Universal Multiple-Octet Coded Character Set International Organization for Standardization Organisation Internationale de Normalisation Международная организация по стандартизации

Doc Type: Working Group Document

Title: Proposal to add characters used in Lithuanian dialectology to the UCS Source: Vilnius University: Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, in cooperation

with the Faculty of Philology of VU and the Institute of Lithuanian Language

Authors: Vladas Tumasonis; Karl Pentzlin

Status: Expert Contribution

Action: For consideration by JTC1/SC2/WG2 and UTC

Date: 2010-10-29 (Revision 1: several explanations added, some translations of the sample texts added, some typos corrected. No changes for the proposed characters themselves and their code points are given, compared with the original version of 2010-09-24).

1. Introduction

It has always been the standard practice in the study of Lithuanian dialects to write the dialect texts in detailed phonetic transcription. The phonetic alphabet used to transcribe Lithuanian dialects, based on the so-called Copenhagen Scheme of Phonetic Transcription and Transliteration of 1925, an erstwhile rival to the IPA – see

http://books.google.com/books?ei=L0GXTLiMOIPLOMXaxlgJ&ct=result&hl=lt&id=R8lsAAAAMAAJ&dq=Phonetic+transcription+and+transliteration&g=association+internationale+#search_anchor

– was adopted for the study of Lithuanian dialects by Jurgis Gerulis (also known by the German form of his name as Georg Gerullis, 1888–1945) in 1930 (see References), and finally elaborated by the leading specialist in Lithuanian dialects, Aleksas Girdenis (b. 1937). The Lithuanian Phonetic Transcription is in many ways similar to the International Phonetic Alphabet, and shares some characters with this; but it also has significant differences. Some Lithuanian scholars have proposed switching to the pure IPA system, but it seems that it might be too late: over time, too many linguistic works have been produced using the traditional system, so that the transition would be too difficult to be practical. As it is, nowadays we refer to the Lithuanian Phonetic Transcription System (or Lithuanian Phonetic Alphabet), which is the standard means of recording Lithuanian dialects on paper for all linguistic purposes. There are still a number of characters from the Lithuanian Phonetic Transcription which have not yet been covered by the Unicode Standard. The present application is to submit these characters for the inclusion into the Unicode set.

2. Encoding Considerations

2.1 The letters

The proposed letter U+AB83 LATIN SMALL LETTER N WITH INWARDS HOOK is used in contrast to U+014B LATIN LETTER SMALL ENG (see fig. 1930a-XIXa), and therefore cannot be unified with this.

Regarding the form of the letters "with inwards hook", with the selection of the reference glyphs, we follow modern typography (see fig. 2001a-344b), showing a dot-like termination. Older typography may show a tight curl ending in a smaller dot (see fig. 1930a-XIXb). In no case, the glyphs show a distinctive closed loop (like the U+AB4E LATIN SMALL LETTER N WITH LOOP proposed in N3907 for German dialectology).

2.2 The intonation marks

The ten intonation marks, proposed as U+AB90...AB9A, comprise a set where the designs of the elements are correlative to each other and subject to a common design principle. The angle deviation from the vertical is less than 30°.

There, any unification of the "simple" forms (proposed as U+AB90 MODIFIER LETTER SLANTED UP ARROW and U+AB91 MODIFIER LETTER SLANTED DOWN ARROW) with the "usual" 45° arrows (U+2197 NORTH EAST ARROW, U+2198 SOUTH EAST ARROW) would disrupt this set and make it unusable for accomplishing high-level typography for works on Lithuanian dialectology. Therefore, the whole set is proposed.

The intonation marks are given as modifier letters, in analogy to all characters in the Modifier Tone Letters block (A700...A71F). Also, they behave like letters on kerning and linebreaking, and some of them have special positions (like "middle") which refer to letter design.

As it is to be expected for phonetics, all text specimens are found typeset in italics. However, the intonation marks (AB90...AB99) are slanted by design, retaining the symmetry of the angle of the upward and downward marks independent of the appliance of italics.

2.3 The vertical lines

The proposed U+2E46 DOTTED VERTICAL LINE and U+2E47 WIGGLED VERTICAL LINE comprise a set together with U+007C VERTICAL LINE and U+2016 DOUBLE VERTICAL LINE, to indicate several kinds of speech pauses between words.

To achieve a consistent view in high-level typography for works on Lithuanian dialectology, the design of these four symbols must be correlated. This usually cannot be achieved by using some superficially similar mathematical symbols, as these are intended for other typographical context. Thus, the two missing characters are proposed here as new characters.

As usual for vertical lines, they follow the slant angle of the font, and while they are slanted when they occur in italics as it is usual for phonetic text, they have vertical reference glyphs.

3. Proposed Characters

Block: Latin Extended-E (new block; U+AB30...U+AB8F)

The block position and size is coordinated with the "Preliminary proposal to encode Germanicist phonetic characters in the UCS" (N3907 = L2/10-346) by Michael Everson.

The code points of the characters contained here are positioned in the last column, to avoid any conflicts with that document. Especially, the code positions U+AB8C, U+AB8E, and U+AB8F denote the same characters contained there; especially the gap for the "modifier letter baseline small e" proposed there is intentional.

Note in Rev. 1: The Unicode roadmap V6.0.0 from 2010-10-11 shows this block.

The placement of the proposed letters in this block follows the guideline (proposed by Michael Everson in conjunction with the aforementioned proposal N3907) that new case pairs continue to go into the Latin Extended-D block, while unpaired lowercase letters go into this block.

Additions for Lithuanian dialectology

C	U+AB80	
7		LATIN SMALL LETTER C WITH PALATAL HOOK
_		(see fig. 1930a-6, 2001a-342, 2001a-344a)
h	U+AB81	
13	O 171BO 1	LATIN SMALL LETTER H WITH PALATAL HOOK
		→ A727 latin small letter heng (see fig. 2001a-344a)
m	U+AB82	LATIN SMALL LETTER M WITH INWARDS HOOK (see fig. 2001a-344a/b)
n		,
n	U+AB83	LATIN SMALL LETTER N WITH INWARDS HOOK
		(see fig. 1930a-XIX [with explanation], 1930a-26b, 2001a-344a/b)

Additions for Lithuanian and German dialectology

a	U+AB8C	MODIFIER LETTER BASELINE SMALL A (see fig. 1930a-89)
	(U+AB8D)	reserved code position for a letter contained in a separate proposal for German dialectology (N3907 = L2/10-346) (MODIFIER LETTER BASELINE SMALL E)
i	U+AB8E	MODIFIER LETTER BASELINE SMALL I (see fig. 1930a-13)
u	U+AB8F	MODIFIER LETTER BASELINE SMALL U (see fig. 1930a-XV [with explanation], 1930a-13)

Block: Phonetic Extensions Supplement-B (new block; U+AB90...ABAF)

This block is intended for phonetic characters which are not Latin by nature, and therefore are not appropriate to be included in the Latin Extended-E block.

(Beside the characters proposed here, there are some characters used in Slovenian dialectology derived from Cyrillic and Greek letters, which may be proposed later. Therefore, the size of two columns seems appropriate.)

The block would have been named "Phonetic Extensions Extended-A" if the (informal) naming scheme for new blocks would be followed; but this name would sound strange.

Note in Rev. 1: The Unicode roadmap V6.0.0 from 2010-10-11 shows this block, with an even larger size U+AB90...ABBF.

Intonation marks for Lithuanian dialectology

1	U+AB90	MODIFIER LETTER SLANTED UP ARROW
		= sharp rise in tone (see fig. 2001a-347)
1	U+AB91	MODIFIER LETTER SLANTED DOWN ARROW
		= sharp fall in tone (see fig. 2001a-347)
$\int_{\mathbf{r}}$	U+AB92	MODIFIER LETTER SLANTED UP ARROW ENDING IN BEND
		= increasing tone with falling trend at the end (see fig. 2001a-347)
\mathcal{J}	U+AB93	MODIFIER LETTER SLANTED DOWN ARROW STARTING WITH BEND
		= sharp rise and fall in tone (see fig. 2001a-347)
<i>†</i>	U+AB94	MODIFIER LETTER SMALL MIDDLE SLANTED UP ARROW
		= slight rise in tone (see fig. 2001a-347)
t	U+AB95	MODIFIER LETTER SMALL MIDDLE SLANTED DOWN ARROW
		= slight fall in tone (when at the end of a word)= overall fall in tone (when at the beginning of a phrase)(see fig. 2001a-347)
ſ	U+AB96	MODIFIER LETTER SLANTED UP ARROW STARTING WITH HORIZONTAL LINE
		= continued rise in tone (see fig. 2001a-347)
\mathcal{I}	U+AB97	MODIFIER LETTER SLANTED DOWN ARROW STARTING WITH HORIZONTAL LINE
		= continued fall in tone (see fig. 2001a-347)

L	U+AB98	MODIFIER LETTER SLANTED LINE WITH NORTH EAST ARROW FROM THE LOWER END
		= sharp fall in tone with rising trend at the end (see fig. 2001a-347)
L	U+AB99	MODIFIER LETTER SHORT SLANTED LINE WITH NORTH EAST ARROW FROM THE LOWER END
		= slight fall in tone with rising trend at the end (see fig. 2001a-347)
Ъ	U+ABA0	MODIFIER LETTER SMALL HARD SIGN ≈ <super> 044A (see fig. 2001a-342 [with explanation], 2001a-344)</super>
Ь	U+ABA1	MODIFIER LETTER SMALL SOFT SIGN ≈ <super> 044C (see fig. 2001a-341 [with explanation])</super>

Block: Supplemental punctuation

Additions for Lithuanian dialectology

U+2E46 DOTTED VERTICAL LINE

→ 205E vertical four dots

→ 250A box drawings light quadruple dash vertical

→ 2999 dotted fence

(see fig. 2001-348 ff.)

U+2E47 WIGGLED VERTICAL LINE

→ 2307 wavy line

→ 299A vertical zigzag line

(see fig. 2001-348 ff.)

Properties:

```
AB80; LATIN SMALL LETTER C WITH PALATAL HOOK; L1;0;L;;;;N;;;;
AB81; LATIN SMALL LETTER H WITH PALATAL HOOK; L1; 0; L;;;; N;;;;
AB82; LATIN SMALL LETTER M WITH INWARDS HOOK; Ll; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;
AB83; LATIN SMALL LETTER N WITH INWARDS HOOK; Ll; 0; L;;;;; N;;;;;
AB8C; MODIFIER LETTER BASELINE SMALL A; Lm; 0; ON; ; ; ; ; N; ; ; ;
AB8E; MODIFIER LETTER BASELINE SMALL I; Lm; 0; ON; ;; ;; N; ;; ;;
AB8F; MODIFIER LETTER BASELINE SMALL U; Lm; 0; ON; ; ; ; ; N; ; ; ;
AB90; MODIFIER LETTER SLANTED UP ARROW; Lm; 0; ON; ; ; ; ; N; ; ; ;
AB91; MODIFIER LETTER SLANTED DOWN ARROW; Lm; 0; ON; ;; ;; N; ;; ;
AB92; MODIFIER LETTER SLANTED UP ARROW ENDING IN BEND; Lm; 0; ON; ; ; ; ; N; ; ; ; ;
AB93; MODIFIER LETTER SLANTED DOWN ARROW STARTING WITH BEND; Lm; 0; ON; ;;;; N; ;;;
AB94; MODIFIER LETTER SMALL MIDDLE SLANTED UP ARROW; Lm; 0; ON; ;; ;; N; ;; ;; AB95; MODIFIER LETTER SMALL MIDDLE SLANTED DOWN ARROW; Lm; 0; ON; ;; ;; N; ;; ;;
AB96; MODIFIER LETTER SLANTED UP ARROW STARTING WITH HORIZONTAL LINE
       ;Lm;0;ON;;;;;N;;;;
AB97; MODIFIER LETTER SLANTED DOWN ARROW STARTING WITH HORIZONTAL LINE
       ;Lm;0;ON;;;;;N;;;;
AB98; MODIFIER LETTER SLANTED LINE WITH NORTH EAST ARROW FROM THE LOWER END
       ;Lm;0;ON;;;;;N;;;;
AB99; MODIFIER LETTER SHORT SLANTED LINE WITH NORTH EAST ARROW FROM THE
        LOWER END; Lm; 0; ON;;;;; N;;;; END
ABA0; MODIFIER LETTER SMALL HARD SIGN; Lm; 0; L; < super> 044A;;;; N;;;;
ABA1; MODIFIER LETTER SMALL SOFT SIGN; Lm; 0; L; < super> 044C;;;; N;;;;
2E46; DOTTED VERTICAL LINE; Po; 0; ON;;;;; N;;;;
2E47; WIGGLED VERTICAL LINE; Po; 0; ON; ;; ;; N; ;; ;;
```

Linebreaking properties of punctuation marks:

The proposed punctuation marks are vertical lines by nature, and therefore behave in any linebreaking and related processing like U+2016 DOUBLE VERTICAL LINE.

Notes on confusables:

The letter U+AB82 LATIN SMALL LETTER M WITH INWARDS HOOK is similar to U+006D LATIN SMALL LETTER M.

Likewise, the letter U+AB83 LATIN SMALL LETTER N WITH INWARDS HOOK is similar to U+006E LATIN SMALL LETTER N.

The intonation marks, while being modifier letters, are not intended to be allowed in IDNs or identifiers.

4. Acknowledgements

Special thanks for Michael Everson for providing a font containing all the characters proposed in this document.

5. References

- [1930a] Gerullis, Georg: Litauische Dialektstudien. Leipzig 1930
- [2001a] Girdenis, Aleksas: Kalbotyros darbai (Studies in linguistics): straipsniai, studijos, esė, recenzijos. T. 3: 1988–2000. Vilnius, Mokslo ir enciklopedijų leidybos inst., 2001. ISBN 5-420-01480-7
- [2006a] Kačiuškienė G. Šiaurės panevėžiškių tarmės fonologijos bruožai. Vilniaus universiteto leidykla, 2006.
- [2008a] Girdenis A. Žemaičių dzūkai: Tekstai su komentarais. Mokslo ir enciklopedijų leidybos institutas, 2008

6. Examples and Figures

The figures are numbered by the referenced work (consisting of the year of edition and the letter, as in the "references" list, followed by a hyphen the page number, and following by a second letter if more than one figure is taken from a page.

E.g.: "Fig. 2001a-344" means "See ref. [2001a], p.344").

Fig. 1930a-XV: Showing specimens for U+AB8F MODIFIER LETTER BASELINE SMALL U.

[uo] = Petitbuchstaben neben Normaltypen weisen auf wesentlich schwächere Artikulation hin: $\check{\mathbf{Z}}$ R $nu\check{o}r^{o}$ \langle $n\acute{o}riu$.

Partial translation from German:

Small font letters beside normal font letters denote a considerably weaker articulation: ...

Fig. 1930a-XVIII: Showing specimens for U+AB80 LATIN SMALL LETTER C WITH PALATAL HOOK.

- [g] = stark stimmhaftes, unaspiriertes g, gemeinlit.: schriftlit. $g \acute{a} \cdot u do \cdot = g \acute{a} u do$.
- [g] = palatales, stimmhaftes g, gemeinlit.: g = g y vus.

Partial translation from German:

- [g] = strongly voiced, unaspirated g, ...
- [g] = palatal voiced g, ...

Fig. 1930a-XIXa: Showing specimens for U+AB83 LATIN SMALL LETTER N WITH INWARDS HOOK.

 $[n] = \text{dentales}, \text{ stark stimmhaftes } n, \text{ gemeinlit.} : \text{schriftlit. } nu\tilde{o}.$

 $[\eta]$ = palatales n, gemeinlit.: schriftlit. $su\tilde{\eta}.kei = su\tilde{n}kiai$.

[n] = velares n, gemeinlit.: schriftlit. $me\tilde{n}.ko \cdot = me\tilde{n}ko$.

[n] = silbisches n, sehr selten: R 5 $touk\acute{u}osn$ $\langle lauk\acute{u}osna .$

Annotated translation from German:

[n] = dental, strongly voiced n, as in literary Lithuanian $nu\tilde{o}$. Dantinis, stipriai skardus n, kaip bendrinės lietuvių kalbos žodyje $nu\tilde{o}$.

 $[\eta]$ = palatal n, as in literary Lithuanian [phonetically transcribed] $su\tilde{\eta}.kei$ = [standard orthography] $su\tilde{\eta}kiai$.

Palatalinis (minkštas gomurinis) n, kaip bendrinės lietuvių kalbos žodyje sun kei = sunkiai.

[n] = velar n, as in literary Lithuanian [phonetically transcribed] $men.ko \cdot$ = [in the standard orthography] $men.ko \cdot$ = [in the standard orthogra

Veliarinis (kietas gomurinis) n, kaip bendrinės lietuvių kalbos žodyje men.ko = meñ.ko.

[n] = syllabic n, very rare, as in the dialect [conventionally marked R5 in the Gerullis' book] $touk\acute{u}osn$, corresponding to the standard Lithuanian $lauk\acute{u}osna$.

Skiemeninis n, labai retas, kaip tarmės, Gerulio knygoje sutartinai žymimos R5, žodyje łoukúosņ < laukúosna.

Fig. 1930a-XIXb: Enlarged part of 1930a-XIXa, to show the exact form of U+AB83 LATIN SMALL LETTER N WITH INWARDS HOOK.

Fig. 1930a-6: Showing specimens for U+AB80 LATIN SMALL LETTER C WITH PALATAL HOOK (red).

Zuīkis ir ežys.

Dailùs, gražùs zuikēlis sāko ēžiui:

Kokiē, broliùkai, tāvo
 rū̃bai dỹgūs ir negrāžūs.

— Tiesà, — atsākė ežỹs, bèt māno ādatos *àpgina manè nuo šunies ir vilko dantų. Ar tám tāvo gražùs kailelis? Zuikelis nieko nebeatsākė, tìk suñkiai atsidùso. zuiķī·s ir. ežī·s

dailùs gražùs zuiķæ·lis sā·ko· æ·žui

kó·ki bro·lùkai tàβo· rũ·bai đĩ·gu·s iř. negrã·žu·s

tiesà atsã·ke· ežī·s bèt màno· ã·dato·s àb gina mañè nuõ šuñiēs ir̃. βit.ko· dantũ·

ar̃. tá·m tàβo· gražùs kailæ·lis zuiķæ·lis niĕko· nebeatsā·ke̞· tìk՛ suŋ̃.kei atŝidùso· Fig. 1930a-13: Showing specimens for U+ABAE MODIFIER LETTER BASELINE SMALL I (red) and U+ABAF MODIFIER LETTER BASELINE SMALL U (green).

schwächung des Drucks tritt in Diphthongen, wie überall beim litauischen Brechton, über dem ersten Komponenten ein, nur bei (ie, wo über dem zweiten.

3. Der Dehnton hat seine Druckstelle, da wir eine rein žemaitische Mundart vor uns haben, über dem ersten Komponenten des Diphthongs, nur bei ie, up über dem zweiten.

Fig. 1930a-26a: Compare with fig. 2001a-349 which shows the same text.

tuř.gui merçã·la atŝilí.ka nù mó·linas jì (auch jiń) łã·ksta ješkó·dama sàβa mam̂i·tes žmó·nis kłá·uŝ kό·k² bú.βa tàβa mã·ma mer çî te vergdam, à sã ka ar jū·s neži.not mana mā·ma abdri.skus tarbā·lom apsikabi.nus àle ùž visas çerā·sne

Fig. 1930a-26b: Showing a specimen for U+AB83 LATIN SMALL LETTER N WITH INWARDS HOOK.

kur teisī.he

tupedams poukštādis mādi pamāde aht žādnes grudādi ir mislire sá·u im.ŝu ir suleŝu atši.tupe poukštā·lis prì grú·da ir paklū.βa i. spá·stus kuốd, èl às tùr, ù (auch tùru) prazú-t grou. džei sučipsé je poukštā·lis vànag,ai gá·uda çí·βus poukštèlus ir tai jiem niēkai δ. àš tùru dèl viena men.ka grudā·le pražú·t

Fig. 1930a-87: Showing a specimen for U+AB83 LATIN SMALL LETTER N WITH INWARDS HOOK (red.

ũmedes sutûpy, kas jôm narûpi.

Czà roūswos, małswos, piłkos &à roūspa.s mełspa.s pi.łka.s $\acute{u} \cdot me. de.s$ sut $\~u \cdot \^pi$. Linksműtes, grażiai áuga, nie- linksmű.te.s grażei. á·uga niekas 16·m nerū·pi

Fig. 1930a-89: Showing a specimen for U+AB8C MODIFIER LETTER BASELINE SMALL U.

bũwīs (-ys) gała'n, łabaì nusiminûs (nusĩmine), matýdamas, kàd wisũ turtu isznykta (wisì turtai isznŷko), ę̃ (•e) tiktaì

- **Fig. 2001a-341:** Showing specimens for U+ABA1 MODIFIER LETTER SMALL SOFT SIGN, some enclosed in the (already encoded) superscript parentheses U+207C/U+207D.
 - b =labai redukuotas bespalvis priešakinis balsis; vartotinas tik tada, kai tikslesnės balsio spalvos neįmanoma nustatyti. Pvz.: š. pan. $ak^{(b)}$ ~ akis, $\check{z}\check{a}l^{(b)}$ ~ žaliùs, -iàs;

Translation from Lithuanian:

ь = substantially weakened colourless front vowel; this character is to be used only when more precise vowel colouring is impossible to determine. For example, as in North Panevėžys dialect $\dot{a}k^{(b)}$ \$ = literary Lithuanian akis, etc.

- **Fig. 2001a-342:** Showing specimens for U+ABA0 MODIFIER LETTER SMALL HARD SIGN (green), some enclosed in the superscript parentheses U+207C/U+207D.
 - δ = labai redukuotas bespalvis užpakalinis balsis; vartotinas tik tada, kai tikslesnės balsio spalvos neįmanoma nustatyti. Pvz.: š. pan. $r \grave{a} g^{(b)} s \sim r \grave{a} g \grave{b} s \sim v \grave{a} i k k^{(b)} s \sim v \grave{a} i k k k c.$

Translation from Lithuanian:

b = substantially weakened colourless back vowel; this character is to be used only when more precise vowel colouring is impossible to determine. For example, as in North Panevėžys dialect $r \grave{a} g^{(b)} s$ = literary Lithuanian $r a g \grave{u} s$, etc.

Fig. 2001a-343: Showing specimens for U+ABA0 MODIFIER LETTER SMALL HARD SIGN (red) and ABA1 MODIFIER LETTER SMALL SOFT SIGN (green).

§ 6. Redukcija

Maža kiekybinė balsio redukcija žymima pakeltu mažesnio šrifto rašmeniu: a, i, u. Pvz.: š. žem. $s\hat{a}\cdot rk^as \sim s$ árkos, $a\hat{c}\cdot s$ ~ ãvys, $\hat{v}\hat{t}\cdot r^u \sim v$ ýrų. Kai redukcija itin ryški (balsis nesudaro skiemens ir pan.) balsio rašmuo dar ir suskliaučiamas: a, u. Pvz.: š. žem. $an^a p\hat{a}.met^{(e)} v\hat{a}\cdot k^{(a)} on_t\hat{a}.k^{(a)} \sim ana$ ("ji") pãmetė vaiką an(t) tãko.

Redukcija, iš esmės keičianti balsio kokybę, žymima minėtais specialiais rašmenimis: $\alpha \ni \iota \ni \upsilon \ni$. Reikalui esant, jie gali būti pakeliami bei sumažinami ($\alpha, \beta, \beta, \nu, \delta$) ir suskliaučiami ($\alpha, \beta, \nu, \delta$) Pvz.: š. pan. $ak^{(b)}\hat{s} \sim ak\hat{s}$, $rag^{(b)}\hat{s} \sim rag\hat{s}$, $\hat{v}\tilde{s}\tilde{s}t^{(b)} \sim višta$.

Fig. 2001a-344a: Showing specimens for:

U+AB80 LATIN SMALL LETTER C WITH PALATAL HOOK (red), U+AB81 LATIN SMALL LETTER H WITH PALATAL HOOK (green), U+AB82 LATIN SMALL LETTER M WITH INSIDE HOOK (blue), U+AB83 LATIN SMALL LETTER N WITH INSIDE HOOK (purple), U+ABA0 MODIFIER LETTER SMALL HARD SIGN (orange).

§ 7. Priebalsių ženklai

 $p\ b\ \beta\ \varphi\ m\ w$ – abilūpiai. Pvz., bk $\beta \delta \cdot r\alpha s \parallel v\delta \cdot r\alpha s$ ~ vóras, $\varphi u\hat{r}ije \parallel furiie$ ~ fùrija. Tariant β tarp lūpų būna plokščias plyšys, tariant w – apskritas.

 $f \ v \ m \ \dot{p} \ \dot{p} - l \ u \ p \ dantiniai.$ Pvz.: bk $\hat{n} \ i \ m \ f \ a \ v \ a \ d \ a \ c$ apvadai, $\dot{p} \ \hat{f} \ e \ \hat{n} \ i \ g \ a \ c$ pfènigas. Ženklu $v \ da \ bar \ i \ p \ rasta \ z \ y \ m e ti atitinkamą bk ir tarmių garsą, nors dažniausiai jis yra abilupis, – vadinasi, turėtų būti rašomas <math>\beta \ a \ r \ b \ w$.

j j t d k G l r η η x h – palataliniai. Pvz.: bk $ke\eta k\acute{e} j\underline{e}s$ ~ kenkéjas, $j\acute{u}\eta G\dot{e}$ ~, $br \ni \eta x \dot{t} t \propto s$, kretingiškių $j\^{a}.ut^u$ ~ jáučių 12. Pokario metais vietoj k G η ir kt. įprasta rašyti k g \hat{u} , beveik nebevartojami j t d.

 \dot{z} \dot{s} \dot{c} \dot{z} – retrofleksiniai ("šlekiuojamieji"); tariami kiek atlenktu atgal liežuvio galu. Pvz., senųjų žagariečių \hat{s} ė \hat{s} \hat{u} · \hat{z} o \hat{z} \hat{u} . ~ šešių žodžių, \hat{s} ėn \hat{u} k \hat{s} ~ senùkas (girdėti lyg tarpiniai garsai tarp \hat{s} / \hat{s} , \hat{z} / \hat{z} , \hat{z} / \hat{z}) 13.

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} n = -\infty$, š. pan. $\lim_{n \to \infty} n = -\infty$, š. pan. $\lim_{n \to \infty} n = -\infty$, š. pan. $\lim_{n \to \infty} n = -\infty$, kai kur $\lim_{n \to \infty} n = -\infty$, kai kur $\lim_{n \to \infty} n = -\infty$, aklùs.

 $t \not d \check{s} \check{z} \check{c} \check{g} \nmid r \not n$ – liežuvio priešakiniai alveoliniai. Pvz.: $tr\grave{a}k\hat{t}i \sim tr\grave{a}kti$, $d\hat{r}\tilde{t}$ pso· $\sim dr\tilde{y}$ bso, $z\acute{a}.nr\alpha s \sim z\acute{a}nras$, $z\acute{a}.\hat{r}\tilde{z}\acute{g}ina \sim dz\acute{a}rz\acute{g}ina$, $z\acute{g}\acute{u}$ gauja. Vietoj $z\acute{g}$ labai dažnai rašomi digrafai $z\acute{d}$ nesideriną su $z\acute{c}$.

 $s \neq c r$ – retrofleksiniai. Pasitaiko tik kaip variantai ir todėl žymėtini tiktai epizodiškai, – pvz.: bk *ṣrùto·s* ~ srùtos, $p\tilde{u} \cdot z r \alpha s$ ~ $p\tilde{u}z r \alpha s$.

 $ng k \gamma (h) x$ – liežuvio užpakaliniai. Pvz., bk $t\acute{a}ng\alpha s$ ~ langas. Vietoj γ dabar įprasta rašyti h, nors iš tikrųjų tai ne liežuvio užpakalinio, o gérklinio priebalsio ženklas. Įsidėmėtina, kad x nieku būdu negalima keisti digrafu ch, nors kartais taip ir daroma.

Partial translation from Lithuanian, augmented by an example for h:

 $f \lor m \not p \not b$, labial-dental consonants. For example, as in literary Lithuanian [phonetically transcribed] $\hat{n}imfa$ = [standard orthography] nimfa, etc.

... $\boldsymbol{\varsigma}$... \boldsymbol{h} palatal consonants. For example, as in literary Lithuanian [phonetically transcribed] $j\acute{u}\underline{n}\varsigma\underline{e}\cdot$ = [standard orthography] $j\grave{u}ng\acute{e}, \underline{h}\acute{u}mnas = h\grave{l}mnas$.

 $n g k \gamma (h) x$, dorsal consonants. For example, as in literary Lithuanian $tangas = [standard\ orthography]$ lángas.

Fig. 2001a-344b: Showing enlarged parts of fig. 2001a-344a, to show the exact shape of (the italic forms of) the letters U+AB82LATIN SMALL LETTER M WITH INWARDS HOOK and U+AB83 LATIN SMALL LETTER N WITH INWARDS HOOK.

m

Fig. 2001a-347: Showing specimens for the intonation marks (U+AB90 ... U+AB99).

In U+AB95 MODIFIER LETTER SMALL MIDDLE SLANTED DOWN ARROW (red), two applications are unified into one character.

U+A71D MODIFIER LETTER RAISED EXCLAMATION MARK (green) is already encoded as Africanist tone mark.

§ 11. Intonacijos ir teksto sintagminės skaidos ženklai

Žymėtini tik tie svarbiausi sakinio intonacijos bruožai, kurie sutelkti centriniuose sintagmos ir frazės žodžiuose. Ženklai (jeigu specialiai nenurodyta) kitaip, žymimi po tų žodžių.

- /\\\\\ ryškus tono kilimas (antikadencija), kritimas (kadencija), kilimas-kritimas (akcentuota kadencija). Pvz.: bk pàpu·te· žvarbùŝ vé·jes/ / ir̄ _ē·me· kristi bá.tto·(s) snai.ge·s\ // ~ Pàpūtė žvarbùs véjas, ir ěmė kristi báltos snaigės, na_ir_gražùmaz_gi tu._merginu·! ~ Na, ir gražùmas gi tų merginų!
- to- nežymus tono kilimas ar kritimas. Pvz.: š. žem. $tas \ \tilde{\rho} \cdot \hat{n} \hat{l}^{(e)} t \ | \ m \dot{\phi} . n^a$ $br \hat{uol}^s s \ | \ d\hat{e}d\hat{e}l\hat{e} \cdot \hat{m}i. \hat{l}iei^{(e)} ton \ \hat{m}e. \hat{r} c \hat{e}. \hat{t} k^{(a)} t \ | \ \mu uo \hat{n}e. \hat{l}^e t \ || \sim \text{Tas Ante (,,Antanas''), muno (,,mano'') brólis, dideliai (,,labai'') myléjo ta mergélka Onele. Dažniausiai šie ženklai rodo ir greitėlesnį tarimo tempą.$
- J \ tęsiamas tono kilimas ar kritimas. Pvz.: š. žem. îęi_vå.kã· krũokr
 ˈĉi··pʃ | mûoîi.n^(a) eš_prũot^ar | krã··u.stûos\! || ~ Tie vaikai kriõka ("verkia")
 cýpa ("cypia"), mótyna iš prõto kráustos!
- f' tono kilimas su kritimo tendencija intonuojamo segmento pabaigoje. Pvz.: š. žem. $p\dot{a}.s(k'')$ i kad uns $m\dot{e}.f^*f'$ f lik, $t\tilde{a}$ $p\tilde{r}i$ $an\tilde{u}o$ l $tar\dot{a}.d'' \ <math>l$ ~ Pāsku ("paskui"), kad anas mirė, tiktai pri anõ ("pas jį") terādo. (Baigiant sakyti $mir\dot{e}$ susvyruota, ar aiškinti toliau situaciją, ar ne.)
- $L\nu$ ryškus ir atitinkamai nežymus tono kritimas su kilimo tendencija segmento pabaigoje. Pvz.: bk $tu_{\hat{v}}iska \cdot Ljau_{\hat{v}}ab\alpha igei$? ~ Tu viską jau pabaigei? (Ramus klausimas; emocingai klausiant ar perklausiant būtų $tu_{\hat{v}}iska \cdot Ljau_{\hat{v}}ab\alpha igei$?).
- staigus bendras sintagmos tono pakilimas (žymimas frazės ar sintagmos pradžioje). Pvz.: 'konegâ·kštisr | tas_nalė.pa\\ \phi n_tuor\tildos! || ons_važ\dau\tildos! || e_nuvaž\dau\tildos! || ~ Kunig\tildosik\tildositis tas nelipo an(t) tvor\tildos! Anas va\tildosi\tildos
- 1)— bendras tono kritimas (žymimas frazės ar sintagmos pradžioje). Pvz.: sàvo· minti·sė / νmano. núomo·ne·sr / niẽkαs nektá.use· / visiškαir su tikåū. / su_bró·leis\ // ~ Sàvo mintysè (mano núomonės niẽkas nekláusė) visiškai sutikaū̃ su bróliais.

Partial translation from Lithuanian:

Only the most important sentence intonation characteristics are to be marked on paper, and only those which rest on the central words of a syntagm or a phrase. The characters (unless specifically indicated otherwise), are to be written *after* the words they pertain to.

- $f \setminus f$ sharp rise of tone (anticadence), fall (cadence), rise-fall (emphatic anticadence). For example [Lithuanian sentences, phonetically transcribed]
- t = -1 slight rise or fall of tone. For example [Lithuanian sentences, phonetically transcribed]. Usually these characters also denote a faster tempo of delivery.
- $\int \int$ protracted tone rise or fall. For example...
- f rise of tone with a tendency of falling at the end of the intoned segment. For example...
- $L\nu$ strong or accordingly slight fall of tone with a tendency of rising towards the end of the segment. For example...
- [!] sudden general rise of tone of a syntagm (written at the start of the phrase or syntagm). For example...
- ι general fall of tone (written at the start of a phrase or syntagm). For example...

Fig. 2001a-348: Showing specimens for U+2E46 DOTTED VERTICAL LINE (red) and U+2E47 WIGGLED VERTICAL LINE (green).

- // frazės pabaigos (terminalinė) pauzė (žr. ankstesnius pavyzdžius).
- / sintagmos ribų pauzė (žr. ankstesnius pavyzdžius).
- ilga neterminalinė pauzė. Pvz.: bk $i\hat{r}_{\hat{l}}\hat{t}e^{i}v\alpha st$ / $ir_{\hat{l}}m\hat{o}\hat{t}in\alpha t$ / $ir_{\hat{l}}br\hat{o}\hat{l}ei\hat{r}$ $\hat{v}i\hat{s}ir_{\hat{l}}k\alpha ib_{\hat{l}}\hat{z}\hat{v}\hat{e}\hat{r}ir_{\hat{l}}s\gamma$ púola $ta_{\hat{l}}\hat{b}e\hat{r}n\hat{o}\hat{l}ka^{\hat{l}}$ / \sim Ir tévas, ir mótina, ir bróliai visì kaip žvérys púola ta berniõka.
 - //- neryški pauzė sintagmos viduje (žr. ankstesnius pavyzdžius).
- []—netikėtas kalbėjimo srauto pertrūkis arba staigus intonacijos posūkis. Pvz.: š. žem. er ons lober somdist | po 'pėnkist skeik) gâ.us\ton dē in albenir nku | ~ Ir anas liúoba samdýs ("jis samdydavo") po penkis... kiek gáus tą dieną darbininkų (pauzė prieš darbininkų pridūrimo signalas).
- atviroji sandūra. Ypač žymėtina balsių junginiuose, kurie be šio ženklo gali būti skaitomi kaip dvibalsiai. Pvz.: bk *pa-up̃i·s* ~ paupỹs, *su-irùt̂g·* ~ suirùtė.
- -- netikėtas kalbėjimo srauto pertrūkis žodžio viduryje. Pvz.: uteniškių *ŝρ̄jǽ.ud̄i.dava.(s)† žma.Ģė.l̄i.s | kαi_rαikė́·dava.t n"ɔ._kαb̄lū.ka.t n--()nukαb̄i.n̄(t̄) tαs_pu.gžl̄ɔ́·kαs\ // ~ Spjáudydavos žmogė̃lys, kai reikė́davo nuo kabliùko n... nukabint' tas pūgžliõkas¹⁷.*

Partial translation from Lithuanian:

- i slight pause in the middle of a syntagm.
- § − unexpected interruption in the flow of speech, or a sharp change of intonation. For example [Lithuanian sentences in the Samogitian dialect, phonetically transcribed]

Fig. 2001a-349: Compare with fig. 1930a-26a which shows the same text.

§ 12. Keletas pavyzdžių¹⁸

Bendrinė kalba

tuř.guje: merçë le. 19 | acîlîko: nuo_mó îino:s\ || ji_lā·ksto:: | iieškó:da-ma sàvo: mamî!îe;s\ || žmó:ne:s! | kłá.uŝe\ || ko·kè: bùuo.\ tàwo: mamà? || merçi!īe:! | vergdamà sã·ko:\ || arçi! jū:s | nezino:le.? || màno: mamà: ab-drìskuŝi! | lerbē·le:mis apŝikablnuŝi! | bet_už_visàz: | çerèŝne:\ ||

Pietų žemaičiai raseiniškiai

tỹ.r.gui merçé.lij | acilika nu_múoti nas\ || ji_lå.kstat i.!škúodama | sàwa mamí les\ || žmúonis | kłá.us\ || kuokæ | bùwa\ tàwa må.ma? || merçé.lij riegdamà | så.ka\ || årl_jű·s | neži,núot\? || mùna må.m" abdriskusij | terbikums apsikabinusi | ale_už_visàs | çerèsni\ ||

Šiaurės žemaičiai telšiškiai

 $t\tilde{\phi}$ rg $\hat{\phi}$.u1 me \hat{r} g \hat{g} ė. \hat{l}^{ϵ} 1 | ace \hat{l} ė. $k^{(a)}$ 1 u1 $m\hat{u}$ 0 \hat{t} i n^{a} 5\| a1 a1 a1 a1 a2 | a3 a4 a5 a4 | a6 a7 | a8 a9 | a9 | a9 | a1 a1 | a1 a1 | a1 a1 | a1 | a2 | a3 | a4 | a4 | a4 | a4 | a5 | a6 | a7 | a8 | a9 | a

Rytų aukštaičiai uteniškiai

tuṛ̃,gui mer̃çæta. | atlli.ka. na. mɔ̃·c̃æs\ || anα ta.ksta. | jieška.damα | sa.βa. ma.tù.tæ.s\ || žmɔ́.næ.s || ktá.uŝe\ || kɔ⁻·kæ bù.wa\ ta.βa. mɔ⁻mὰ? || mer̃çɔ̃·tæ. | vergdamὰ | sa.ka\ || ar̂.çi jù·s | nez̃i.,ná.t\? || ma.na. mɔ⁻mὰ | abdriskus | terbæta.m apskabi.nus | ale_az̃_visὰz çera.sna. ||

Rytų aukštaičiai kupiškėnai

tuṝ gui mer̄çẹ̄.ta.ṭ | aîlikɔ.nɔ._mɔ̄ cɔ̃.s\ || ii._tɔ́.kstɔ.ṭ | tieškɔ.damα | så.βɔ. mɔ.tū.tā.s\ || žmɔ́.nā.st | ktá.us̄e\ || kɔ̄ ˈk̞ɛ bū.wɔ.\ ta˙.βɔ. mɔ˙ mα? || margɔ́.itā.ṭ | vargdamα | sɔ˙.kɔ.\ || ar̄.gi ju˙ st | ne̞ ˈz̄i.nɔ́.t\? || mɔ˙.nɔ. mɔ˙ ·mα | abdr̄ i̇.skust | tarba˙.tā.m apskabi˙.nust | alẹ uz̄ v̄isαz̄ · gera˙.snā.\ ||

from p. 350:

Rytų aukštaičiai šiaurės panevėžiškiai

 $t\phi \hat{r}.g^{(b)}$ ı mærgá.i $\hat{t}e$ [| aĉeli.ka no_mó· \hat{t} 3nos\ || J3n_tå.kstaı Jæškó·dam $^{(b)}$ [| så.va motú. $\hat{t}e$ \$\] || žmó· \hat{n}^b \$] | ktá.u $\hat{s}^{(b)}$ \ || k $\hat{\phi}\hat{k}^b$ bù.va $^{(b)}$ \ tå.va må.m $^{(b)}$? || $\hat{m}e\hat{r}G^{(b)}$ \ \hat{v} æ $\hat{r}.g$ dam | så.ka\ || a $\hat{r}.G^{(b)}$ | jú·s | $\hat{n}e\hat{z}i.n$ at\? || må.na må.m $^{(b)}$ | | ab $\hat{d}\hat{r}i.sk^b$ s| | $\hat{t}e\hat{r}\hat{b}$ \&:tem apsekabi.n b s| | \hat{b} \&to \hat{o} \zeros\z

¹⁸ Pasinaudota J. Gerulio (Gerullis, 1930, 11 tt.) antruoju lyginamuoju tekstu "Mama". Širdingai dėkojame Daivai Atkočaitytei, Irenai Kruopienei, Reginai Rinkauskienei, kurios padėjo mums patikslinti kai kurių tekstų transkripciją.

Fig. 2006a-162: Showing specimens for U+AB83 LATIN SMALL LETTER N WITH INWARDS HOOK and several other characters proposed here.

sałdá.inęŝ\ Jo.ŝ_iš'si.perk\ || o_viręJ | vir'sı_túos | so_ęžgęf'm'\ ||
nòl_te_wòt paselsnik || ta_mó'lön dåuĝá.us(e) || paselsnik || mó'lön' tév's ||
so_dúon | su_vira.1 stiklûkes || paselsnik || dúono.sı | tuo(s)_su_dròsk' || ušpi.tt'
ta. | dve steklen(e) || te_júos || paselsnik || dúono.sı | tuo(s)_su_dròsk' || ušpi.tt'
unîl_le kšteŝi | tos_dúono(s) || suwá.tga. || túos || ižger(e) || stiklòks || no_e_vi.s\ || ušpi.tt'
unîl_le kšteŝi || tos_dúono(s) || suwá.tga. || túos || ižger(e) || stiklòks || no_e_vi.s\ || ušpi.tt'
unîl_le kšteŝi || tos_dúono(s) || suwá.tga. || túos || ižger(e) || stiklòks || no_e, vi.s\ || ušpi.tt'
unîl_le kšteŝi || tos_dúono(s) || suwá.tga. || túos || ižger(e) || stiklòks || no_e, vi.s\ || ušpi.tt'
unîl_le kšteŝi || tos_dúono(s) || suwá.tga. || te_vi.s || tòkŝi || palensyve,nöm's ||
bú'daua.\ || iš_(i)ù' || nereikałá.uj(e) || tek_iš_svõ čos || iš_svõ tu.! || jò || išsipirkl.ma.\ || ta. || pas_mòm || mú'su.! kràšti ||
dabař! || te_má's || geř*žæm || ka_dabař || kad_væstů.veŝ! || balgeŝ! || dvi lækto
wã łando² || te_kò || te_tep_grelt || išseŝkðrs || wakàr || ka_parvažúoi(e) || búdawa. || nætronko || te_muzikõnte || dåuĝá.use || te_tief || má.ršs || griež(e) ||
[iš ryto] no_žã don || te_muzikõnte || dåuĝá.use || te_tief || má.ršs || griež(e) ||

Fig. 2008a-271: Showing specimens for U+AB83 LATIN SMALL LETTER N WITH INWARDS HOOK and several other characters proposed here.

me(s) | $\check{z}id^{(i)}\hat{e}:\dot{e}\setminus | tokei_raudúona:(s)$ $\check{z}e.idèle:s\setminus || pri_pàt$ $| \check{z}e.m^es\setminus || ta_tei_dagòka: | bo.u | dèdèl^{(e)}$ $| \check{v}a:ista:\Lambda! || ta_lô:b | tũ:n$ $| idies | kàt-su:d^{(e)}$ $| idies | e_dous-b || ge:rt^e\setminus || ruoz^es | ka_bu:s_kuok^es | kãn\setminus || \mathring{a}.rbà || tuokã: | da:r || tuokã(n) || kiesalòku^{312} \ | bu:s-b\ | tuoke(s)_zuolė.l^es | šàknikės || dous || suruou^e\ || ta_tuokæ:s\ lô:b | e_gi:di:s^e(s)\ || vo_te:p || nabo.u\ tuokũ:m ||$

 $x\tilde{g}^{.313}$ \ $| \check{z}en\hat{a}\cdot ! | | touk\hat{a}\cdot rt| | n\hat{e}\cdot | | a\check{s}_a tmen^{(o)}| | ne_niek^{(o)}| | touk\hat{a}\cdot rt| | n\hat{e}\cdot | | a\check{s}_a tmen^{(o)}| | ne_niek^{(o)}| | touk\hat{a}\cdot rt| | n\hat{e}\cdot | | ne_niek^{(o)}| ne_niek^{(o)}| | ne_niek^{(o)}| ne_n$

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2 PROPOSAL SUMMARY FORM TO ACCOMPANY SUBMISSIONS FOR ADDITIONS TO THE REPERTOIRE OF ISO/IEC 10646.1

Please fill all the sections A, B and C below.

Please read Principles and Procedures Document (P & P) from ..http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/principles.html .. for guidelines and details before filling this form.

Please ensure you are using the latest Form from ..http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html ..

See also http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/roadmaps.html for latest Roadmaps.

A. Administrative

1. Title: Proposal to add characters used in Lithuanian dialectology to a 2. Requester's name: Vladas Tumasonis; Karl Pentzlin	the UCS
3. Requester type (Member body/Liaison/Individual contribution): Expert Contribution 4. Submission date: 2010-09-24, revised 201	10-10-29
5. Requester's reference (if applicable): Vilnius University: Faculty of Mathematics and Int6. Choose one of the following:	
This is a complete proposal: (or) More information will be provided later:	Yes
B. Technical – General	
1. Choose one of the following: a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters): Proposed name of script: b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block: Name of the existing block: Latin Extended-E (new), Phonetic Ext. Supp. B (new), Supp	No Partially
2. Number of characters in proposal:	21
3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document): A-Contemporary X B.1-Specialized (small collection) B.2-Specialized (large collection) B.2-Specialized (large collection) E-Minor extinct F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic G-Obscure or questionable usage states.	,
4. Is a repertoire including character names provided?	Yes
a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines"	
in Annex L of P&P document?	Yes
b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review?	Yes
5. Fonts related: a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publish standard?	ning the
Michael Everson b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mai	il, ftp-site, etc.):
6. References:	
a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided? b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other so of proposed characters attached? Yes	
7. Special encoding issues:	. ,
Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as i presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information	
8. Additional Information:	
Submitters are invited to provide any additional information about Properties of the proposed Characte that will assist in correct understanding of and correct linguistic processing of the proposed characte Examples of such properties are: Casing information, Numeric information, Currency information, Disinformation such as line breaks, widths etc., Combining behaviour, Spacing behaviour, Directional be Collation behaviour, relevance in Mark Up contexts, Compatibility equivalence and other Unicode no related information. See the Unicode standard at http://www.unicode.org/ for such information on of see http://www.unicode.org/ for Such information of second of s	er(s) or script. isplay behaviour ehaviour, Default ormalization ther scripts. Also

needed for consideration by the Unicode Technical Committee for inclusion in the Unicode Standard.

Proposal to add characters used in Lithuanian dialectology to the UCS 2010-10-29 Rev. 1

 $^{. \\ 1.} Form number: N3702-F (Original 1994-10-14; Revised 1995-01, 1995-04, 1996-04, 1996-08, 1999-03, 2001-05, 2001-09, 2003-11, 2005-01, 2005-01, 2005-10, 2007-03, 2008-05, 2009-11)$

C. Technical - Justification

Has this proposal for addition of character(s) been submitted before?	No			
If YES explain				
2. Has contact been made to members of the user community (for example: National Body,				
user groups of the script or characters, other experts, etc.)?	Yes			
If YES, with whom? One of the authors (V. T.) does itself belong to the scientif	ic community			
If YES, available relevant documents: See text				
3. Information on the user community for the proposed characters (for example:				
size, demographics, information technology use, or publishing use) is included?	Yes			
Reference: See text				
4. The context of use for the proposed characters (type of use; common or rare)	Scientific			
Reference: See text				
5. Are the proposed characters in current use by the user community?	Yes			
If YES, where? Reference: See text				
6. After giving due considerations to the principles in the P&P document must the proposed characteristics.	ters be entirely			
in the BMP?	Yes			
If YES, is a rationale provided?	Yes			
If YES, reference: To keep in line with related characters				
7. Should the proposed characters be kept together in a contiguous range (rather than being scatte	red)? Yes			
8. Can any of the proposed characters be considered a presentation form of an existing				
character or character sequence?	No			
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?				
If YES, reference:				
9. Can any of the proposed characters be encoded using a composed character sequence of either	r			
existing characters or other proposed characters?	No			
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?				
If YES, reference:				
10. Can any of the proposed character(s) be considered to be similar (in appearance or function)				
to an existing character?	No			
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?				
If YES, reference:				
11. Does the proposal include use of combining characters and/or use of composite sequences?	No			
If YES, is a rationale for such use provided?				
If YES, reference:				
Is a list of composite sequences and their corresponding glyph images (graphic symbols) pro-	vided?			
If YES, reference:				
12. Does the proposal contain characters with any special properties such as				
control function or similar semantics?	No			
If YES, describe in detail (include attachment if necessary)				
13. Does the proposal contain any Ideographic compatibility character(s)?	No			
If YES, is the equivalent corresponding unified ideographic character(s) identified?				
If YES, reference:				