Universal Multiple-Octet Coded Character Set International Organization for Standardization Organisation Internationale de Normalisation Международная организация по стандартизации

# Doc Type:Working Group DocumentTitle:Proposal for the addition of LATIN CAPITAL LETTER TURNED w to the UCSSource:Michael EversonStatus:Individual ContributionDate:2019-05-10

This proposal requests the encoding of one Latin capital letter which provides casing support for one existing character. If this proposal is accepted, the following character will exist:



LATIN CAPITAL LETTER TURNED W

 $x \; 028D$  m latin small letter turned w

The M LATIN CAPITAL LETTER TURNED W serves as an upper-case equivalent of U+028D M LATIN SMALL LETTER TURNED W in the same way as U+0245  $\Lambda$  LATIN CAPITAL LETTER TURNED V serves as an upper-case equivalent of U+028C  $\Lambda$  LATIN SMALL LETTER TURNED V. The absence of this character was noticed in the preparation of two case-pairing transcriptions, one for the reconstructed 18th-century Scots pronunciation of Robert Burns, and one for phonetically transcribing a passage written in Pitman Shorthand. In both cases long texts were prepared using casing orthographies for the convenience of non-specialist readers to ease their navigation of the text.

N4030R2 (L2/12-082) presented a similar, successful, request for the encoding of 3 U+A7AB LATIN CAPITAL LETTER REVERSED OPEN E and G U+A7AC LATIN CAPITAL LETTER SCRIPT G, for an edition of *Alice's Adventures in Wonderland* printed in the International Phonetic Alphabet. The reason LATIN CAPITAL LETTER TURNED W was not requested at that time is that the WINE/WHINE merger applies to Received Pronunciation as used in that book. In Scots, however, the phonemes /w/ and /m/ remain distinct.

Compare N5036R (L2/19-075R), which proposed both  $\mathcal{M}$  U+1AC0 COMBINING LATIN SMALL LETTER TURNED W BELOW AND U+AB69 MODIFIER LETTER SMALL TURNED W also for Scots linguistics.

In Pitman Shorthand, the phonemes /w/ and /m/ are likewise distinctly represented. Moreover, Pitman marks the capitalization of proper names and place-names, so a transcription which cannot show this distinction is defective. See Figures 6 and 6b.

## **Unicode Character Properties.**

028D;LATIN SMALL LETTER TURNED W;Ll;0;L;;;;N;;;A7D4;;A7D4 A7D4;LATIN CAPITAL LETTER TURNED W;Lu;0;L;;;;N;;;;028D;

# **Bibliography**

Carroll, Lewis. 2014. Alice's Adventures in Wonderland in the International Phonetic Alphabet: 'Ælisiz Əd'ventfəz in 'Wandəlænd. Cathair na Mart: Evertype. ISBN 978-1-904808-72-5.

- Carroll, Lewis. [2019, in press]. Alice's Adventures in Wonderland. An edition printed in Pitman's Shorthand (New Era Intermediate Stage): مسلة مراجة المحالية المح المحالية المحالية
- Eagle, Andy. [2019, in press]. Written Scots in Scotland and Ulster: A review of traditional spelling practice and recent recommendations for a regularized orthography. (Corpus Textuum Scoticorum; 1), Dundee: Evertype. ISBN 978-1-78201-243-6.
- Pitman, Isaac. [s.d.]. *Pitman's Shorthand Dictionary*. Eleventh Edition (New Era). London: Sir Isaac Pitman and Sons.

#### Figures.

# §9.1 WRITTEN SCOTS IN SCOTLAND AND ULSTER9.1 ROBERT BURNS

Carlisle 1<sup>st</sup> *June* 1787—or I believe the 39<sup>th</sup> o' May rather Kind, Honest-Hearted Willie,

I'm sitten down here, after seven and forty miles' ridin', e'en as førjesket and forniaw'd<sup>169</sup> as a forfoughten cock, to gie you some notion o' my 5 landlowper-like stravaguin sin the sorrowfu' hour that I sheuk hands and parted wi' auld Reekie.—

My auld, ga'd gleyde o' a meere has huchyall'd up hill and down brae, in Scotland and England, as teugh and birnie as a vera devil wi' me.—It's true, she's as poor's a Sang-maker and as hard's a kirk, and tipper-taipers 10 when she taks the gate, first like a Lady's gentlewoman in a minuwae,<sup>170</sup> or a hen on a het girdle, but she's a yauld, poutherie Girran for a' that; and has a stomach like Willie Stalker's meere that wad hae disgeested tumblerwheels, for she'll whip me aff her five stimparts o' the best aits at a downsittin and ne'er fash her thumb.—When ance her ring-banes and spavies, <sup>15</sup> her crucks and cramps, are fairly soupl'd, she beets to, beets to, and aye the hindmost hour the tightest.—I could wager her price to a thretty pennies that, for twa or three wooks ridin' at fifty deil-stickit a five gallopers acqueesh Clyde and Whithorn could cast saut in her tail.—

I hae dander'd owre a' the kintra frae Dunbar to Selcraig, and hae <sup>20</sup> forgather'd wi' mony a guid fallow, and mony a weel-far'd hizzie.—I met wi' twa dink quines in particlar, ane o' them a sonsie, fine fodgel lass, baith braw and bonie; the tither was a clean-shankit, straught, tight, weel-far'd winch, as blythe's a lintwhite on a flowerie thorn, and as sweet and modest's a new blawn plumrose<sup>171</sup> in a hazle shaw.—They were baith bred to <sup>25</sup> mainers by the beuk, and onie ane o' them has as muckle smeddum and rumblegumtion as the half o' some Presbytries that you and I baith ken.— They play'd me sik a deevil o' a shavie that I daur say if my harigals were turn'd out, ye wad see twa nicks i' the heart o' me like the mark o' a kail-whittle in a castock.—

I was gaun to write you a lang pystle,<sup>172</sup> but, Gude forgie me, I gat myself sae notouriously bitchify'd the day after kail-time that I can hardly stoiter but and ben.—

My best respects to the guidwife and a' our common friens, especiall Mr. and Mrs. Cruikshank, and the honest Guidman o' Jock's Lodge.  $^{35}$ 

169 For + gnaw + ed.

- 171 Dissimilated variant of primrose.
- 172 Aphetic form of epistle.
- 158

**Figure 1.** Transcription of a letter by Robert Burns to William Nicol, 1787-06-01. This is from a forthcoming book on orthographies for Scots by Andy Eagle, *Written Scots in Scotland and Ulster*. It reviews many proposals for a normalized orthography, and uses this text as a sample to test out various orthographic proposals. The graph  $\langle wh \rangle$  occurs 7 times on this page, 2 of them using capital letters. There are 11 sample revisions given in this section of the book; in Figures 2, 3, and 4 three of the modern suggested orthographies will be shown, and in Figure 5 the relevant IPA transcription of Burns' letter will be given.

<sup>170</sup> Phonetic spelling of the French 'menuet' minuet. Perhaps a more opaque spelling.

# **§9.6** WRITTEN SCOTS IN SCOTLAND AND ULSTER

### 9.6 ANGUS STIRLING

Stirling's proposals<sup>179</sup> failed to distinguish some underlying phonemes and it was often unclear how and where his suggested graphemes were to be applied. The transcription may not accurately reflect Stirling's intentions.

Carliil 1st Jön 1787-or

Ii believ the 39th o Mii räther

Kiinn, onist-härtit Wullie,

Ii'm sitten dun hier, eftir sieven ann fowertie miils riidin, ie'en as førjeskit ann forgnad as a forfochten cokk, tä gie yu summ noschun o mii 5 lannlowper-liik stravägin sinn the sorrafu ur thatt Ii schiuk hanns ann pärtit wi ald Riekie.

Mii ald, gad gliid o a mier häs hochilt upp hill ann dun brä, in Scotlann ann Inglann, as tiuch ann birnie as a verra dievil wi mie. It's tru, schie's as pör's a sang-makker ann as hard's a kirk, ann tipper-täpers 10 whann schie takks the gät, first liik a leddie's gentilwumman in a minuä, or a henn on a hett girdil; butt schie's a yald, putherie girran for a thatt, ann häs a stumakk like Wullie Staker's mier thatt wordd hä disgiestit tummlerwhiels, for schie'll whup mie aff hir fiv stimparts o the best äts at a dunsittin ann ne'er fasch hir thum. Whann äns hir ringbäns ann spävies, 15 hir criuks an cramps, ar färlie supelt, schie biets tä, biets tä, ann ey the hinnmäst ur the tichtist. Ii cud wäjir hir priis tä a threttie pennies, that for twa or thrie wuks riidin att fiftie miils a dey, the diel-stikkit a fiiv gallopers akwiesch Kliid ann Whithorn cud kast sat inn hir täl.

Ii hä dannerd ower a the kintra frä Dunbar tä Selkräg, ann hä 20 forgäthert wi monnie a göd falla, ann monnie a wielfard hissie. Ii mett wi twa dink quiini inn parteiklar, äne o thäm a sonsie, fiin, fojel lass, bäth bra ann bonnie; the tither wus a clän-shankit, stracht, ticht, wiel-fard winsch, as bliith's a lintwhiit on a fluerie thorn, ann as swiet ann modist's a niw blan plummros inn a hissil scha. Thä wurr bäth bredd tä 25 mäners bii the biuk, ann onnie än o thäm häs as mukkil smeddum ann rummilgumschun as the haf o summ presbiteries thatt yu ann Ii bäth kenn. Thä pläd mei sikk a dievil o a shävie thatt Ii dar sä iff mii harigals wurr turnt ut, yie wudd sie twa nikks inn the härt o mie liik the merk o a kälwhuttil in a castokk. 30

Ii wus gan tä wriit yu a lang pistil, butt, Göd forgie mie, Ii gatt miisell sä notoriuslie bitchified the dey efter käl-tiim thatt Ii kann hardlie stoiter butt ann benn.

179 Stirling, A. (1994) "On a standardised spelling for Scots", *Scottish Language* 13, 88–93.168

**Figure 2.** Proposed orthography for Scots by Angus Stirling. This is an unusual suggestion and certainly unlikely to be adopted. The graph  $\langle wh \rangle$  is again marked here.

#### §9.10 WRITTEN SCOTS IN SCOTLAND AND ULSTER

#### 9.10 PHILIP ROBINSON

Spellings were selected from Robinson's *Grammar*.<sup>222</sup> It also included a glossary. Where a word could not be found, analogy was attempted.

Carlisle 1st Juin 1787—or

A believe the 39t o Mie rether

Kynn, hònest-hairtit Wullie,

A'm sutten doon heir, eftèr seiven an fawrtie miles ridin, e'en as forjeskir an forgnaad<sup>223</sup> as a forfochten cok,<sup>224</sup> tae gie you some notion o ma 5 lannlouper<sup>225</sup>-like stravaigin sin thà sorrafu hòor at A sheuk havns an pairtit wi oul Reekie.

Ma oul, gaa'd gleyde o a meer haes hochlit up hill an doon brae, in Scotlann an Englann, as teuch an birnie as a vera deivil<sup>226</sup> wi me. It's trùe, scho's as puir's a sang-makker an as haird's a kirk,<sup>227</sup> an tipper-taipers 10 quhan scho taks thà gate, färss like a lady's gentlewuman in a minuet, or a hen on a het girdle; bot scho's a youl, poothèrie girran fur aa at, an haes a stomack like Wullie Stalker's mair at wud hae disgístit tummlerwheels, fur scho'll whup me aff her five stimparts o tha<sup>228</sup> bess aits at a doon-sittin an ne'er fash her thoom. Quhan yince her rängbanes an spavies, 15 her cruks<sup>229</sup> an cramps, ir fairlie sooplit, scho beets tae, beets tae, an aye the hinmaist hòor thà tichtest. A cud wager her price tae a thretie pennies, at fur twa ir thrie waeks ridin at fiftie miles a day, tha deil-stickit a five gallopers aqueesht Clyde an Whithorn cud cast saut in her tail.

A hae dandhèrt owre aa tha kintra frae Dunbar tae Selcraig, an hae 20 forgaitherit<sup>230</sup> wi monie a guid fella, an monie a weel-fart<sup>231</sup> hizzie. A met wi twa dänk quines in particlar, yin o thàim a sonsie, fine, fodgel lass, baith braa<sup>2</sup> an bonnie; tha tither wuz a clain-shankit, stracht, ticht, weel-fart wunch, as blythe's a lintwhite on a flooerie thoarn,<sup>232</sup> an as sweet an

- 223 By analogy with blaa 'blow'.
- 224 By analogy with bak 'back'.
- 225 By analogy with coup 'overturn'.
- 226 By analogy with seiven 'seven'.
- 227 In Robinson's system one would expect kärk but his use of  $\ddot{a}$  is generally (and inconsistently) limited to vocabulary shared with English.
- 228 Robinson's examples (1997: 64-5) would seem to indicate that the form *thà* occurs only after a consonant.
- 229 By analogy with luk 'look'.
- 230 Robinson used *gaitherit* (1997: 208) but on p.119 indicates that the verb conjugation would be gaithert cf. *dandhèrt*.
- 231 By analogy with dar 'dare'.
- 232 By analogy with *coarn* 'corn'.

#### 176

**Figure 3.** Proposed orthography for Ulster Scots by Philip Robinson. Robinson rather controversially proposes to replace  $\langle wh \rangle$  with the Middle Scots graph  $\langle quh \rangle$ , but only for pronouns and adverbs. Both the graphs  $\langle quh \rangle$  and  $\langle wh \rangle$  are marked here.

<sup>222</sup> Robinson, Philip (1997) Ulster–Scots. A Grammar of the Traditional Written and Spoken Language, The Ullans Press.

#### §9.12 WRITTEN SCOTS IN SCOTLAND AND ULSTER

#### 9.12 ANDY EAGLE

Scots was often, and still is, written conform to Standard English grammar, and Burns was no exception. For Scots grammar forms based on Wilson  $(1923)^{243}$  see the footnotes.

Carlisle 1st Juin 1787—or

A believe the 39t o Mey raither

Kynd, honest-hertit Willie,

A'm sitten doun here, efter seiven an fowerty miles'<sup>244</sup> ridin, e'en as forjaskit an forgnawed as a forfochten cock, tae<sup>245</sup> gie ye some notion o ma 5 laund-lowper-like stravagin sin the sorraefu oor that A sheak haunds an pairtit wi auld Reekie.

Ma auld, gawed gleyde o a meir haes hochelt up hill an doun brae, in Scotland an England, as teuch an birny as a verra deevil wi me. It's true, she's as puir's a sang-makker an as haurd's a kirk, an tipper-taepers whan she taks the gate, first like a leddy's gentlewumman in a minuet, or a hen on a ket girdle; but she's a yauld, pouthery garran for aw that, an haes a stamack like Willie Stalker's meir that wad hae disgeestit tummlerwheels, for she'll whip me aff her five stimparts o the best aits at a dounsittin an ne'er fash her thoum. Whan ance her ringbanes an spavies, her creuks an cramps, are<sup>246</sup> fairly<sup>247</sup> soupelt, she beets tae, beets tae, an aye <sup>15</sup> the hindmaist oor the tichtest. A coud wager her price tae a thritty pennies,<sup>248</sup> that for twa or three wouks ridinat fifty miles<sup>249</sup> a day, the deil stickt a five gallopers aqueesh Clyde an Whithorn coud cast saut in her tail.

A hae daundert ower aw the kintra frae Dunbaur tae Selcraig, an hae 20 forgaithert wi nony a guid fallae, an mony a weel-faured hizzie. A met wi twa dink queans in partecular, ane o thaim a sonsy, fine, fodgle lass, baith braw an bonny; the other wis a clean-shankit, straucht, ticht, weel-faured winch, as blythe's a lintwhite on a flouery thorn, an as sweet an modest's a new blawn plumrose in a hazle shaw. Thay war baith bred tae 25

180

**Figure 4.** Proposed orthography for Scots by Andy Eagle based on his analysis of proposals from 1947 to today and their relationship to traditional orthographic practice. The graph  $\langle wh \rangle$  is again marked here.

<sup>243</sup> The Dialect of Robert Burns as Spoken in Central Ayrshire, Oxford University Press.

<sup>244 &</sup>quot;[...] nouns denoting number, money, time, weight, length, area or distance make no

change for the plural after a numeral." p. 47, perhaps fowerty mile's ridin.

<sup>245 &</sup>quot;before an infinitive, fur tay is often used [...]" p. 67, perhaps for tae gie.

<sup>246 [...]</sup> after any subject except a single pronoun [...] the plural present of [be] is the same as the third person singular [...]" p. 73, perhaps is *fair soupelt*.

<sup>247 &</sup>quot;[Ayrshire] rarely adds **lie** (ly) to an adjective to make it an adverb [...]" p.63, perhaps *fair soupelt.* 

<sup>248 &</sup>quot;[...] nouns denoting number, money, time, weight, length, area or distance make no change for the plural after a numeral." p. 47, perhaps *thritty penny*.

<sup>249 &</sup>quot;[...] nouns denoting number, money, time, weight, length, area or distance make no change for the plural after a numeral." p.47, perhaps *fifty mile*.

#### §9.13 WRITTEN SCOTS IN SCOTLAND AND ULSTER

#### 9.13 PHONETIC TRANSCRIPTION

This is a broad phonetic transcription of Robert Burns's letter as it may have been pronounced by Burns (based on Wilson (1923)).<sup>255</sup> It transcribes words in full and makes no allowance for connected speech such as assimilation and elision. Capital letters (not usual in the IPA) have been added here to facilitate comparison with the other texts.

Kaır'ləil farst D3in<sup>256</sup> 'sivntin exti 'sivn ər

Cl bə'lizv ðə θrītī'nəmt ə Məi 'reiðər

Kəın, Onəst'-Hertit 'Walı,

 $Ma^{261}$  çil, gçid gləid o: ə mir hız 'hʌxiəlt ʌp hʌl ən dun bre:,  $m^{262}$  'Scotlon ən 'Eŋlom, az tjʌx ən 'birni as ə vəra 'divəl wi mir. Ets tru:, shiz az peirz ə Saŋ'makər ən az hardz a kirk, ən tipər'tepərz 10 Man fi: taks ðə get, fʌrst ləik<sup>263</sup> ə 'Lɛdiz 'dʒɛntəl'wʌmən m ə 'mmuwei, ər ə hɛn on ə hɛt 'gırdəl; bʌt fi:z ə joil, 'puiðəre 'Gɛrən fər çi ðat, ən hız ə 'stamək ləik Wʌli 'Stoikərz mir ðat<sup>264</sup> wʌd he: dıs'dʒistıt 'tʌmlər-'Ailz, fər fi:l Aʌp mi: af hər<sup>265</sup> fary 'stimpərts o: ðə bɛst ets at ə dun-'sıtın ən ni:r faʃ hər θum. Man jins hər rıŋ'benz ən 'speiviz, 15 hər krʌks ən cramps, a:r feirle sapəlt,<sup>266</sup> fi: bits te:, bits te:, ən əi ðə 'hınməst u:r ðə tiçəst. A kud 'wadʒər hər prəis te: ə ðrıtı pɛniz,<sup>267</sup> ðat fər two: o:r ðri: wuks 'rəufin at 'fɛftı məilz<sup>268</sup> ə de:, ðə dil stikıt ə farıv 'galəpərz ə'kwiſ Kləid ən Mʌθorm kud kast soit m hər tel.

A he: 'domərt nur o: də 'kıntra fre: Dın'bar te: Sel'kreg, ən he: 20 fər'gedərt wı 'mıne ə gid 'fala, ən 'mıne ə wil'fort 'hızı. A met

255 The Dialect of Robert Burns as Spoken in Central Ayrshire, Oxford University Press.

- 256 Assimilation would produce [fars d3m].
- 257 Reduction to [irr] may occur in colloquial speech.
- 258 Reduction to [əz] may occur in colloquial speech.
- 259 Traditional Scots grammar (Wilson 1923: 67) would likely be [fər te: gir].
- 260 The stressed form is [ðat].
- 261 In colloquial speech before a vowel, reduction to [m] may occur.
- 262 Reduction to [1] in unstressed positions before consonants may occur in colloquial speech.
- 263 Assimilation would produce [fars lark].
- 264 The relative pronoun is usually reduced to [ət] or [ðət] in colloquial speech.
- 265 Probably reduced to [ər] in colloquial speech.
- 266 Traditional Scots grammar (Wilson 1923: 63, 73) would likely be [12 fer supalt].
- 267 Traditional Scots grammar (Wilson 1923: 47) would likely be [ðriti pɛni].
- 268 Traditional Scots grammar (Wilson 1923: 47) would likely be [at 'fɛftı məɪl ə de:].
- 182

**Figure 5.** Transcription into the International Phonetic Alphabet of a letter by Robert Burns to William Nicol, 1787-06-01. Here voiceless /m/ is written in lowercase as  $\langle M \rangle$  and in uppercase as  $\langle M \rangle$ . Note that three other letters original to the IPA are used in this text with capital forms which were not encoded at the time that IPA characters were first encoded: a, g, I, and M were first encoded in Unicode 1.1, Q in Unicode 5.1, Q in Unicode 7.0, and T in Unicode 9.0.

#### **EXAMPLES FOR COMPARISON**

wı two: dıŋk kwinz m pər'tiklər, jin ə ðəm<sup>269</sup> ə 'sonse, fəm, 'fodʒəl las, beθ bro: ən 'bone; ðə 'tiðər wʌz ə klin'ʃaŋkıt, stroıxt, tıct, wil'forrt wʌnʃ, az blar.θz ə 'lıntʌəit on ə 'fluri θorrn, ən az swit ən 'modists 25 ə nju: blo:n plʌm'ro:z 'n ə hezəl ʃo:. Đe: wʌr beθ brɛd te:<sup>270</sup> 'menərz bi ðə bjʌk, ən one jim o: ðem hız az mʌkəl 'smɛdəm ən 'rʌməl'gʌmʃən az ðə horf ə sʌm 'prɛsbitris ðat ju: ən G beθ kɛn.<sup>271</sup> Đe: ple:d mi: sık ə 'di:vəl o: ə 'fervi ðat G dorr se: if ma 'harıgəlz wʌr<sup>272</sup> tʌrnt ut, ji: wʌd si: two: nıks m ðə hɛrt o: mi: ləik ðə mark o: ə kel-30 'mʌtəl m ə 'kʌstək.

A waz gein tei<sup>273</sup> rəit yi: ə loin ə'pisəl, bat, Gid fər'gi: mi:, A gat masel se: nə'to:riəs<sup>274</sup> 'bitfifi:d ðə de: eftər kel'təim ða A kan 'hoirle 'stoitər bat ən ben.

Ma best rə'speks<sup>275</sup> te: ðə gıd'wəif ən ǫ: u:r 'komən frinz, ə'spifəl 'Mıstər 35 ən 'Mestrəs Krʌk'ʃaŋk, ən ðə ənəst Gıd'man<sup>276</sup> o: D30ks Lud3.

Cl bi: m DAM'fris ðə mo:rn gif ðə bist bi:<sup>277</sup> te: ðə fo:r, ən ðə braŋks bəid<sup>278</sup> hel.

Gid biz wi juz,<sup>279</sup> 'Wali! Azmen.

39 'Robərt Barnz

- 271 Traditional Scots grammar (Wilson 1923: 56, 70) would likely be [ðat mi: ən ju: be<br/>θ kenz].
- 272 Traditional Scots grammar (Wilson 1923: 70) would likely be [ff ma 'ha:rigəlz waz tarnt ut].
- 273 Assimilation would produce [gomer].
- 274 Traditional Scots grammar (Wilson 1923: 63) would likely be [nə'to:rrəs 'bɪtʃıfi:d].
- 275 Assimilation would produce [bes rə'speks].
- 276 Assimilation would produce [pnəs gid'man].
- 277 Assimilation would produce [bis bir].
- 278 Burns's use of the present subjunctive here, shown by the use of be, makes [ən ðə braŋks bərdz hel] unlikely.
- 279 In colloquial speech [ji:] would be more probable. The nominative and objective is [ji:], unstressed [ji]. The accusative and dative is [ju:].

Figure 5b. The rest of Burns' letter.

§9.13

<sup>269</sup> The stressed form is [ðem].

<sup>270</sup> Likely reduced to [tə] in colloquial speech.



#### CHAPTER I

# Down the Rabbit-Hole

LICE z bgmŋ tget vr tand vsitŋ baher sistr nð bæŋk, nv vŋ nŋ td: wans ər twais fi: d pi:pt ntuð buk her sistr z ri:dŋ, b t d no: piktz ər vrsfz nt, "n mtsð ju:s v a buk,"  $\theta$ :t Ælis, "wt piktz ər vrsfz?" so: fi:z sidŋ, nhero:n maind (zwelz fi: k, fð hət der meid her fi:l vr sli:pi n stju:pid), mðrð zr vmeikŋ a deizi-tfein wb warθð trabl vgetŋ ap n pikŋð deiziz, n sdnli a Mait Ræbit w piŋk aiz ræn clo:s baiher.

Lice was beginning to get very tired of sitting by her sister on the bank, | and of having nothing to do: once or twice she had peeped into the | book her sister was reading, but it had no pictures or conversations in it, | "and what is the use of a book," thought Alice, "without pictures or conversations?"

So she was considering, in her own mind (as well as she could, for the hot day made | her feel very sleepy and stupid), whether the pleasure of making a daisy-chain would be | worth the trouble of getting up and picking the daisies, when suddenly a White Rabbit | with pink eyes ran close by her.

**Figure 6.** Example from Carroll [2019; in press], showing LATIN CAPITAL LETTER TURNED W alongside LATIN CAPITAL LETTER AE and LATIN CAPITAL LETTER R. This page faces the first page of the text of the book, which can be seen in Figure 6b.



CHAPTER I

# Down the Rabbit-Hole



**Figure 6b.** Example from Carroll [2019; in press], showing the text of the beginning of *Alice's Adventures in Wonderland* in Pitman Shorthand. The double lines below the names "Alice" and "White Rabbit" can be seen, indicating that these are proper names, to be represented by capital letters in orthographic transcription. In Pitman Shorthand the size of the hook distinguishes /w/ from /m/, as can be seen in the entries below, taken from the New Era Shorthand dictionary.

## A. Administrative

Title
Proposal for the addition of LATIN CAPITAL LETTER TURNED w to the UCS
Requester's name
Michael Everson
Requester type (Member body/Liaison/Individual contribution)
Individual contribution.
Submission date
2019-06-10
Requester's reference (if applicable)
Choose one of the following:
This is a complete proposal
Yes.

6b. More information will be provided later

# No.

# **B.** Technical – General

1. Choose one of the following:

1a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters)

No.

1b. Proposed name of script

1c. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block

Yes

1d. Name of the existing block

Latin Extended-D

2. Number of characters in proposal

1.

3. Proposed category (A-Contemporary; B.1-Specialized (small collection); B.2-Specialized (large collection); C-Major extinct; D-Attested extinct; E-Minor extinct; F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic; G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols)

Category A.

4a. Is a repertoire including character names provided?

Yes.

4b. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines" in Annex L of P&P document?

Yes.

4c. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review?

Yes.

5a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font (ordered preference: True Type, or PostScript format) for publishing the standard?

#### Michael Everson.

5b. If available now, identify source(s) for the font (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.) and indicate the tools used:

#### Michael Everson, Fontographer.

6a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided?

Yes.

6b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? **Yes.** 

7. Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)? **Yes.** 

8. Submitters are invited to provide any additional information about Properties of the proposed Character(s) or Script that will assist in correct understanding of and correct linguistic processing of the proposed character(s) or script. Examples of such properties are: Casing information, Numeric information, Currency information, Display behaviour information such as line breaks, widths etc., Combining behaviour, Spacing behaviour, Directional behaviour, Default Collation behaviour, relevance in Mark Up contexts, Compatibility equivalence and other Unicode normalization related information. See the Unicode standard at http://www.unicode.org/for such information on other scripts. Also see Unicode Character Database http://www.unicode.org/Public/UNIDATA/UnicodeCharacterDatabase.html and associated Unicode Technical Reports for information needed for consideration by the Unicode Technical Committee for inclusion in the Unicode Standard.

## **C. Technical – Justification**

1. Has this proposal for addition of character(s) been submitted before? If YES, explain.

No.

2a. Has contact been made to members of the user community (for example: National Body, user groups of the script or characters, other experts, etc.)?

Yes. 2b. If YES, with whom? Andy Eagle. 2c. If YES, available relevant documents

3. Information on the user community for the proposed characters (for example: size, demographics, information technology use, or publishing use) is included?

#### Linguists, phoneticians, Anglicists, Carrollians.

4a. The context of use for the proposed characters (type of use; common or rare)

#### Used in modern editions.

4b. Reference

5a. Are the proposed characters in current use by the user community?

Yes.

5b. If YES, where?

#### Various publications.

6a. After giving due considerations to the principles in the P&P document must the proposed characters be entirely in the BMP? **Yes.** 

6b. If YES, is a rationale provided?

Yes.

6c. If YES, reference

#### Accordance with the Roadmap. Keep with other Latin phonetic characters.

7. Should the proposed characters be kept together in a contiguous range (rather than being scattered)?

No.

8a. Can any of the proposed characters be considered a presentation form of an existing character or character sequence?

No.

8b. If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?

8c. If YES, reference

9a. Can any of the proposed characters be encoded using a composed character sequence of either existing characters or other proposed characters?

No.

9b. If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?

9c. If YES, reference

10a. Can any of the proposed character(s) be considered to be similar (in appearance or function) to an existing character?

No.

10b. If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?

10c. If YES, reference

11a. Does the proposal include use of combining characters and/or use of composite sequences (see clauses 4.12 and 4.14 in ISO/IEC 10646-1: 2000)?

No.

11b. If YES, is a rationale for such use provided?

11c. If YES, reference

11d. Is a list of composite sequences and their corresponding glyph images (graphic symbols) provided?

No.

11e. If YES, reference

12a. Does the proposal contain characters with any special properties such as control function or similar semantics?

No.

12b. If YES, describe in detail (include attachment if necessary)

13a. Does the proposal contain any Ideographic compatibility character(s)?

No.

13b. If YES, is the equivalent corresponding unified ideographic character(s) identified?