L2/08-358

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2 N3528

Title: Japan's Input to N3388 (Two Kana Characters)

Date: 2008-10-9 Source: Japan

Status: National body contribution

Re: N3388, N3394

1. SUMMARY

Japan national body reviewed the proposal, contacted the original submitter of the proposal, Nozomu Katō, had some discussion with him, and concluded as follows:

Japan supports the encoding of the proposed HIRAGANA LETTER YE in UCS. Japan opposes against the KATAKANA LETTER ORIGINAL E this time.

2. A brief history

Kana is a script derived from Chinese ideographs. The earliest material that can be considered to be written in kana is from late 8th century. It is around 10th century that kana formed a consistent writing system.

Researchers agree that distinction between the sounds /e/ and /ye/ in Japanese language disappeared at least before 10th century. After the days, Japanese language lost the distinction. Researchers discovered the possible distinction of the sounds in the older Japanese language in late 18th century.

3. Concerns on the proposed HIRAGANA LETTER YE

Japan national body understands the requirements discussed in the proposal. We see no strong objection to have that character in UCS.

However, we do not consider that the demand is either high or urgent. In particular, most of the books and papers in the area (study of Japanese classical

literatures and the language) do not use the proposed letter. They usually use side lines or dots on ordinary kana letters for /e/ to denote distinction between /e/ and /ye/ or use original kanji instead of kana shapes when necessary, even in the researchers' hand-written manuscripts or woodblock printed books. Modern researchers more often use romaji (Latin transliteration) when discussing sounds of historical Japanese language. Use of the proposed hiragana letter is rare, even in the researchers' communities.

Japan national body also discussed on the relationship between this proposal and ones so-called hentai-gana (variant kana). It is apparent that there are some demands on the encoding of hentai-gana in Japan for some limited purpose. It is unclear, however, whether they should be handled as glyph-variants of existing hiragana repertoire or encoded as independent characters. In any case, hentai-gana have a variety of repertories and their whole set can hardly be determined. One apparent fact is that there is a hentai-gana letter that has same shape as the proposed hiragana letter, which typical modern Japanese speakers recognize as a variation of $\dot{\chi}$ and pronounce as /e/. If we are to encode the proposed HIRAGANA LETTER YE in UCS, we need to make clear that this addition is for a historical letter and is not intended for hentai-gana.

4. Concerns on the proposed KATAKANA LETTER ORIGINAL E

Japan sees several problems with this proposal. Japan does not support encoding of the proposed katakana letter this time. Japan feels that further study is needed.

Japanese language lost the distinction between /e/ and /ye/ before, or as same time frame as the kana formed a consistent writing system. During the dates, distinction of hiragana and katakana was unclear. There were just several (not two) different letter forms to represent same sounds. We see no reason we need set of hiragana and katakana for the purpose of the sound distinction.

The intended use of this katakana letter is that, when an author needs distinction between the sounds /e/ and /ye/, and for some reason the author wants to use katakana to represent the sounds of historical Japanese, use existing

KATAKANA LETTER E to represent the sound /ye/, and use the new letter KATAKANA LETTER ORIGINAL E to represent the sound /e/. This convention is clearly confusing even for experts in this area. Japan national body is not comfortable with promoting this not-widely accepted convention by encoding a new katakana letter as specified in N3388.

Moreover, there is a doubt that the proposed letter (shape) may be inappropriate. As far as Japan national body understands, the shape Okumura proposed in his book for the letter is different from the one proposed in N3388. Of course, UCS encodes characters, not glyphs nor shapes, so this point can be considered as a minor issue.

5. Notes on the attachments

- ① and ②: Examples of modern text books that show distinction of /e/ and /ye/ using Latin transliteration and/or Kanji. ①: Hiroshi Tsukishima, *Kokugogaku* (Japanese Studies), University of Tokyo Press, 1964. ②: Takuya Okimori, *Nihongoshi* (History of Japanese Language), Ohfu, 1989.
- ③: Two copies of the book *Kogen E-Ye-ben* (古言衣延辨, Discourse on E and Ye in Old Literatures), that is listed under References of N3388, showing a different shape for the letter corresponding to KATAKANA LETTER ORIGINAL E.

I: 1891 reprint by Unkondo.

II: 1835 manuscript.

④ and ⑤: Examples from modern researcher's papers. These tables are called *kana jitai hyo*, list of shapes of letters appearing on a particular old manuscript. Researchers require distinctive notations for /e/ and /ye/ as the index to the table. ④ uses ideographs, /x for /e/ and /x for /ye/. ⑤ uses ordinary katakana /x for /e/ (that is to represent /ye/ in the convention proposed in N3388) and an ideograph /x for /ye/.

なったらしい。 のは天暦よりも数十年後にまで及んだようであるが、院政時代に入ると殆ど「いろは歌」にとって代られる よう によう。従って、この誦文は、平安初期~中期頃 (西暦九~十世紀) に作られたとすべきであろう。尤も実際に行われた混同されて各一音になっていたと見られるから、「あめつち」が作られたのは多分平安初期 或いはそれ以後に限られして、奈良時代には、キケコなど清音で十二の仮名が各二類に区別されており、それが平安時代の初頃には大部分が貼との書分けは、天暦の頃まで保存されていたようであるから、「あめつち」が作られたの はそれ以前であろう。而二つあるが、これは本来はフ行のエゥ(榎) ツャ行のエ・2(枝)との区別を表わしたものと見られる。そして、らと「あめつち」には「えのえな」と「え」が

❷冲森卓也編、『日本語史』、おうふう、一九八九年

三八年成)にも「江 和名衣」のように区別がありませんが、混同が一般化するのは一〇世紀後半以降です。統合しヤ行のエを表す万葉仮名)というように区別しない例が見え、源順(九一一~九八三年)の『和名 類案 抄』(九三一~九ア行の「H [e]」とヤ行の「H [je]」は、『新撰字鏡』(八九二~九〇〇年成)に「玄女虫」「江女虫」(玄はア行の H、江は

- ■奥村栄実、『古言衣延辨』、文政一二(一八二九)年財
- ◎Ⅰ明治二四(一八九一)年再刊本(石川県・雲根堂、勉誠文庫二二所収影印による)

を用ふいまをもに訓みれざも音訓交へて用ら事も奈良の朝以來の例専限字にと阿行に之夜行にら片假字には阿行《と》が表の)夜行に五年假字には阿行《と》が表の)夜行にまなの傍をきばこ是も訓にて夜行なり片假字に阿行のえなーされば今の國學者は用るこなくえ或は延など通は一用るあり片假字のエは則乃假字には延曳などを用て夜行也然るにいは字音よあらざる故近世○今の世草假字に用るえは衣れ草書にて阿行也には江の訓にて古書

◎■天保六(一八三五)年書写本(内閣文庫蔵、勉誠文庫二二所収影印による)

引力に能容を引するるることとに利者れどが明れてなるいでを使うなでのいるえるがりに下傷なるはで

※岩崎本『日本書紀』(平安初期写、平安中期・院政期・室町時代加点)



_

書を	中 来 空 量 市 出 中	組織作在治	E 人 縣		1 ***			11○一箱11○号東寺観智院蔵		
書写 平安初期写 (円行(七九八~八五二)本の伝承有り								DП	<u></u> 40€	(ナツ)
E4	R点 平安初期加点 『写一平安初期写 (円行(七九八~八五二)本の伝承有り								黑	
	松龍			10 - 10 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 -	The Jew					010
2	EL	7	3 AL	D	4	(H)	水	7	4	
7	3 21	+	松混	0	×	T T	4	П	67 +	T C
平		7+		7		F.		n		
4	佐左	47	415	K	R	4	\tau	2	+	
#	दे भ	*2	0	K		4		>		
B	Ka	+	* X	3	Z	1	K		귀	
D		#		2		14		2/		
+	KE K-	11	*	X	Z	*		1	3	
<	茲	لد	מ		*	<		长)
×	MU	ת	JAK.	7	北	7	*	14		7
1	で末	ut	*	4	2	*		中	4	
4	¥ .			н	₽	(H)	~	m		7
IV.	10	_	在=	=	SB =	Z	光フ		00	
D		#				H		IV.		
٠	K			٤.	そく			șe	i Æ	
yei	₩.	Şei			121	Şam				
	松布	ca.	4	Śyu	联		海四		· Tho	
Khy	ai 44 11	pya	ガルド	chys	i nek	mya	i mak	~	· 35)	

⑤沼本克明、『日本漢字音の歴史的研究─體系と表記をめぐって─』、汲古書院、一九九七年

部二第	(950a)	藏所	石山寺(巻第三)·中田配夫氏(巻第六) 號									卷十十			ト點順関和台點			
	5 (98	糠		N 1	1 < 1141 1141 121	年代書館		11-11	平安時代初期		を 神徳	A SHARE						
	3 (6)	圖	14	X 7		1		1一条		天曆(九四七-九五七)頃		古器 亭 括						
2	₩.C			7	AR:-	4	+1+ (桜	NS		K	**	在					
4	とそれ			+	* +4+		01		をイイト			п	וואנז	C	的研究課文篇「昭昭・3)の中田幌夫「古塾本の國語風			
+				77		K	12H	* 44		,	7	7	>		一			
A				ニアンド			72		K4			-4	1					
+							X	*	* *		>		R-					
<	21		3	ע ע ע		7	1114	1	00		6 4		in					
p	K			nı	KX	4	12221	~	N	4		4	1447	2				
4	7			H		Н	BE B	H	H		K	m	かかか かり	1	4	祖三、7	1	
IN	٦			-	=	7	も る さ	7	ر				N		治革史料 10面			
	00		,	ä	•	- 5									古點本研究 四八九頁 古訓點研究 二七三頁			
0	ンド	C4	,	#	B	13	V V	Н			i-ch	V	*,					
新	+			114	120	然	40	聯	4	1		ナッ	B		訓點語解究 五七七百			
符疊	TTK SWOW'S			₩· ↓		岫	申るやで		2	世	tot		中安福華編 110回					
	1.4			1		***	15.11	-							石山寺目高山寺田			
少人	7 4	2		可二至)		定	KNI	却			Die.	声	2		高山寺書日在山寺里	2000	国	
	~			lak.		TA.	メイノ	華	1			被	2		可能能定本書	im 1 111	i et loss	

④築島裕、『平安時代訓點本論考 ヲコト點圖假名字體表』、汲古書院、一九八六年