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Ireland disapproves the draft with the technical comments given below. We believe that a second CD is probably warranted.

1. In general, we would prefer to see a greater number of scripts encoded in Plane 1 before publication of this part of ISO/IEC 10646. A number of these which seem ready for standardization are: Aegean Numbers, Linear B, Shavian, Cirth, thlIngan Hol, Old Permic, Old Persian Cuneiform, Ugaritic Cuneiform. We request that WG2 and UTC experts consider the proposals for these scripts as to their maturity for encoding.

2. Since the font for Etruscan has been given with left-to-right directionality, the character ETRUSCAN LETTER ERS at 1031B must be reversed. We would like to see a note in the standard indicating that the Etruscan script may also be used to represent other Old Italic scripts like Oscan, Umbrian, and Faliscan. We consider that the script could in fact also represent North Picene, South Picene, Middle Adriatic, and Messapic, though it needs to be seen whether these contain any letters additional to this repertoire. It is our view that the Northern Italic scripts (Venetic, Rhaetic, Lepontic, and Gallic) are not unifiable with the Old Italic scripts; they stand in an intermediate position between Old Italic and Runic.

3. We would like to see a more Codex-Argenteus-like font used for Gothic. Considering the Unicode combining-character/precomposed character normalization, the character GOTHIC LETTER I WITH DIAERESIS at 1033A should be removed from the proposal and the characters following it shifted up one position.

4. We consider the Byzantine Musical Symbols to be inadequately specified. Many (or most) of the characters are combining characters, with various positional properties, and no dotted circles have been given in the font to indicate the use of these characters. This must be corrected or Byzantine Musical Symbols must be removed from the CD pending further development of the proposal. We believe the repertoire to be generally suitable, but without further investigation with regard to Unicode properties it would be premature to encode them.

5. We consider the Western Musical Symbols to be inadequately specified. While we understand the proposed use of these characters as musical dingbats in plain text, we also see that the repertoire contains characters like WESTERN MUSICAL SYMBOL BEAM-START and WESTERN MUSICAL SYMBOL BEAM-END, which are similar to characters like the cartouching characters proposed for Egyptian hieroglyphs. While we approve of such characters in principle, there are apparently implementation questions involved, and we would like to see these questions addressed, since obviously these are implementation-type characters, not just dingbat-type characters. Further, we would like this repertoire to be presented to the publishers of music-typesetting software with regard to its possible utility to them. These shortcomings must be addressed or Western Musical Symbols must be removed from the CD pending further development of the proposal.

6. We object to the names of a number of the Mathematical Alphanumeric Symbols. The characters at 1D670-1D6A3 and 1D7F6-1D7FF bear the name MONOSPACEN, but this term is a misnomer and is technically incorrect. From the *Glossary of typesetting terms* published by the University of Chicago Press in 1994:

   **Monospacing**: Setting with an unvarying amount of space between elements, usually when all letters have the same set width, as with a typewriter

   These characters are elements, not spaces. Accordingly, the names of these characters must be either MATHEMATICAL MONOWIDTH CAPITAL X or MATHEMATICAL FIXED-WIDTH CAPITAL X and so on.

   Also the glyphs for the PI SYMBOLS are not the usual ones seen. There should be more of a horizontal hat, not just a swung-over right arm.