Comments with the Swedish Yes vote on 10646-1/FPDAM1

SE 1. Mathematical braces/brackets should be disunified from CJK punctuation braces/brackets. This adds a number of characters as proposed in WG2/N2345R

SE 2. Item 1: Editor's note 3 is of a different kind than the other 'editor's note', a kind that should be removed after the ballot is over.

SE 3. Item 3, clause 9.1, note: "and all planes in all other groups" -> "or any plane in any other group" (better English). Further, add text saying: "Implementations should consider code positions greater than 10FFFF as illegal in UCS-4, as well as in UTF-8. The same applies to code positions D800 to DFFF in those encodings."

SE 4. Item 5: "<UID1, UID2, ... UIDn>" -> "<UID1, UID2, ..., UIDn>" (i.e., add a comma).

SE 5. Item 7, text on soft hyphen: the last occurrence of the word "representation" needs a soft hyphen...

SE 6. Item 8: The MES collections must be exactly the characters which are defined in CEN Workshop Agreement 13873:2000


SE 8. Item 12: "2000-1-20" -> "2000-01-20" (looks like a date, if so format like a date).

SE 9. Item 13: "LETTERKOPPA" -> "LETTER KOPPA" (insert a space, two occurrences).

SE 10. Item 14, first 'note': delete the second occurrence of "only".

SE 11. U+2ADC, U+2ADD (forking/non-forking): There is a highly counter-intuitive glyph swap between these two. It is very highly unlikely to be correct as is.

SE 12. U+3018-U+301B: The glyph positioning in the chart for these characters should be as for other CJK brackets (assuming that they are disunified from the mathematical similar-looking characters).

SE 13. U+FA30-U+FA6B: Glyph sizes for these appear smaller than for other ideographs. Is that intentional?

SE 14. The phrase "this position shall not be used" should not be used in the charts for positions which may become used in any future revision. Instead, for instance, the phrase "this position is reserved for future standardisation" could be used.