Feedback to "Comment on DYC Glyphs in TTF by TCA & China"

Source: TCA

Date: 2024.12.25 /2025.6.20

For DYC Glyphs with 49 entries submitted by TCA & China, Mr. Suzuki gave a feedback on 25 Dec 2024, suggesting 4 entries in terms of improving the glyph designs, and TCA agreed to amend 4 entries. The other entry may or may not be amended, and TCA chose to leave the glyphs unchanged.

The description is as follows: \circ

1.Improve the glyph designs

(1)Top vertical stroke and enclosing curve of "匀"

Mr. Suzuki's Comment	Mr. Suzuki's Modified Type proposed change (New TTF) U	
too smooth	Proposed change	\$\disp\(\frac{1}{2}\)

(2)Open/Close of "四" in "免"

Mr. Suzuki's Comment	Mr. Suzuki's proposed change		Modified Typeface (New TTF) U+39BED
Both boxes are open, or both boxes are closed.	Open Both	R Close Both	秀

(3)Gap of horizontal strokes in "驤"

Mr. Suzuki's Comment	Mr. Suzuki's proposed change	Modified Typeface (New TTF) U+3A26B	
事	与新 Proposed change	氰	

(4)Gap of "女" in "妥"

Mr. Suzuki's C	Comment	Modified Typeface (New TTF) U+3A4A6
At 9376 "婁", similar gap in DYC glyph was fixed in the proposed TTF, so, I wish the gap in "妥" is fixed too.	THE STATE OF THE S	A S

2.Etymological issue: Position of "二" in "螱"

Mr. Suzuki's Comment:

If TCA & China experts are seeking the "etymologically correct" glyph, please consider about the position of "\(_\ _\ \]. I have no position, and I guess most Japanese experts want to keep a truthful copy of DYC, rather than an etymologically "corrected" glyph.

Selena: TCA and China believe that the same situation exists in THX, as shown in the table below:

The component '二' of '罻' is also in the middle of the character '尉'. In order to faithfully reflect, THX has not adjusted it, so DYC's Small Seal Character Forms are also not adjusted.

Serial No. 字序	Serial No. in Tenghuaxie version 藤本序號	TTF	Corresp Modern Char. 今字	SW Radical 說文部首	Type 類型
00504	00486	验	蔚	艸	Zhengzhuan
05521	05350		罻	XX	Zhengzhuan
05992	05791	沙灣	褽,褽	衣	Zhengzhuan
07669	07395	影	慰,慰	心	Zhengzhuan

3.Other: Typos in Attribute

Mr. Suzuki's Comment:

In the Appendix I, 3389 is tagged as "K-primary", but I think it would be a typo of D-primary, because K-column for this entry is empty.

Selena: Thank you Mr Suzuki for the reminder, this is a clerical error and has been corrected in the symbol below:

12 3389	D-primary
---------	-----------

(end of document)