





Note on the glyphs. In the original document, the glyphs were chosen to align with the size of the Ideographic Zero (U+3007), as currently presented in the code chart, because as one may observe in the manuscript, the unit and ten digit five are actually the Ideographic Zero with an extra stroke. This introduced a conflict however, since the unit digit five had the vertical stroke too small to be differentiated from the zero. So, this new font conforms better to the manuscript, just note that one must modify the size of the zero when designing a font for transcription.

Note on the names. Originally the characters' name was COUNTING ROD SOUTHERN SONG... and so on, this aligned better with the names of the already encoded counting rods, however the ad hoc committee recommended to change the name for apparently no reason, but nevertheless the change was made.

Entries.

Southern song counting rods

1D379 × SOUTHERN SONG COUNTING ROD UNIT DIGIT FOUR

- also used for tens

→ 3024 × HANGZHOU NUMERAL FOUR

1D37A ○ SOUTHERN SONG COUNTING ROD UNIT DIGIT FIVE

→ 3025 𠄎 HANGZHOU NUMERAL FIVE

1D37B ✕ SOUTHERN SONG COUNTING ROD UNIT DIGIT NINE

→ 3029 𠄎 HANGZHOU NUMERAL NINE

1D37C ○ SOUTHERN SONG COUNTING ROD TENS DIGIT FIVE

1D37D ✕ SOUTHERN SONG COUNTING ROD TENS DIGIT NINE

Note on the digit four. The unit digits can take the value of both 5 and 9 and similarly the tens digits of them can take the value of 50 and 90 respectively. The digit four apparently has no tens digit version (as originally thought) so it remained the same.

Properties.

- 1D379;SOUTHERN SONG COUNTING ROD UNIT DIGIT FOUR;No;0;L;;;;4;N;;;;;
- 1D37A;SOUTHERN SONG COUNTING ROD UNIT DIGIT FIVE;No;0;L;;;;5;N;;;;;
- 1D37B;SOUTHERN SONG COUNTING ROD UNIT DIGIT NINE;No;0;L;;;;9;N;;;;;
- 1D37C;SOUTHERN SONG COUNTING ROD TENS DIGIT FIVE;No;0;L;;;;50;N;;;;;
- 1D37D;SOUTHERN SONG COUNTING ROD TENS DIGIT NINE;No;0;L;;;;90;N;;;;;

Similar characters. Unlike the appearance of Senzhou numeral four which is more in line with regular handwriting in East Asia, the counting Rod form is a perfect X of 45 degree angles made of straight lines, so they could not be called confusable (a similar argument follows from U+2717 BALLOT X and U+2613 SALTIRE) it is also bigger than the multiplication sign, and the vector or cross product characters. Some characters that might be confusable would be U+2715 MULTIPLICATION X and U+2A09 N-ARY TIMES OPERATOR, but unlike any of those characters that are either an operator or a symbol, U+1D379 is a numeral with a corresponding value.

It is tempting to say that apart from the number 4 the other characters should be obtained with sequences, but this is not the direction we should go in, normally numerals are not required to be composed with combining characters, such as COMBINING VERTICAL LINE ABOVE or COMBINING MACRON which should be reserved for letters. This proposal overall is great for whoever wants to transcribe Southern Song dynasty documents and completes the holes in the notation.

Thanks. I would like to thank Ken Lunde who provided the font as well as invaluable feedback on the document.

**ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2
PROPOSAL SUMMARY FORM TO ACCOMPANY SUBMISSIONS
FOR ADDITIONS TO THE REPERTOIRE OF ISO/IEC 10646¹**

Please fill all the sections A, B and C below.

Please read Principles and Procedures Document (P & P) from <http://std.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/principles.html> for guidelines and details before filling this form.

Please ensure you are using the latest Form from <http://std.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html>.

See also <http://std.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/roadmaps.html> for latest Roadmaps.

A. Administrative

1. Title:	<i>Revised proposal to add Southern Song forms of counting rods as separate characters</i>
2. Requester's name:	<i>Eduardo Marin Silva</i>
3. Requester type (Member body/Liaison/Individual contribution):	<i>Individual Contribution</i>
4. Submission date:	<i>2017-08-02</i>
5. Requester's reference (if applicable):	
6. Choose one of the following:	
This is a complete proposal:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(or) More information will be provided later:	<input type="checkbox"/>

B. Technical – General

1. Choose one of the following:	
a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters):	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed name of script:	
b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Name of the existing block:	<i>Counting Rods</i>
2. Number of characters in proposal:	<i>5</i>
3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document):	
A-Contemporary <input type="checkbox"/> B.1-Specialized (small collection) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> B.2-Specialized (large collection) <input type="checkbox"/>	
C-Major extinct <input type="checkbox"/> D-Attested extinct <input type="checkbox"/> E-Minor extinct <input type="checkbox"/>	
F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic <input type="checkbox"/> G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols <input type="checkbox"/>	
4. Is a repertoire including character names provided?	<i>Yes</i>
a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document?	<i>Yes</i>
b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review?	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Fonts related:	
a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard?	<i>Ken Lunde</i>
b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.):	<i>lunde@adobe.com</i>
6. References:	
a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided?	<i>Yes</i>
b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached?	<i>Yes</i>
7. Special encoding issues:	
Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)?	<i>No</i>

8. Additional Information:

Submitters are invited to provide any additional information about Properties of the proposed Character(s) or Script that will assist in correct understanding of and correct linguistic processing of the proposed character(s) or script. Examples of such properties are: Casing information, Numeric information, Currency information, Display behaviour information such as line breaks, widths etc., Combining behaviour, Spacing behaviour, Directional behaviour, Default Collation behaviour, relevance in Mark Up contexts, Compatibility equivalence and other Unicode normalization related information. See the Unicode standard at <http://www.unicode.org> for such information on other scripts. Also see Unicode Character Database (<http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr44/>) and associated Unicode Technical Reports for information needed for consideration by the Unicode Technical Committee for inclusion in the Unicode Standard.

¹ Form number: N4502-F (Original 1994-10-14; Revised 1995-01, 1995-04, 1996-04, 1996-08, 1999-03, 2001-05, 2001-09, 2003-11, 2005-01, 2005-09, 2005-10, 2007-03, 2008-05, 2009-11, 2011-03, 2012-01)

C. Technical - Justification

1. Has this proposal for addition of character(s) been submitted before?	Yes
If YES explain <i>This is a revised version</i>	
2. Has contact been made to members of the user community (for example: National Body, user groups of the script or characters, other experts, etc.)?	No
If YES, with whom?	
If YES, available relevant documents:	
3. Information on the user community for the proposed characters (for example: size, demographics, information technology use, or publishing use) is included?	No
Reference:	
4. The context of use for the proposed characters (type of use; common or rare)	Unknown
Reference:	
5. Are the proposed characters in current use by the user community?	Unknown
If YES, where? Reference:	
6. After giving due considerations to the principles in the P&P document must the proposed characters be entirely in the BMP?	No
If YES, is a rationale provided?	
If YES, reference:	
7. Should the proposed characters be kept together in a contiguous range (rather than being scattered)?	Yes
8. Can any of the proposed characters be considered a presentation form of an existing character or character sequence?	No
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?	
If YES, reference:	
9. Can any of the proposed characters be encoded using a composed character sequence of either existing characters or other proposed characters?	No
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?	
If YES, reference:	
10. Can any of the proposed character(s) be considered to be similar (in appearance or function) to, or could be confused with, an existing character?	Yes
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?	
If YES, reference: <i>Similar characters</i>	
11. Does the proposal include use of combining characters and/or use of composite sequences?	No
If YES, is a rationale for such use provided?	
If YES, reference:	
Is a list of composite sequences and their corresponding glyph images (graphic symbols) provided?	No
If YES, reference:	
12. Does the proposal contain characters with any special properties such as control function or similar semantics?	No
If YES, describe in detail (include attachment if necessary)	
13. Does the proposal contain any Ideographic compatibility characters?	No
If YES, are the equivalent corresponding unified ideographic characters identified?	
If YES, reference:	