(Note: This document is an update to document L2 06-248 (WG2 N3130 dated 2006-07-27; a note regarding ballot comments is additionally proposed.)

Background

Since the first publication of ISO/IEC 10646 implementation of the standard has come a long way. Most of the implementations now have full support for combining characters and associated compositions for complex scripts. The initial reason for having three different implementation levels in the standard and the need to identify the level needed to support a proposed script has essentially disappeared.

The feedback received from users of the Proposal Summary Form and the Principles and Procedures document is that 'the question on levels should be removed', since it has outlived its usefulness and relevance (in Part B - Technical, General part of the Proposal Summary Form). It reads as:

4. Proposed Level of Implementation (1, 2 or 3) (see Annex K in P&P document):
   Is a rationale provided for the choice?
   If Yes, reference:

Proposal

1. It is proposed that the above question and associated annex information from the Principles and Procedures document related to Levels of Implementation in the standard - Level 1, 2 or 3 be removed. As a consequence, Questions 5 through 9 in Part B of the form will be renumbered to 4 through 8. A sample of the revised first page of the form is attached.

2. In addition the following note is proposed for inclusion in the 'Information accompanying the proposal summary form' to draw the attention of national bodies:

   Note: National bodies should restrict their ballot comments to the content of the document under ballot. All proposals for new characters or new material for the standard should be made as independent contributions outside the ballot comments. The project editor has the prerogative of ruling such proposals to be 'out of order, as not related to the text under ballot' and ignore them completely.
**PROPOSED REVISION TO PART A OF PROPOSAL SUMMARY FORM**

**PROPOSAL SUMMARY FORM TO ACCOMPANY SUBMISSIONS FOR ADDITIONS TO THE REPERTOIRE OF ISO/IEC 10646**

Please fill all the sections A, B and C below.


Please ensure you are using the latest Form from [http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html](http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html).


**A. Administrative**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Title:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Requester's name:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Requester type (Member body/Liaison/Individual contribution):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Submission date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Requester's reference (if applicable):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Choose one of the following:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This is a complete proposal:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(or) More information will be provided later:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B. Technical – General**

1. Choose one of the following:
   a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters):
      Proposed name of script:  
   b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block:
      Name of the existing block:  

2. Number of characters in proposal:

3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document):
   A-Contemporary   B.1-Specialized (small collection)   B.2-Specialized (large collection)
   C-Major extinct   D-Attested extinct   E-Minor extinct
   F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic   G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols

4. Is a repertoire including character names provided?
   a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the “character naming guidelines” in Annex L of P&P document?
   b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review?

5. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font (ordered preference: True Type, or PostScript format) for publishing the standard?
   If available now, identify source(s) for the font (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.) and indicate the tools used:

6. References:
   a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided?
   b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached?

7. Special encoding issues:
   Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)?

8. Additional Information:
   Submitters are invited to provide any additional information about Properties of the proposed Character(s) or Script that will assist in correct understanding of and correct linguistic processing of the proposed character(s) or script. Examples of such properties are: Casing information, Numeric information, Currency information, Display behaviour information such as line breaks, widths etc., Combining behaviour, Spacing behaviour, Directional behaviour, Default Collation behaviour, relevance in Mark Up contexts, Compatibility equivalence and other Unicode normalization related information. See the Unicode standard at [http://www.unicode.org](http://www.unicode.org) and associated Unicode Technical Reports for information needed for consideration by the Unicode Technical Committee for inclusion in the Unicode Standard.

---