2002-12-03

Universal Multiple-Octet Coded Character Set International Organization for Standardization Organisation internationale de normalisation Международная организация по стандартизации

L2/03-097

Doc Type: Working Group Document

Title: Revised proposal to encode the Old Persian script in the UCS

Source: Michael Everson

Status: Individual Contribution

Action: For consideration by JTC1/SC2/WG2 and UTC

Date: 2002-12-03

A. Administrative

1. Title

Revised proposal to encode the Old Persian script in the UCS.

2. Requester's name

Michael Everson

3. Requester type (Member body/Liaison/Individual contribution)

Individual contribution.

4. Submission date

2002-12-03

5. Requester's reference (if applicable)

N1639.

6. Choose one of the following:

6a. This is a complete proposal

Yes

6b. More information will be provided later

No.

B. Technical -- General

1. Choose one of the following:

1a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters)

Yes.

Proposed name of script

Old Persian.

1b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block

No.

1b. Name of the existing block

2. Number of characters in proposal

52

3. Proposed category (see section II, Character Categories)

Category B.1.

4a. Proposed Level of Implementation (1, 2 or 3) (see clause 14, ISO/IEC 10646-1: 2000)

Level 1

4b. Is a rationale provided for the choice?

No combining characters.

4c. If YES, reference

5a. Is a repertoire including character names provided?

Vec

5b. If YES, are the names in accordance with the character naming guidelines in Annex L of ISO/IEC 10646-1: 2000?

5c. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review?

Yes.

6a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font (ordered preference: True Type, or PostScript format) for publishing the standard?

Michael Everson.

6b. If available now, identify source(s) for the font (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.) and indicate the tools used: Michael Everson, Fontographer.

7a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided?

Ves

7b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached?

Yes.

8. Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)?

No.

9. Submitters are invited to provide any additional information about Properties of the proposed Character(s) or Script that will assist in correct understanding of and correct linguistic processing of the proposed character(s) or script. Examples of such properties are: Casing information, Numeric information, Currency information, Display behaviour information such as line breaks, widths etc., Combining behaviour, Spacing behaviour, Directional behaviour, Default Collation behaviour, relevance in Mark Up contexts, Compatibility equivalence and other Unicode normalization related information. See the Unicode standard at http://www.unicode.org for such information on other scripts. Also see Unicode Character Database http://www.unicode.org/Public/UNIDATA/UnicodeCharacter Database.html and associated Unicode Technical Reports for information needed for consideration by the Unicode Technical Committee for inclusion in the Unicode Standard.

Spacing letter, category "Lo", bidi category "L" (strong left to right)

C. Technical -- Justification

1. Has this proposal for addition of character(s) been submitted before? If YES, explain.

Yes, N1639.

2a. Has contact been made to members of the user community (for example: National Body, user groups of the script or characters, other experts, etc.)?

Yes.

2b. If YES, with whom?

Günther Schweiger and the TITUS project in Frankfurt.

2c. If YES, available relevant documents

http://titus.fkidg1.uni-frankfurt.de/unicode/iranian/3tagung.htm

3. Information on the user community for the proposed characters (for example: size, demographics, information technology use, or publishing use) is included?

Iranianists and other scholars.

4a. The context of use for the proposed characters (type of use; common or rare)

Writing the Old Persian language is not very common these days.

4b. Reference

5a. Are the proposed characters in current use by the user community?

Yes.

5b. If YES, where?

Scholarly publications.

6a. After giving due considerations to the principles in Principles and Procedures document (a WG 2 standing document) must the proposed characters be entirely in the BMP?

No. Proposed allocation is U+103A0-U+103DF

6b. If YES, is a rationale provided?

6c. If YES, reference

Accordance with the Roadmap.

7. Should the proposed characters be kept together in a contiguous range (rather than being scattered)?

Yes

8a. Can any of the proposed characters be considered a presentation form of an existing character or character sequence?

No.

8b. If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?

8c. If YES, reference

9a. Can any of the proposed characters be encoded using a composed character sequence of either existing characters or other proposed characters?

Nο

9b. If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?

9c. If YES, reference

10a. Can any of the proposed character(s) be considered to be similar (in appearance or function) to an existing character?

No.

10b. If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?

10c. If YES, reference

11a. Does the proposal include use of combining characters and/or use of composite sequences (see clauses 4.12 and 4.14 in ISO/IEC 10646-1: 2000)?

No.

11b. If YES, is a rationale for such use provided?

11c. If YES, reference

12a. Is a list of composite sequences and their corresponding glyph images (graphic symbols) provided?

No.

12b. If YES, reference

13a. Does the proposal contain characters with any special properties such as control function or similar semantics? N_0

13b. If YES, describe in detail (include attachment if necessary)

14a. Does the proposal contain any Ideographic compatibility character(s)?

No.

14b. If YES, is the equivalent corresponding unified ideographic character(s) identified?

Old Persian is found in a number of inscriptions in the Old Persian language dating from the Achmaemenid Empire. It is an alphabetic writing system with some syllabic aspects. While the shapes of some Old Persian letters may look similar to signs in Sumero-Akkaddian Cuneiform, it is clear that only one of them, LA, was borrowed from another alphabet – and that because it is a foreign sign used to represent a sound not used in the Old Persian language.

Old Persian is written from left to right. The repertoire contains 36 signs which represent consonants or vowels, a set of numbers, a word divider, and seven ideograms.

TABLE - Row 1xx: OLD PERSIAN

	1xxA	1xxB	1xxC	1xxD
0	ŸŸŸ	Y∢Y	₽	4
1	ŶŢ	AM S	A	Y
2	∢ ₩	Ħ	∭E	¥
3	%	Y	121	4
4	∢Ÿ	X		*
5	⟨	(()≿		₩
6	₩	⊳YyY		
7	≪ m	\\ \		
8		₩	>> ∀	
9	₩	₹		
Α	₩	W W		
В	⊭ĭyĭ	₩		
С	₩►	TI TI	≪ ₹	
D	₩		*	
Е	E	M	⊳⊳ -{((
F	⟨ ⋈	Y E	>>> 4 €€	

G = 00 P = 01

TABLE - Row 1xx: OLD PERSIAN

hex	Name	hex	Name
A01 A22 A34 A56 A67 A8 A9A AAB ACD ABB BB	OLD PERSIAN SIGN A OLD PERSIAN SIGN U OLD PERSIAN SIGN KU OLD PERSIAN SIGN KU OLD PERSIAN SIGN KU OLD PERSIAN SIGN GA OLD PERSIAN SIGN GA OLD PERSIAN SIGN CA OLD PERSIAN SIGN CA OLD PERSIAN SIGN LA OLD PERSIAN SIGN LA OLD PERSIAN SIGN LA OLD PERSIAN SIGN JI OLD PERSIAN SIGN JI OLD PERSIAN SIGN TU OLD PERSIAN SIGN TU OLD PERSIAN SIGN DI OLD PERSIAN SIGN THA OLD PERSIAN SIGN DI OLD PERSIAN SIGN THA OLD PERSIAN SIGN THA OLD PERSIAN SIGN PA OLD PERSIAN SIGN PA OLD PERSIAN SIGN WI OLD PERSIAN SIGN SA OLD SERVER SERVER SERVER SERVER SERVER SERVER		