

# New candidates of Unihan kSpoofingVariant and kZVariant

Author: Ryusei Yamaguchi

Date: 2022-07-05

The following list shows characters which have identical or nearly identical glyphs among ones in the line but neither kSpoofingVariant nor kZVariant property is given yet. As their similar shapes may mislead users, I request UTC for consideration to discuss how to handle these characters.

U+4E86 了 U+20110 𠄎

U+4E8C 二 U+2011E 𠄎 U+2011F 𠄎 U+20120 𠄎

U+5175 兵 U+2050A 𠄎

U+5341 十 U+2C09B 𠄎

U+5929 天 U+2BBDB 𠄎

\* note: kStrange property Category I may fit with this case.

U+5EF6 延 U+2231B 𠄎

U+7530 田 U+2BB42 𠄎

U+76EE 目 U+211A4 𠄎

U+7DD2 緒 U+7DD6 緒

\* note: 緒 and 緒 are a Z-variant pair, but don't have kZVariant property.

U+8A00 言 U+8A01 𠄎

\* note: U+8A00 言 and U+8A01 𠄎 don't have kSemanticVariant property neither. Other radical pairs like 人/亻 or 糸/纟 have kSemanticVariant property.

U+8B20 謠 U+8B21 謠

\* note: 謠 and 謠 are a Z-variant pair, but don't have kZVariant property.

U+9038 逸 U+284DC 𠄎

U+9686 隆 U+28E93 隆

U+53F1 叱 U+20B9F 叱 U+2B738 叱

\* note: U+53F1 叱 and U+20B9F 叱 have kSpoofingVariant property, but U+2B738 叱 doesn't.

A data file that includes proposed property value changes and additions is attached to this document.