|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| US |  | Sindhi | T.1. | The name “Sindhi” is a broad term, typically referring to a class of scripts that are based on Landa. The name “Khudawadi” is well-attested in primary and secondary literature specifically for this script, and will prevent confusion. Feedback from the user community supports the change of name to “Khudawadi,” as relayed in N3597. Today, the term “Sindhi script” is commonly used for the Arabic-based script used for writing the Sindhi language; the Arabic-based script is unrelated to the script being balloted. | The U.S. requests the name of the block and its characters be changed from “Sindhi” to “Khudawadi”, replacing the chart and nameslist on the ballot with the chart and nameslist shown in Annex A of this document. For a full description of the changes, see the revised proposal N3979. |  |
| US |  | Coptic Numbers | T.2. | “Coptic Numbers” is too general a designation, since it implies these proposed characters are the usual Coptic numbers. Instead, the proposed characters are imported (“epact”), and a change of name to “Coptic Epact Numbers” will make this distinction clear, as discussed in N3958. | The U.S. requests the Coptic Numbers block (102E0-102FF) be changed to “Coptic Epact Numbers,” and all character names likewise reflect this change as reflected L2/11-062. |  |
| US |  | Miscellaneous Symbols and Pictographs | T.3 | The Armenian standards ArmSCII-7, ArmSCII-8, and ArmSCII-8A contain only one character, which is represented by a left-facing glyph for the eternity sign. Adding two characters, where the national standards only show one, would create mapping problems. | The U.S. requests the removal of 1F53E RIGHT-FACING ARMENIAN ETERNITY SIGN and 15F3F LEFT-FACING ARMENIAN ETERNITY SIGN from the Miscellaneous Symbols and Pictographs block and move the current LEFT-FACING ARMENIAN ETERNITY SIGN to 058E, with a name change to ARMENIAN ETERNITY SIGN. |  |
| US |  | Mro | T.4 | The proposal (N3589) provides no examples of a single danda. The status of the Mro double danda as either a unitary character or a sequence of characters is unclear, given the use of a full stop in the orthography as well. | The U.S. requests the removal of 16A6E MRO DANDA and 16A6F MRO DOUBLE DANDA, unless clarification of their status and more evidence of use can be provided. |  |
| US |  | Bassa Vah | T.5. | Comments from the user community (L2/11-041) have made clear the character, which only appears in one Bassa Vah document, is a typographic error. It should be removed from the coding chart for Bassa Vah. | The U.S. requests the removal of 16AF5 BASSA VAH COMMA. |  |
| US |  | Arabic | T.6. | This mark is used for Arabic, as is shown in the image (see below). If the Coptic epact numbers are given the Script property value “common,” the ARABIC NUMBER MARK ABOVE could be used equally well with Coptic epact numbers. However, having both “Arabic” and “Coptic” in the character name is confusing, as it includes two scripts, contrary to 10646 naming guidelines.    Source: J. Lennart Berggren, Episodes in the Mathematics of Medieval Islam, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986, p. 37 | The U.S. requests 0605 ARABIC COPTIC NUMBER MARK be renamed ARABIC NUMBER MARK ABOVE. |  |
| US |  |  | T.7. |  |  |  |
| US |  |  | T.8. |  |  |  |
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| US |  |  | T.2. |  |  |  |
| US |  |  | T.2. |  |  |  |
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