UCA: unnecessary statement in C1

Author: Markus Scherer
Date: 2012-aug-29

Conformance clause C1 is about an implementation getting the same results as the logical algorithm.
The third paragraph there says "If a conformant implementation compares strings in a legacy character set, it must provide the same results as if those strings had been transcoded to Unicode."

Proposal

I propose that we remove this third paragraph.

Rationale

This paragraph seem unnecessary and confusing:

- It seems like we only need to talk about UCA conformance after the transcoding to Unicode.
- Implementers of the Unicode Collation Algorithm do not expect it to specify non-Unicode collation.
- Without saying anything about how the transcoding is to be done it is too vague to guide or test an implementation.