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SUMMARY OF VOTING ON

Letter Ballot: SC22 N3614
Circulated by: JTC 1/SC22
Circulation Date: 2002-08-13
Closing Date: 2003-10-13

The following responses have been received on the subject of approval:

"P" Members supporting approval without comment
8 (China, Finland, Italy, Japan, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom, USA)

"P" Members supporting approval with comments
1 (Ireland)

"P" Members not supporting approval
5 (Canada, Germany, Republic of Korea, Netherlands, Norway)

"P" Members abstaining
3 (Austria, Czech Republic, Denmark)

"P" Members not voting
8 (Belgium, Brazil, Egypt, France, DPR of Korea, Romania, Russian Federation, Slovenia)

Note: O-member Sweden submitted comments (see below)
__end of ballot, beginning of NB comments__

Canada

Canada opposes the transfer of project JTC1.22.30.02.02 (IS14651) from SC22 to SC2. This project is about the sorting order of strings in an international setting, which involves much more than the order of characters in a character set. There is a significant amount of localization, Application Program Interfaces (APIs), language bindings and functionality which transcend SC2 mandates. SC2 is dedicated to the development and maintenance of character sets only, and we believe that the other issues regarding sorting and localization will not receive the attention that they are due if the project were moved.

The second objection is procedural. This project has existed within SC22 for a number of years, and to our knowledge there has been no complaints or objections to the way that SC22 manages this project. WG20 liaises well with other SC22 WG's, with SC2, and with other SC's to ensure that their concerns are taken into account. The risk to the project and the way that it liaises with SC22 working groups will increase if the project is moved.

We do, however, believe that SC22/WG20 should work to reduce the inconveniences for participants belonging to both groups by holding joint meetings with SC2.
Germany
String Ordering is not a part of the current scope of JTC 1/SC2.

The current scope of JTC 1/SC2 is:
"Standardization of graphic character sets and their characteristics, associated control functions, their coded representation for information interchange and code extension techniques. Excluded: audio and picture coding."

The current scope of JTC1/SC2 should be extended by String Ordering, as described in ISO/IEC 14651.

Acceptance of these reasons and appropriate changes in the scope of JTC 1/SC2 will change our vote to approval.

Ireland
Ireland has maintained for many years that it is essential for the maintenance of ISO/IEC 14651 that it be transferred from SC 22 to SC2, and we trust that this will at long last happen swiftly.

Republic of Korea
KATS have participated in WG20 meeting since 2001 because there are many relation with Hangul(Korean alphabet). It is appropriate that WG20 takes charge of project 14651 for the efficiency of work because WG20 is responsible for internationalization in SC22 and the rest of JTC1. And it is illogical that only the project 14651 is transferred among many standards related to Internationalization. So KATS disapprove that the project 145651 is transferred from SC22 to SC2.

Netherlands
1. ISO/IEC 14651 is a published standard. It covers a large number of scripts. More scripts may be added, but a need for that has still to be demonstrated. If maintenance is not required for the time being, discussing transfer of responsibility is premature.

2. As our NB has pointed out in JTC1 N 7064, some TCs have also developed standards for alphabetic ordering. It is of primary importance that 14651 and these be harmonized. A body, something like a WG, would be needed for this task, but it is not obvious at all that this WG should be dependent of SC2 only.

3. The present scope of 14651 is restricting its applicability. National standards with a wider scope (e.g. including treatment of composite entries) cannot be replaced by a tailored version of 14651. This defect can be removed when harmonization with TC37 and TC46 standards is effectuated.

4. Before making a start, a study of user requirements to a general standard on alphabetic ordering should be made. After its completion the way is open for a discussion on which body should be assigned the task of development. This is wholly in line with Recommendation 1 from the Geneva meeting (JTC1 N 7136).
Norway

There has been no severe problems with developing and maintaining the 14651 standard wrt. the repertoire till now, and it is not expected that future additions to the ISO 10646 repertoire will create problems that WG20 cannot handle. Furthermore there are a number of issues with the general model that seems best handled in WG20, and the coordination with other internationalisation work in WG20 is also important.

SC2 is welcome to send liaison statements on issues on 14651, but none have been received on that matter so far.

Sweden

We support the project transfer with the attached comments.

14651 has been developed in SC22/WG20. Some influence from other SC22 projects have been made, in particular POSIX. There has been very little influence from other SC22 projects.

However, there has been strong influence on 14651 from the SC2/WG2 project on 10646, in part via people also involved with the development of Unicode. And there is a strong incentive to keep 14651 in full synchrony with 10646 as it expands with more letters, in particular from scripts not yet encoded. The expertise on the scripts in question goes to SC2/WG2 meetings. These experts also have the expertise on how to alphabetically order these scripts.

People much involved with not only new scripts, but also implementation of collation algorithms attend SC2/WG2 meetings. Thus, any architectural maintenance to 14651 is also best done in SC2/WG2.

14651 needs to track the additions to 10646 very closely. When 10646 is amended, or a new edition is issued, ideally 14651 should be amended, or a new edition issued, in *full synchrony* with 10646. This is best done if 10646 and 14651 are maintained by the same WG, SC2/WG2.