

**ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2
PROPOSAL SUMMARY FORM TO ACCOMPANY SUBMISSIONS
FOR ADDITIONS TO THE REPERTOIRE OF ISO/IEC 10646¹.**

Please fill all the sections A, B and C below.

Please read Principles and Procedures Document (P & P) from <http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/principles.html> for guidelines and details before filling this form.

Please ensure you are using the latest Form from <http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html>.

See also <http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/roadmaps.html> for latest Roadmaps.

A. Administrative

1. Title:	<i>Proposal to encode an EXTERNAL LINK SIGN in the BMP</i>
2. Requester's name:	<i>Karl Pentzlin</i>
3. Requester type (Member body/Liaison/Individual contribution):	<i>Individual contribution</i>
4. Submission date:	<i>2006-08-01</i>
5. Requester's reference (if applicable):	
6. Choose one of the following:	
This is a complete proposal:	<i>Yes</i>
(or) More information will be provided later:	

B. Technical – General

1. Choose one of the following:		
a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters):		
Proposed name of script:		
b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block:	<i>Yes</i>	
Name of the existing block:	<i>Miscellaneous Symbols</i>	
2. Number of characters in proposal:	<i>1</i>	
3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document):		
A-Contemporary <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	B.1-Specialized (small collection) <input type="checkbox"/>	B.2-Specialized (large collection) <input type="checkbox"/>
C-Major extinct <input type="checkbox"/>	D-Attested extinct <input type="checkbox"/>	E-Minor extinct <input type="checkbox"/>
F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic <input type="checkbox"/>	G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols <input type="checkbox"/>	
4. Proposed Level of Implementation (1, 2 or 3) (see Annex K in P&P document):	<i>1</i>	
Is a rationale provided for the choice?	<i>Yes</i>	
If Yes, reference:	<i>Contains no non-spacing characters.</i>	
5. Is a repertoire including character names provided?	<i>Yes</i>	
a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document?	<i>Yes</i>	
b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review?	<i>Yes</i>	
6. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font (ordered preference: True Type, or PostScript format) for publishing the standard?	<i>The author</i>	
If available now, identify source(s) for the font (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.) and indicate the tools used:	<i>http://www.europatastatour.de/material/ExternalLink.ttf</i>	
7. References:		
a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided?	<i>No</i>	
b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached?	<i>Yes</i>	
8. Special encoding issues:		
Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)?	<i>No</i>	

9. Additional Information:

Submitters are invited to provide any additional information about Properties of the proposed Character(s) or Script that will assist in correct understanding of and correct linguistic processing of the proposed character(s) or script. Examples of such properties are: Casing information, Numeric information, Currency information, Display behaviour information such as line breaks, widths etc., Combining behaviour, Spacing behaviour, Directional behaviour, Default Collation behaviour, relevance in Mark Up contexts, Compatibility equivalence and other Unicode normalization related information. See the Unicode standard at <http://www.unicode.org> for such information on other scripts. Also see <http://www.unicode.org/Public/UNIDATA/UCD.html> and associated Unicode Technical Reports for information needed for consideration by the Unicode Technical Committee for inclusion in the Unicode Standard.

¹ Form number: N3002-F (Original 1994-10-14; Revised 1995-01, 1995-04, 1996-04, 1996-08, 1999-03, 2001-05, 2001-09, 2003-11, 2005-01, 2005-09, 2005-10)

C. Technical - Justification

1. Has this proposal for addition of character(s) been submitted before? If YES explain	No
2. Has contact been made to members of the user community (for example: National Body, user groups of the script or characters, other experts, etc.)? If YES, with whom? If YES, available relevant documents:	No
3. Information on the user community for the proposed characters (for example: size, demographics, information technology use, or publishing use) is included? Reference:	Yes
4. The context of use for the proposed characters (type of use; common or rare) Reference:	Common
5. Are the proposed characters in current use by the user community? If YES, where? Reference:	Yes
6. After giving due considerations to the principles in the P&P document must the proposed characters be entirely in the BMP? If YES, is a rationale provided? If YES, reference:	Yes Yes
7. Should the proposed characters be kept together in a contiguous range (rather than being scattered)?	N/A
8. Can any of the proposed characters be considered a presentation form of an existing character or character sequence? If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided? If YES, reference:	No
9. Can any of the proposed characters be encoded using a composed character sequence of either existing characters or other proposed characters? If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided? If YES, reference:	No
10. Can any of the proposed character(s) be considered to be similar (in appearance or function) to an existing character? If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided? If YES, reference:	No
11. Does the proposal include use of combining characters and/or use of composite sequences? If YES, is a rationale for such use provided? If YES, reference: Is a list of composite sequences and their corresponding glyph images (graphic symbols) provided? If YES, reference:	No
12. Does the proposal contain characters with any special properties such as control function or similar semantics? If YES, describe in detail (include attachment if necessary)	No
13. Does the proposal contain any Ideographic compatibility character(s)? If YES, is the equivalent corresponding unified ideographic character(s) identified? If YES, reference:	No



U+26xx EXTERNAL LINK SIGN

I. Use and semantics of the proposed character

The proposed symbol marks external links within web pages (i.e. links which lead to another site, contrary to internal links which lead to another page within the same site or domain).

Such a marking is especially used where the marking of external links has legal implications (such as denying responsibility for the contents reached by the marked link), thus acting in a somewhat similar way as U+00A9 COPYRIGHT SIGN, U+00AE REGISTERED SIGN or U+2122 TRADE MARK SIGN (which also were the models for the chosen naming of the proposed symbol).

As the information about legal facts or claims is an important part of the semantic field of the marking and therefore of the proposed symbol, the symbol is not a pure control symbol (denoting only the external link at a technical level), unlike some other link symbols commonly found on web pages as "previous" or "home". Therefore, it is not directly comparable in function to e.g. a carriage return or its symbols (U+000D, U+240D, U+2386, U+23CE).

It is used as a part of the descriptive text the user has to click (or consider) to call the link (mostly as the first character of that text, sometime as the last character). Thus, it is used as a textual character within the plain text which constitutes the link description.

As the symbol occurs only as part of a text (unlike e.g. the aforementioned "previous" or "home" symbols), it is no pure "icon" which carries its meaning by itself (like e.g. a traffic sign). It is an integral part of the text which it denotes (the link description), like a TRADE MARK SIGN does not occur without the text which it denotes (the trade mark name).

Thus, it is a character rather than an icon.

The designs shown below (see "specimens") all show a design which fits into the text, having a height comparable to the ascent of the default font as long as the default font size in common HTML viewers is used. The symbol is also commonly used within running text. This shows it is used like a character, and thus deserves to be encoded as a character, rather than to be standardized as a graphical icon which cannot be assumed to fit universally into running text.

The proposed character is by no means to be considered as "*the*" external link sign, i.e. to be an attempt to standardize a symbol for the "external link" functionality on web pages (or to be normative in any other way). Therefore, the addressed institution for standardizing it is not the W3C or a similar institution.

It is to be considered as "*an*" external link sign which is really found "in the wild" used as a character (as discussed above). Therefore the Unicode consortium is addressed to standardize it. It is like encoding a script character without saying anything normative about the orthographies using that script or telling anybody when or how to use it, yet giving it a name based on its function (e.g. common phonetic value) rather than its shape.

In short: The "external link symbol" is proposed to be encoded because it is there, not to standardize any use.

II. Appearance of the proposed character

In its essence, the EXTERNAL LINK SIGN symbol is a rectangle with an arrow starting from its center, with the arrowhead outside. The rectangle usually has a gap, dent or sloping where the arrow crosses it.

The reference glyph above shows a single-stemmed arrow pointing diagonally upward ("north-east").

Glyph variants show a double-stemmed arrow (e.g. at Wikipedia) or an arrow pointing rightward (see specimens).

The proposed reference glyph is designed to be the "common denominator" of the glyphs found.

As it applies to Unicode characters generally, the reference glyph is in no way intended to be taken for a standardized icon or to be the best design in any font.

This is also the reason to not name the symbol after the appearance of its reference glyph, e.g. something like "RECTANGLE WITH NORTH WEST POINTING ARROW", as it is appropriate for technical symbols like U+21B5 DOWNWARDS ARROW WITH CORNER LEFTWARDS.

As no specimens were found within right-to-left scripts, it only can be speculated (or left to future font designers) whether the arrow would point leftward with such scripts or straight upward within mixed direction environments. This is considered to be within the possible range of glyph variation. It is not proposed to set the Bidi_Mirrored property to "yes", as the proposed symbol is neither paired punctuation nor a math operator (which are the types of characters currently covered by Bidi_Mirrored).

III. Properties of the proposed character

All properties of the proposed character are proposed as the ones common to symbols. Thus, the entry in UnicodeData.txt is proposed as:

```
26xx;EXTERNAL LINK SIGN;So;0;ON;;;;;N;;;;;
```

IV. Contemporary marking of external links

As there exists no standardized character now, site authors use to create a little graphic which they use for the external link symbol throughout their site. The existence of a standardized character as proposed would free the site authors from having to reinvent the wheel for that purpose.

As site authors are free to design that symbol (in absence of any standard), some diverging designs are found (see Appendix), but there is a clear evidence of an evolving convergence towards a rectangle with an arrow pointing outward from it.

Sites which use an arbitrary design for an external link symbol use to explain it, while some sites using a rectangle with an arrow pointing outward design do not in some cases, thus showing more confidence in the understandability of the symbol.

This is evidence that a sufficiently universally recognized symbol has emerged.

V. Acknowledgements

Drafts of this proposal were discussed at the public Unicode E-mail list. Thanks to all participants. Many hints from there are incorporated into this proposal.

VI. SPECIMENS

1. Collected 2006-07-21 from:
<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Unicode>

External links

- [The Unicode Consortium](#)
 - [Unicode versions: 3.1](#), [3.2](#), [4.0](#), [4.0.1](#), [4.1](#) [5.0.0](#) (beta)
- [Unicode Character Search](#)
 - [new characters](#), [scripts](#) and [characters and scripts under investigation](#)
 - [Code Charts](#) (PDF files)

2. Collected 2006-07-21 from:
<http://www.heise.de/tp/r4/artikel/23/23144/1.html>

Die Region gilt als Wiege der Menschheit, denn in der Afar-Senke fanden sich Fossilien verschiedener Menschen- und Hominidenarten (vgl. [Neue Affenmenschen](#)), darunter auch die berühmte, bereits aufrecht schreitende und 3,5 Millionen Jahre alte [Lucy](#).

Diese Tiefebene in Äthiopien ist Teil des afrikanischen Grabens, dort stoßen die arabische, die nubische und die somalische Platte aufeinander. Eine unruhige und vulkanisch aktive Gegend, in der sich seit 30 Millionen Jahren immer wieder tiefe Gräben auf tun. Das Rote Meer entstand so, als sich die arabische Erdplatte vom afrikanischen Kontinent entfernte (vgl. [Plattentektonik](#)).

Note: www.heise.de is the site of a German publisher, publishing e.g. the German computer magazine "c't".

The site authors also created a symbol for "internal link" (used in the specimen at the link "Neue Affenmenschen"). As such a symbol is rarely found elsewhere (usually, internal links are not marked with a special symbol), such a symbol is not proposed here.

3. Collected 2006-07-21 from:
http://www.signographie.de/cms/front_content.php?idcat=1&idart=57

Herunterladen und Informationen: <http://www.europatastatur.de>

4. Collected 2006-07-21 from:
<http://hackage.haskell.org/trac/ghc>

- Pick an easy [bug report](#) or [task](#) to work on
- [Grab the latest sources](#)
- [Set up your build tree](#)
- Useful information about GHC's architecture is in the [commentary](#)
- Hack away... ask questions on [the cvs-ghc mailing list](#)
- Submit patches back, using `darcs send` is the recommended way.

5. Collected 2006-07-21 from:
http://investor.invescoperpetual.co.uk/invesco/default/page/literature/request/list_single_copy/0,2879,32524157_34698815,00.html



[Access Adobe online conversion tools](#)







[Install the latest Adobe Reader here](#)

When using an external link - [↗](#) - you will be leaving our website. Any views and opinions expressed subsequently are not ours.

VII. Appendix: Google image search for "external link icon"

A Google image search on 2006-07-30 yielded:

 External Link Icon 17 x 9 Pixel - 1k - gif www.sunderland.gov.uk	 External link icon 31 x 12 Pixel - 1k - gif governor.ky.gov	 External link icon is too tall, ... 5 x 6 Pixel - 1k - gif bugs.sakaiproject.org	 External link icon. 30 x 30 Pixel - 2k - gif www.webbuilderexpress.com
 External Link the External Link Icon 20 x 13 Pixel - 1k - gif www.delmar.ca.us	 External Link Icon 14 x 12 Pixel - 1k - gif options.tafevc.com.au	 ... External Link Icon the External ... 22 x 17 Pixel - 1k - gif www.women.gov.au	 external link icon 12 x 12 Pixel - 1k - gif it.nees.org
 link the link 17 x 17 Pixel - 1k - gif www.mandm-web.nl	 Directorate 16 x 16 Pixel - 1k - gif diglib.shrivenham.cranfield.ac.uk	 External link icon 8 x 8 Pixel - 1k - gif www.clacksweb.org.uk	 Recent Projects 9 x 9 Pixel - 1k - gif hsc.usf.edu
 Klinik für MIC - Minimal Invasive ... 15 x 9 Pixel - 4k - jpg www.mic-berlin.de	 For more information on women s ... 33 x 33 Pixel - 2k - gif www.nws.noaa.gov	 External link icon 8 x 14 Pixel - 1k - gif www.peninsuladesign.co.uk	 external link icon 10 x 10 Pixel - 4k - png www.paulburt.co.uk
 external link icon 16 x 16 Pixel - 1k - gif www.st-peters.hants.sch.uk	 External Link Icon 15 x 9 Pixel - 19k - jpg www.numbersix.co.nz		
 External Link - 15:27 23 x 9 Pixel - 1k - gif chfs.ky.gov	 This is an External Link Icon 14 x 16 Pixel - 1k - gif bureau.aprsmartlogik.com	 external link icon 8 x 10 Pixel - 1k - gif www.msu.edu	 external link icon 16 x 16 Pixel - 2k - gif www.prattcenter.net
 external link icon 70 x 35 Pixel - 2k - gif www.helpforschools.com	 external link icon 70 x 35 Pixel - 2k - gif www.helpforschools.com	 External Link Icon 8 x 8 Pixel - 1k - gif www.exmooronline.com	 Mindworks Networking 12 x 12 Pixel - 1k - gif lion.xaraya.hu
 External link icon - must be ... 18 x 16 Pixel - 1k - gif www.deakin.edu.au	 ... actions External Link Icon Action 54 x 36 Pixel - 2k - gif support.3com.com	 external link icon 6 x 6 Pixel - 1k - gif www.notabledesigns.com	

Of the 26 symbols found here (the last symbol in the first row is not counted [as it serves another purpose], the duplicate in the second last row and the trademark in the last row also are not counted), 16 resemble (more or less) the "box with arrow pointing out of it" pattern. 7 other ones resemble a "circle or world with arrow pointing from it", "boxed arrow", or a simple "pointing symbol" pattern. Only three follow a totally different pattern.