Christopher John Fynn wrote:

>>I notice there are a number of Tibetan characters in the review
>>document at http://www.unicode.org/review/pr-12.html these
>>currently all seem to be listed as in Punctuation_Other, but not
>>in Terminal_Punctuation - should any of them be treated
>>differently? While the western notion of sentence does not
>>exactly apply to Tibetan I should think that the presence of any
>>of the head marks (not at the top of a page) or U+0F12 after any
>>string would probably qualify as a "sentence boundary" in the
>>terms of this document.
>>--
>>Christopher J. Fynn
>>>
>>All of these should be Terminal_Punctuation, if I understand what that
>is. Chris, you are welcome to digest this and formulate whatever. I
don't think the yig.mgo should be. (A yig.mgo in the middle of a page
should always have one of the following in relation to it but I suppose
it could be argued on way or the other).
>
U+0F08 # TIBETAN MARK SBRUL SHAD
U+0F0D # TIBETAN MARK SHAD
U+0F0E # TIBETAN MARK NYIS SHAD
U+0F0F # TIBETAN MARK TSHEG SHAD
U+0F10 # TIBETAN MARK NYIS TSHEG SHAD
U+0F11 # TIBETAN MARK RIN CHEN SPUNGS SHAD
U+0F12 # TIBETAN MARK RGYA GRAM SHAD
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