

SC2/WG2 N2090

ISO/IEC JTC1 SC2 WG3 NXXXX

1999-09-03

**ISO/IEC JTC1 SC2 WG3**  
**Single-Octet Coded Character Set**  
**Secretariat: ELOT**

**Title: Registration Authority comment on WD 2375 (1999-05-30)**  
**Doc. Type: Registration Authority contribution**  
**Source: Registration Authority for ISO 2375**  
**Project:**  
**Status: To be considered at WG3 Copenhagen meeting**  
**Date: 1999-09-03**  
**Distribution: WG3, RA**  
**Reference: ISO WD 2375 (dated 1999-05-30, provided by Michael Everson)**  
**Medium:**

The Registration Authority comments following on the WD 2375 (1999-05-30) .

1. Reflection of Fukuoka resolution

In Fukuoka, SC 2 adopted SC 2 N 3295 as the scope of the revision as per Resolution M09.06. The changes corresponding to Item 3, a-d of N 3290 are not included in the WD. The RA requests the following modifications:

1) Submittal of Origin

Clause 5.3, add the following responsibility of the RA.

The Registration Authority shall ascertain that the proposals received are furnished with sufficient information on original character sets.

Clause 11, add the following responsibility of a sponsoring authority.

A sponsoring Authority shall submit a reference of a original character set or appropriate documentation to the RA.

If a published version is available, the sponsor shall provide the RA with it for reference purpose. In the case of International Standards, submittal of the actual standard is not required.

If a published version is not available but if it is expected, the sponsor shall inform such status and submit it to the RA as soon as it becomes available.

In other cases, the sponsor shall submit a statement indicating its implementation status when application is made.

## 2) No Change on Character Names and Shapes

Annex B, add the sentence corresponding to Item 3-b of SC 2 N 3290. Item 3-b of N 3290 says as follows:

Character shapes and character names of the "ORIGIN" should not be changed. If original reference does not have character names, only the owner of the reference or original developer of the reference can assign them.

## 2. Exceeding roles of RA-JAC

RA-JAC in WD 2375 has exceeding roles which current RA has not assigned. Clause 6, the review procedure, and Annex D, RA-JAC, in the current WD exceed the current responsibility of RA or AG. They also contradict the resolution of Fukuoka. They should be re-written as per SC 2 N 3295. The AG's responsibility should expand only to ensure the proposals meet the requirements of the RA.

It is strange that Annex D.2 indicates UTC as a member of RA-JAC. It is an exceeding description, even if UTC may join as a member of RA-JAC.

## 3. Registration procedure is ISO matter

WD 2375 has so many confusions concerning the roles of ISO, IEC and JTC1. The registration procedure of 2375 is still the matter of ISO. Check the ISO/IEC and JTC 1 Directives to find appropriate use.

## 4. Redundant promulgation task

The promulgation described in 5.8 is redundant. Deletion of sub-clause 5.8 is proposed. The web site of ISO-IR has been established for open access. Annex A .2 specifies about distribution. Separate promulgation to ISO/IEC or JTC 1 is not necessary.

## 5. Clarification of the responsibilities of a Sponsoring Authority

For clarification, add the following sentence at the end of clause 11.2.1 .

"Proposals from other countries or organizations shall not be accepted."

—end—