

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: March 1, 2012

Document: SC 35 N 1743, PDTR 30109

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
US			ge	<p>This PDTR does not clearly define the problem that this Technical Report it is meant to address, nor provide concrete technical solutions to the problem(s). Because no technical data is provided to support the rationale for creating such a Technical Report (as prescribed by section 3.3.1 below of the ISO Directives for a Technical Report), the USNB cannot support it in its present form.</p> <p>“When a technical committee or subcommittee has collected data of a different kind from that which is normally published as an International Standard (this may include, for example, data obtained from a survey carried out among the national bodies, data on work in other international organizations or data on the "state of the art" in relation to standards of national bodies on a particular subject), the technical committee or subcommittee may decide... to publish such data in the form of a Technical Report.” (from the JTC/IEC Directives Part 1, section 3.3.1)</p>	<p>Provide in clear technical terms the problem that the PDTR is meant to address and specify in detail the international technical barriers it will help overcome.</p> <p>Provide data in tables, examples, diagrams, etc. that illustrate the issues and provide concrete potential solutions.</p>	
US	3		Te.1.	<p>Section 3, “Technology” contains a very generalized and technically vague problem statement (“The problem at hand is to match the preferences of a user to the system at hand”). As noted in comment in T.3., capability matching algorithms are already available, which calls into question the premise of this TR.</p>	<p>Provide a detailed technical problem statement in section 3, and address the questions raised in T.3.</p>	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: March 1, 2012

Document: SC 35 N 1743, PDTR 30109

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
US	3		Te.2.	"The user preference is specified as a preference bundle..." "Preference bundle" is not defined. What international standard format is used for this "preference bundle"?	Provide a definition of "preference bundle" and any international standard formats available for a "preference bundle". Add "preference bundle" to a glossary.	
US	3.3		Te.3.	Some capability matching algorithms, are already available. How are the existing matching algorithms inadequate? Can existing algorithms be upgraded or are new ones required?	Provide details on why current matching algorithms are inadequate, and whether current algorithms can be upgraded or new ones need to be created.	
US	4.2		Te.4.	This section references ISO/IEC 24757, but is not listed in Section 2, Normative References. Is this intentional or an oversight?	Include ISO/IEC 24757 under Section 2, Normative References, if this had been omitted in error.	
US	4.5.		Te.5.	"User possibilities" raises the possibility of a user bringing along an entire O/S on a stick to boot and run on a PC. How is this consistent with the security issues raised in Section 5, which presumes that user settings need to be reset on local hardware?	Address the questions raised in comment T.5.	
US	(Contents)		Ed.1.	Missing "Appendix A"	Add "Appendix A" to Table of Contents.	
US	1		Ed.2.	Current wording "from hardware of different nature" needs adjustment.	Fix wording to "...of a different nature" and run the entire text through a grammar checker.	
US	3		Ed.3.	Current wording is unclear: "...which may be multiple for the same job and weighting of these..."	Fix wording so it is clear.	
US	3		Ed.4.	The sentence beginning "Examples are people on a journey..." is a run-on sentence.	Break this sentence down into two shorter sentences.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: March 1, 2012

Document: SC 35 N 1743, PDTR 30109

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
US	3.1		Ed.5.	Typo: The use should be able..."	Fix typo to "The user..."	
US	3.3		Ed.6.	The line "And a method to access such information over the net" is not a sentence.	Adjust the wording so it makes a complete sentence.	
US	3.3		Ed.7.	"Some further possible specifications is" : The subject and verb need to agree.	Adjust the sentence so the subject and verb agree.	
US	4.4.1		Ed.8.	Typo: "costomers"	Correct to "customers", and run the entire text through a spell-checker.	
US	4.4.2.		Ed.9.	"Disk space... without no additional cost" contains an error.	Correct wording to "without any additional cost"	
US	4.5		Ed.10.	Why are certain words bold (portable computer, http, USB, keyboard, and preferences)? Are these meant to be references for the glossary?	Address questions in E.10.	
US	4.5		Ed.11.	Provide a link or reference to "FreeBSD"	Provide a reference or link to "FreeBSD" and to other useful terms or names in the document.	
US	4.5		Ed.12.	The sentence "If a user have arrangements to connect to a layered service at the homely end, ..." needs adjustment.	Change the verb to "has" and provide an alternate word for "homely" that makes sense.	
US	5		Ed.13.	The sentence "Using foreign systems together with own data always imply" needs adjustment.	Adjust the sentence to make sense ("with their own data" and "implies").	
US	Annex A.1.		Ed.14.	"Preferences server" is not defined. No such information on servers is available on the SC35/WG5 page.	Define "preferences server" in the text and list it in a glossary. Provide information on SC35/WG5 page on such servers. Also, provide evidence that SC35 would have the technical expertise, willingness, or bandwidth	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: March 1, 2012

Document: SC 35 N 1743, PDTR 30109

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
					to host such services.	
US	Annex A.1.		Ed.15.	Typo: "simper"	Correct typo to "simpler."	
US	Annex A.1.		Ed.16.	The sentence "Also for systems where most processing..." is too long and needs editing.	Break down into short, clear sentences.	
US	Annex A.2.		Ed.17.	Two sentences need fixing: "Once to user.." and "It is the responsibility...to provide means of registering..."	Fix the sentences appropriately ("Once the user" and "to provide a means").	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.