITE LEFTWARDS HAND

Still under consideration for 14.0, but not yet processed by ESC

E.1.3.1 Emoji proposal: DIAPER [Resudek, et al, [L2/19-215](#)]

E.1.3.2 Proposal for Biting Lip emoji [Daniel, [L2/19-219](#)]

E.1.3.3 Proposal for emoji: TROLL (WG2 N5058) [West, Everson, [L2/19-232](#)]

E.1.3.4 Proposal to Define Emoji Variation Sequences for PLAYING CARD BLACK JOKER [Buff, [L2/19-274](#)]

WG2 Interactions

E.1.4 Emoji comments from SC2/WG2 [Moore, [L2/19-273](#)]

E.1.4.1 ESC Response to WG2 Comments on Draft Emoji Candidates ([L2/19-273](#)) [ESC/Lunde, [L2/19-279](#)]

Report on Vendor Intention (no action required by UTC)

E.1.5.1 Using gender inclusive designs [Daniel, [L2/19-078](#)]

Feedback on Draft Candidates

ESC sees no reason to withdraw the trans flag

E.1.5.2 The Curse of Representation by Specificity, or: Why There Should Not Be a Transgender Flag Emoji ([L2/19-080](#)) [Buff, [L2/19-084](#)]

E.1.5.2.1 Response to The Curse of Representation by Specificity, or: Why There Should Not Be a Transgender Flag Emoji [ESC/Jones, [L2/19-194](#)]

General Feedback

The following are suggested dispositions

Date/Time: Sat May 25 11:43:13 CDT 2019, Name: William Overington

QID-related comments. ESC recommends no action.

Summary:

Issue 1: Length - prefers uncompressed

Issue 2: Tag Base - prefers single base

Issue 3: Sequences - unclear

Issue 4: Registry - not in favor if for restricted group

Issue 5: Limiting RGI (*misunderstood; this should not have been presented as issue.*)

Date/Time: Tue Jun 4 13:17:54 CDT 2019; Name: William Overington

Color-related. ESC recommends no action.

- Extending the Emoji_Modifier model would be too disruptive, so future sequences should just use ZWJ
- There is no need for additions, since the squares were intentionally extended for that purpose.

Date/Time: Tue Jun 4 18:37:44 CDT 2019; Name: Charlotte Buff

QID-related. In response to this feedback, the “Related Q&A” section was added to Working Draft for Proposed Update UTS #51.

Date/Time: Tue May 28 12:07:33 CDT 2019; Name: William Overington

QID-related. This centers around the phrase “corresponding to a valid Wikidata QID representing a depictable object.”

Recommends

1. Change the formulation to:
 - corresponding to a valid Wikidata QID. **That QID should represent** a depictable object.
2. Clarify the term “depictable object” in a note below the table:
 - “Depictable object or action” means that it is possible to produce an emoji-style

rendering of a physical object or common action, which is sufficiently distinct and clear at typical emoji sizes, such as 18-point text, and accurately represents the actual object or action. In addition:

- i. Common actions are typically depicted using “comic-style” visual elements, such as thought bubbles (🗨️ U+1F4AD), motion lines (💨 U+1F44B, 💧 U+1F5E3), or other signifiers (😬 U+1F634); or capturing a person or object in the midst of that action (🏃 U+1F3C3).
 - ii. Emotions can be represented by a face or body exhibiting that emotion.
3. Note: The example depiction of “red jagged shape enclosed within a yellow rounded shape” for “risk management” is not sufficient.

Date/Time: Thu May 23 05:27:36 CDT 2019; Name: Mike FABIAN

Informative version number issue for some Emoji_ZWJ_Sequence characters. ESC recommends that Mark take an action to fix the comment version numbers in the data files.

> The Emoji ZWJ Sequence “people holding hands”, which appeared in Emoji-12.0/Unicode-12.0 has “10.0” in the comments in emoji-zwj-sequences.txt

Date/Time: Sun Jul 7 13:41:43 CDT 2019; Name: Ken Martin

Recommend no action; appears to be purely informative.