

Source:	CheonHyeong Sim (沈天珩)
Title:	Request to Move KP1-8852 to U+296B1
Date:	2025-10-03
Action:	To be considered by CJK & Unihan WG and UTC

This document requests to move KP1-8852 (飼) from U+9907 (飼) to U+296B1 (飼).

9907  
食 184.6  
飼 飼 飼 飼 飼 飼  
GE-5455 HB2-E4D0 T2-4F38 J1-6851 K2-6E2F KP1-8852

296B1  
食 184.5  
飼 飼 飼  
GKX-1418.20 T5-4445 JMJ-053903

As components, 同 and 同 are different and could be never unified. For this particular case, 飼 (tóng in Chinese; tong in Korean) and 飼 (jiǒng in Chinese; hyǒng in Korean) are graphically, phonetically and semantically different. KP1-8852 looks the same as U+296B1 but not U+9907. In KPS 10721, the characters with the same radical are arranged in residual strokes order, then in phonetic order. To determine whether KP1-8852 is a glyph error or a mapping error, we may have a look at both the residual strokes and the pronunciation.

883\_ 餒餓饑飲飲飪餈飪餗餗餗餗餗餗  
884\_ 餗餗餗餗飼餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗  
885\_ 餗餗飼餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗  
886\_ 餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗  
887\_ 餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗餗

[KPS 10721 reconstructed by CheonHyeong Sim](#)

KP1-8850	KP1-8851	KP1-8852	KP1-8853	KP1-8854
RS=5	RS=5	RS=5	RS=5	RS=5
餗	餗	飼	餗	餗
phil	hap	hyǒng	ho	ang

If KP1-8852 is a glyph error, i.e. its RS would be 6 and its pronunciation would be *tong*, then it should not be placed between the characters with RS=5 and pronounced as

*hap* and *ho*. Thus it would more likely to be a mapping error, which could ideally fit the RS and phonetic order.

What we have done before (ref. [L2/22-238](#), [L2/22-247 \(P50\)](#), etc.) is to move KP1-50FB (浞), KP1-5B5D (瘡), KP1-7EF4 (醜), etc., to the correct codepoints according to their pronunciations. Hence, personally I think that we have the right also to move KP1-8852 to the correct codepoint since the rationale is provided.

(End of document)