A number of subscripted letters are used in Indo-European linguistic materials, though their use is by no means limited to Indo-European. While a few Latin letters are currently encoded as modifier letters, the needs for Indo-European extend beyond this set. Five characters are proposed here. Styled text is not seen as appropriate for these; Indo-Europeanists already make use of the subscript digits, and superscript * and † and so on, already encoded. The characters proposed here are required for plain-text representation of Indo-European reconstructed material.

1D7A a LATIN SUBSCRIPT SMALL LETTER A
1D7B e LATIN SUBSCRIPT SMALL LETTER E
1D7C o LATIN SUBSCRIPT SMALL LETTER O
1D7D x LATIN SUBSCRIPT SMALL LETTER X
208F / SUBSCRIPT SOLIDUS

Subscripted a, e, and o are used to indicate the vowel coloring of a laryngeal H. This use is fairly common, perhaps second only to the use of H with subscript numbers, namely, H₁, H₂, and so on.

2. I see the need for four ‘laryngeals’:²
   *ₕ₁ = Hᵣ, perhaps [ʰ] when non-syllabic (> Hitt. a-, Gk. ἀ (etc.), ²
   ~ hₕ);
   *₂ = Hᵣ, probably [x] ~ [h] or [h] (> Hitt. h/ḥ), Gk. α, Arm. i
   *ₚ₁ = Hᵣ, probably [xʰ] ~ [hʰ] or [hʰ] (> Hitt. h, Gk. o, Arm. ḫ,
   *₂ = Hᵣ, probably [h] ‘h’² (> Alb. ḫ, Gk. α).


Subscripted e is also used as a reduced vowel, as in -eH₂- here:

To account for such Hittite forms
by assuming a particular reduced grade (*ₕH) or a ‘vocalization’ of
Hₖ > a does not seem to be possible, cf. §§41, 69, Remark below.

Subscripted \(x\) is used after a laryngeal \(h\) to denote uncertain vowel colouring, as in \(*\text{leu}({h}_x)\) and \(*h_xC(V)\) here:

Another solid example of \(u < *eu\) is provided by Hitt. \(h\text{atu}\text{.}i\)-‘window’, which forms a word equation with Toch. B \(\text{h}\text{stu}\text{o}\) ‘opening’. The root etymology of \(\text{h}\text{stu}\text{o}\) is given by Van Windekens, \text{le rokk} I.266:

\[
\text{PIE } *\text{leu}({h}_x)\text{.}i\text{-’cut, separate’ (cf. Skt. \text{l}\text{m}\text{d}√\text{i}e’cut off’). Eichner, MSS}
\]


**Environment 1**: \( *h_xC(V) \sim *sh_xC(V) \)

with (some) visible reflexes in the language groups already mentioned, enabling us to differentiate from cases of plain \(*s(C\)\), with which \(*s)/h_xC\) falls together in all the other daughters after the loss of the laryngeals. This assumes,


Subscripted \(j\) is used between two numbers or letters, as in \(*h_{1/3}al-u-t\) and \(*\text{dhug’}h_{(e/o)}\text{Hoter}\) here:

\[
\text{the wrong laryngeal: } *h_{1/3}al-u-t-\]


\[
\text{IE } ^*\text{j-}: \text{(a combination of a } *H \text{ with a reduced vowel) } > \text{SA } ^*\text{w- } \text{in the middle of a word: H}\text{Law. } \text{ni-wa酯-ta酯i- (daughter), Lye.}
\text{bkar-aṭ } \text{< IE } ^*\text{dugh’}h_{(e/o)}\text{ter, Skt. doṣiṭer, Gle. θύγ’ηρθον, Toch. B } \text{dakcer, oblique}
\]
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