

L2/14-184

Working Draft Unicode Technical Report #51

UNICODE EMOJI

Version	1.0 (working draft)
Editors	<u>Mark Davis</u> (<u>markdavis@google.com</u>), Peter Edberg
Date	2014-07-24
This Version	http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr51/tr51-1d.html
Previous Version	n/a
Latest Version	n/a
Latest Proposed Update	n/a
Revision	1

Summary

This document provides information about emoji characters in Unicode, including: which characters normally can be considered to be emoji; which of those should be displayed by default with a text-style versus an emoji-style; how to sort emoji characters more naturally; useful categories for character-pickers for mobile and virtual keyboards; useful annotations for searching emoji; and longerterm approaches to emoji.

It also presents recommendations for adding variation selectors for Unicode 8.0, and guidance for limiting glyphic variation to promote interoperability across platforms and implementations.

Status

This is a **working draft** document which may be updated, replaced, or superseded by other documents at any time. Publication does not imply endorsement by the Unicode Consortium. This is not a stable document; it is inappropriate to cite this document as other than a work in progress. Please submit corrigenda and other comments with the online reporting form [Feedback]. Related information that is useful in understanding this document is found in the <u>References</u>. For the latest version of the Unicode Standard see [Unicode]. For a list of current Unicode Technical Reports see [Reports]. For more information about versions of the Unicode Standard, see [Versions].

Contents

1 <u>Introduction</u> 1.1 <u>Encoding Considerations</u> 1.2 <u>Goals</u> 2 <u>Design Guidelines</u> 3 <u>Identification</u> 4 <u>Presentation Style</u> 5 <u>Sorting</u> 6 <u>Searching</u> 7 <u>Longer Term Solutions</u> 8 <u>Media</u> 9 <u>Data Files</u> 9.1 <u>Full Emoji List</u> <u>Acknowledgments</u> <u>References</u> <u>Modifications</u>

1 Introduction

WORKING DRAFT!

Emoji are pictographs (pictoral symbol characters) that can be presented in a colorful form. They represent things such as faces, weather, vehicles and buildings, food and drink, animals and plants, or icons that represent emotions, feelings, or activities. Emoji on smartphones and in chat and email applications have become quite popular worldwide.

The word *emoji* comes from the Japanese:

絵 (e \cong picture) 文 (mo \cong writing) 字 (ji \cong character).

Emoji became generally available in the early 2000s on Japanese cell phones. There was an <u>early proposal</u> (2000) to encode DoCoMo emoji in Unicode. At that time, it was unclear whether these characters would come into widespread use.

The emoji turned out to be quite popular, but each vendor developed different (but partially overlapping) sets, and each cell phone vendor used their own—incompatible—text encoding extensions. The vendors developed cross mapping tables to allow limited interchange of emoji characters with phones from other vendors, including email. Characters from other platforms that could not be displayed were represented with \equiv (U+3013 GETA MARK).

To avoid the problem of multiple incompatible text encodings for emoji, and to enable interchange with Unicode-based systems, work began in the late 2000s to standardize the Japanese cell phone emoji in Unicode. A set of 722 characters was defined as the union of the emoji characters used by the various Japanese cell phone vendors; of these, 114 were mapped to characters already in Unicode, and the remaining 608 characters were added in Unicode 6.0, released in 2010. Several other emoji characters were added to Unicode at the same time.

Pictographs had been present in Unicode since 1993, but the the first Unicode characters explicitly intended as emoji were added for interoperability with the ARIB set in 2009 with Unicode 5.2. The largest group of emoji were then added in 2010 with Unicode 6.0. A few more pictographs were added in 2012 with version 6.1, and a large number were added with Unicode 7.0.

Here is a timeline of when some of the major sources of emoji were encoded in Unicode:

Source	Dev.	Released	Unicode	Sample Character			
	Starts		Version	B&W	Color	Code	Name
Zapf	<u>1989</u>	1993	<u>1.1</u>			U+270F	pencil
Dingbats							
ARIB	2007	2008	<u>5.2</u>	ſ	ک	U+2614	umbrella with
							rain drops
Japanese	2007	2010	<u>6.0</u>	۲		U+1F60E	smiling face with
carriers							sunglasses
Wingdings	2010	2014	<u>7.0</u>	1		U+1F336	hot pepper
&							
Webdings							

For a view of when various source sets of emoji were added to Unicode, see <u>emoji-versions-sources</u> (the format is explained in <u>Data Files</u>). The correspondence to the original Japanese carrier symbols is in a data file <u>EmojiSources.txt</u>.

1.1 Encoding Considerations

Unicode is the foundation for all modern software: it's how all mobile phones, desktops, and other computers represent all text of every language. People are using Unicode every time they type type a key on their phone or desktop computer, and every time they look at a web page or text in an application. It is thus very important that the standard be stable, and that every character that goes into it be scrutinized carefully; thus there is a long development cycle for

characters, with a formal <u>process</u>. For example, the ****** *dark sunglasses* character was first proposed years before it was released in Unicode 7.0.

To be considered for encoding, characters must normally be in widespread use as textual elements. The emoji and various symbols were added to Unicode because of their use as characters for text-messaging in a number of Japanese manufacturers' corporate standards, and other places, or in long-standing use in widely distributed fonts such as Wingdings and Webdings. In many cases, the characters were added for complete round-tripping to and from a source set, *not* because they were inherently of more importance than other characters. For example, the *clamshell phone* character was included because it was in Wingdings and Webdings, not because it is more important than, say, a "skunk" character.

In some cases, a character was added to complete a set: for example, a *rugby football* character was added to Unicode 6.0 to complement the *american football* character (the *soccer ball* had been added back in Unicode 5.2). Similarly, a mechanism was added to represent all country flags (those corresponding to a two-letter <u>unicode region subtag</u>), such as the *flag for Canada*, even though the Japanese carrier set only had 10 country flags.

People wanting to submit emoji or any other character for consideration for encoding should see the detailed instructions about <u>how to submit character</u> <u>encoding proposals</u>. It may be helpful to review the <u>Unicode Forum</u> or the <u>Unicode Mail List</u>, as well.

Some historical documents used in the development of Unicode emoji from the Japanese carriers may be useful for comparison, since they show the original Japanese images and the first proposed reference glyphs:

- <u>emojidata.html</u> searchable doc, but the images for DoCoMo and SoftBank are currently blocked
- <u>emojidata.pdf</u> full list, with all images

The following were earlier versions of the proposal for the carrier emoji.

- <u>http://unicode.org/L2/L2007/07257-emoji-wd.html</u>
- http://unicode.org/L2/L2009/09025r2-emoji.pdf
- http://unicode.org/L2/L2009/09026-emoji-proposed.pdf

For more information about emoji, see the Unicode Emoji FAQ.

1.2 Goals

This document provides information on:

- design guidelines for improving interoperability across platforms and implementations
- · which characters normally can be considered to be emoji

- which of those should be displayed by default with a text-style versus an emoji-style
- · how to sort emoji characters more naturally
- useful categories for character-pickers for mobile and virtual keyboards
- · useful annotations for searching emoji
- · longer-term approaches to emoji

As new Unicode characters are added or the "common practice" for emoji usage changes, the data and recommendations supplied by this document may change in accordance. Thus the recommendations and data supplied by successive versions of this document may change.

This document does not discuss the issue of adding new emoji characters to Unicode after Unicode 7.0. Additions are being addressed by the Unicode Technical Committee.

Review Note: The data presented here is draft, and may change considerably before publication. Some the data presented here, such as collation or annotations, might end up in the Unicode CLDR project instead.

2 Design Guidelines

Characters can have two kinds of presentation:

- an *emoji presentation,* with colorful and perhaps whimsical shapes, even animated
- a text presentation, such as black & white

More precisely, a text presentation is a simple foreground shape whose color which is determined by other information, such as setting a color on the text, while an emoji presentation determines the color(s) of the character, and is typically multicolored.

Any Unicode character can be presented with text presentation, as in the Unicode charts. Both the name and the representative glyph in the Unicode chart should be taken into account when designing the apparance of the emoji, along with the images used by other vendors. The shape of the character can vary significantly. For example, here are just some of the possible images for U+1F36D LOLLIPOP, U+1F36E CUSTARD, U+1F36F HONEY POT, and U+1F370 SHORTCAKE:

	0	Q	٥
٩		٢	8
-	Č	9	8
	21		

While the shape of the character can vary significantly, designers should maintain the same "core" shape. For example, a U+1F36F HONEY POT encodes for a pictorial representation of a pot of honey, not for some semantic like "sweet". It would be unexpected to represent U+1F36F HONEY POT as a sugar cube, for example. Deviating too far from that core shape can cause interoperability problems: see <u>accidentally-sending-friends-a-hairy-heart-emoji</u>. Similarly, the original Unicode glyph for "pile of poo" is not a face, and does not have eyes. Direction (whether a person or object faces to the right or left, up or down) should also be maintained where possible, because a change in direction can change the meaning: when sending a transfer "crocodile shot by police", people expect any recipient to see the pistol pointing in the same direction as when they composed it. Similarly, the U+1F6B6 *pedestrian* should face to the left λ , not to the right.

General-purpose emoji for people and body parts should also not be given overly specific images: the general recommendation is to be as neutral as possible regarding race, ethnicity, and gender. Thus for the character U+1F64B *happy person raising one hand*, the recommendation is to use a neutral graphic like instead of an overly-specific image like . This includes the characters listed in the annotations chart under "human". The representative glyph used in the charts, or images from other vendors may be misleading: for example, the construction worker the may be male or female. For more information, see the Unicode Emoji FAQ.

Names of symbols such as BLACK MEDIUM SQUARE or WHITE MEDIUM SQUARE are not meant to indicate that the corresponding character must be presented in black or white, respectively; rather, the use of "black" and "white" in the names is generally just to contrast **filled** versus **outline** shapes, or a darker color fill versus a lighter color fill. Similarly, in other symbols such as the hands U+261A BLACK LEFT POINTING INDEX and U+261C WHITE LEFT POINTING INDEX, the words "white" and "black" also refer to outlined versus filled, and do not indicate skin color.

Flags should ideally be present for all of the BCP47 regions that are not deprecated, are not private use, and are not macroregions. This can be determined mechanically from data in <u>CLDR</u>. Flags for overseas territories may share the same flag as for the country.

Emoji are generally presented with a square aspect ratio, which presents a problem for flags. The flag for Qatar is over 250% wider than tall; for Switzerland it is square; for Nepal it is over 20% taller than wide. To avoid a ransom-note effect, implementations may want to use a fixed ratio across all flags, such as 150%, with a white band on the top and bottom. (The average width for flags is between 150% and 165%.) Flags are often best displayed with a faint border, otherwise the wrong impression of the shape is conveyed (especially for white sections): imagine the Qatar flag on a white background, or a Swiss flag on a red background.

3 Identification

This document provides a mechanism in the <u>Data Files</u> for determining the set of characters which are expected to have an emoji presentation, either as a default or as a alternate presentation. This data was derived by starting with the characters that came from the original Japanese sets, plus those that major vendors have provided emoji fonts for. Characters that are similar to those in shape or design were then added. Often these characters are in the same Unicode blocks as the original set, but sometimes not.

This document takes a functional view to the identification of emoji, which is that pictographs such as U+2388 HELM SYMBOL (introduced in Unicode 3.0) are categorized as emoji, since it is reasonable to give them either an emoji or text presentation, such as:



This follows the pattern set by characters such as U+260E BLACK TELEPHONE (introduced in Unicode 1.x), which can have either an emoji or text presentation, such as:



It does not add *non*-pictographs, even though some non-pictographs were incorporated into Unicode from emoji sources, such as:



Review Note: We would like feedback on characters that should be added to this list in the <u>Data Files</u>, or removed from it. Removal would be warranted if the character is really never suited for use in an emoji presentation.

Issue: the following 7.0 characters appear to be redundant; should we also mark them as emoji? (The <u>Symbola</u> font can be installed if you can't see these.):

Issue: there seems to be little practical value to emoji dominos ... , (since they are normally B&W), so they are currently excluded. Other excluded punctuation and symbols can be reviewed to see whether or not they should be included, at <u>other-labels.html.</u>]

4 Presentation Style

Certain emoji have defined variation sequences, where an emoji character can be followed by one of two invisible variation selector

- U+FE0E for a text presentation
- U+FE0F for an emoji presentation

For more information on these selectors, see the file <u>StandardizedVariants.html</u>. Some systems may also provide this distinction with higher-level markup, rather than variation sequences.

Review Note: This document does not discuss the issue of additional emoji characters after Unicode 7.0, whether for diversity or other purposes. However, the committee is considering additional variation selectors to indicate a preference among a small set of presentations for people emoji, such as male/female, or light/medium/dark skinned.

Implementations should support both styles of presentation for the characters with variation sequences, if possible. Most of these characters were emoji that were unified with preexisting characters. Because people are now using emoji presentation for a broader set of characters, it is anticipated that more such variation sequences will be needed.

Review Note: Wherever a character could reasonable be used with either presentation, variation sequences should be proposed for Unicode 8.0, scheduled for mid-2015.]

However, even where the variation selectors exist, it has not been clear for implementers what the *default* presentation for pictographs should be: emoji or text? That means that a piece of text may show up in a different style than intended when shared across platforms. While this is all a perfectly legitimate for Unicode characters—*presentation style is never guaranteed*—it is important to have a shared sense among developers of when to use emoji presentation by default, so that there are fewer unexpected and "jarring" presentations. That is, to promote interoperability across platforms and applications, implementations need to know what the generally expected default presentation is.

That is, there has been no clear line for implementers between three categories of Unicode characters:

- 1. those expected to have an emoji presentation by default, but can also have a text presentation
- 2. those expected to have a text presentation by default, but could also have an emoji presentation
- 3. those that should only have a text presentation

The data files associated with this document provides data to distinguish between the first two categories: see the **Default** column of <u>full-emoji-list</u>. The data assignment is based upon current usage in browsers for Unicode 6.3 characters. For other characters, especially the new 7.0 characters, the assignment is based on that of the related emoji characters. For example, the "vulcan" hand $\frac{10}{7}$ is marked as *emoji* because of the emoji styling currently given to other hands like $\frac{10}{7}$.

Review Note: We would like feedback on draft proposed default presentation in the <u>Data Files</u>: whether characters should have their defaults changed from emoji to text or vice versa.

5 Sorting

Neither the Unicode code point order, nor the standard Unicode Collation ordering (DUCET), are currently well suited for emoji, since they separate conceptually-related characters. For example, here is a selection of characters sorted by DUCET; to users this ordering appears quite random:



The <u>Data Files</u> propose an ordering for emoji characters that groups them together in a more natural fashion.

Review Note: We would like feedback on the proposed ordering in the <u>Data</u> <u>Files</u>. The eventual ordering would likely go into <u>CLDR</u>.]

6 Searching

Emoji are not typically typed on a keyboard. Instead, they are generally picked from a palette, or recognized via a dictionary. The mobile keyboards typically have a ⁽²⁾ button to select a palette of emoji, such as in the left image below. Clicking on the ⁽²⁾ button reveals a palette, as in the right image.



The palettes need to be organized in a meaningful way for users. They typically provide a small number of broad categories (5-10), such as People (anything associated with people), Nature, and so on. These categories typically have 100-200 emoji.

Annotations for emoji characters are much more finely grained keywords. They can be used for searching characters, and are often easier than palettes for entering emoji characters. For example, when you type "hourglass" on your mobile phone, you could see and pick from either of the matching emoji characters \mathbb{X} or \mathbb{X} . That is often much easier than scrolling through the palette and visually inspecting the screen. Input mechanisms may also map emoticons to emoji as keyboard shortcuts: typing :-) can result in \cong .

In some input systems, a word or phrase bracketed by colons is used to explicitly pick emoji characters. Thus typing in "I saw an *:ambulance:*" is converted to "I saw an \clubsuit ". For completeness, such systems can support all of the full Unicode names, even where long, such as *:first quarter moon with face:* for \clubsuit . Spaces within the phrase may be represented by _, as in "my *:alarm_clock:* didn't work".

Searching includes both searching for emoji characters in queries, and finding emoji characters in the target. These are most useful when they include the annotations as synonyms or hints. For example, when you search for \blacksquare on <u>yelp.com</u>, you see matches for "gas station". Conversely, searching for "gas pump" in a search engine could find pages containing \blacksquare . Similarly, searching for "gas pump" in an email program can bring up all the emails containing \blacksquare .

For both palette categories and annotations, there is no requirement for uniqueness: an emoji should show up wherever users would expect them. A gas pump
[■] might show up under "object" and "travel"; a heart ♥ under "heart" and "emotion", a [®] under "animal", "cat", and "heart".

Annotations are language-specific: searching on <u>yelp.de</u>, you'd expect a search for **s** to result in matches for "Tankstelle". Thus annotations need to be in multiple languages to be useful across languages. They should also include regional annotations within a given language, like "petrol station", which you'd expect search for **s** to result in on <u>yelp.co.uk</u>. An English annotation cannot

simply be translated into different languages, since different words may have different associations in different languages. The emoji **4** may be associated with Mexican or Southwestern restaurants in the US, but not be associated with them in, say, Greece. The scope of this document is limited to English annotations, but can provide an example for other languages.

The term *emoticon* refers to a series of text characters (typically punctuation or symbols) that is meant to represent a facial expression or gesture (sometimes when viewed sideways), such as the following.

;-) ^_^_^ •__• •__•

These examples use not only ASCII characters, but also U+203F ($_$), U+FE35 ($_$), U+25C9 (\odot), and U+0CA0 (\heartsuit). Emoticons may also be used as Emoji annotations, expecially for input. For example, the emoticon ;-) can be mapped to \boxdot in a chat window. The term *emoticon* is sometimes used in a broader sense, to also include emoji for facial expressions and gestures.

There is one further kind of annotation, called a *TTS name*, for text-to-speech processing. For accessibility when reading text, it is useful to have a short, descriptive name for an emoji character. A Unicode character name can often serve as a basis for this, but its requirements for name uniqueness often ends up with names that are overly long, such as *black right-pointing double triangle with vertical bar* for ►. TTS names are also outside the current scope of this document.

Review Note: There is a suggestion for acronyms for each of the emoji. Feedback on this suggestion would be welcome.

[Review Note: We would like feedback on changes to the annotations in the <u>Data</u> <u>Files</u>: additions, removals, or replacements. The eventual annotations would likely go into <u>CLDR</u>. One particular issue is whether or not to include forms of the same word: smile, smiles, smiling, smiled, smiley. The current policy is to only include a single form, assuming that any system using the annotations would handle related forms. However, the data has not been completely cleaned up to reflect that policy.]

7 Longer Term Solutions

The longer-term goal for implementations should be to support embedded graphics. That would allow arbitrary emoji symbols, and not be dependent on additional Unicode encoding. An example of where this was done is <u>Captain</u> <u>America Skype Emoji</u>. However, this requires significant infrastructure changes to allow simple, reliable input and transport of images in texting, chat, mobile

phones, email programs, virtual and mobile keyboards, and so on. Until that time, implementations will typically need to use plain-text Unicode emoji instead.

For example, one necessary infrastructure change is to adapt mobile keyboards. Enabling embedded graphics would involve adding an additional custom mechanism for users to paste in their own graphics, such as a + sign to add an image to the palette above. This would prompt the user to paste or otherwise select a graphic, and add annotations for dictionary selection.

Once this is done, the user could then select those graphics in the same way as selecting the Unicode emoji. If users started adding many custom graphics, the mobile keyboard might even be enhanced to allow ordering or organization of those graphics so that they can be quickly accessed. The extra graphics would need to be disabled if the target of the mobile keyboard (such as an email header line) would only accept text.

Other features required to make embedded graphics work well include the ability of images to scale with font size, inclusion of embedded images in more transport protocols, switching services and applications to use protocols that do permit inclusion of embedded images (eg, MMS versus SMS for text messages). There will always, however, be places where embedded graphics can't be used—such as email headers, SMS messages, or filenames. There are also privacy aspects to implementations of embedded graphics: if the graphic itself is not packaged with the text, but instead is just a reference to an image on a server, then that server could track usage.

8 Media

There's been considerable media attention to emoji in 2014. There were some 6,000 articles on the emoji appearing in Unicode 7.0, according to Google News. Here are some examples of recent news about emoji (as of this writing):

Source	Title
Typographica	Typeface Review: Apple Color Emoji
The Colbert Report	Emoji Ethnicity
The Wall Street	<u>Emoji Origins</u>
Journal	
The Verge	Emoji invades Twitter on the web
Wired	Game of Thrones Fans, Here's Your Season Three
	<u>Recap — In Emoji</u>
Huffington Post	Google Chrome Prank Translates Every Single Word
	<u>Into Emoji</u>
Marketplace (public	You can now search Yelp for emojis
radio)	

Huffinton Post	You Can Now Use Emojis To Search On Yelp, And
	<u>It's Not As Pointless As It Sounds</u>
iDiversicons	Emoticons for You Representing an entire world
	of faces
CNET Japan	<u>Carriers unifying on Unicode Emoji</u> (machine-
	translated English version)
Vox	<u>Where Emoji come from</u>
Tom Scott	Why Do Flag Emoji Count As Two Characters?
The Wall Street	There's No Hot Dog Emoji, but New Characters Do
Journal	Include a Hot Pepper
NPR	Why 140 Characters, When One Will Do? Tracing
	<u>The Emoji Evolution</u>
Fast Company	Where Do Emoji Come From?
New Republic	A Peek Inside the Non-Profit Consortium That
	<u>Makes Emoji Possible</u>
Dissolve Footage	Emoji Among Us: The Documentary
Time	Here Are Rules of Using Emoji You Didn't Know You
	<u>Were Following</u>
Know Your Meme	<u>Emoticons</u>
New York Times	The Emoji Have Won the Battle of Words

Review Note: These are useful to give context during development of this document. But we might remove them or move them elsewhere (such as the Unicode Emoji FAQ) if we think they'll go stale.]

People have written online tools for seeing usage of emoji, such as <u>Emoji</u> <u>Tracker</u> and <u>Silicon Feelings</u>, and animations such as <u>emoji.zone</u>. It's also become popular to "translate" lyrics or sayings into the closest emoji, such as:



9 Data Files

This is a working draft document, and the data is supplied for now in HTML files, so that people can see sample appearances for the characters. The available files are:

File	Description
<u>full–emoji–list</u>	

	the main file: a list with images showing
	depictions from different sources, and the
	default status and annotations. For the column
	descriptions, see <u>Full Emoji List</u> .
<u>emoji-data.txt</u>	a plaintext file with the information from the
	html file, plus the ordering. For now, the U+ is
	present, to make importing into a spreadsheet
	easier
<u>missing-emoji-list</u>	a list with images showing where sources don't
	have emoji images. The images are <i>not</i> what
	would appear in that source; instead, they show
	cases that are marked <i>missing</i> for that source in
	the <u>full-emoji-list</u> file. So, for example, the
	image of 🖀 in the Android column means that
	that character (U+260E <i>black telephone</i>) is
	marked as <i>missing</i> for Android in <u>full-emoji-list</u> .
	Characters in a "common" row are missing in all
	of the sources: the image of I there means
	that <i>all</i> the sources are missing the Canadian
	flag.
<u>emoji–list</u>	an abbreviated list showing characters, not
	images. For checking browser/platform support.
<u>emoji–style</u>	the proposed default presentation style for each
	character. Separate rows show the presentation
	with and without variation selectors, where
	applicable. Flags are shown with images. <i>Also in</i>
	column 6 of <u>Full Emoji List</u> .
<u>emoji-labels</u>	characters grouped by palette category. These
	are building blocks for palette categories, which
	would group some of these together.
<u>emoji-annotations</u>	characters grouped by annotation. Also in
	<i>column 7 of <u>Full Emoji List</u>.</i> The annotations are
	meant to be used in combination to winnow
	down the matches, so <i>:face moon:</i> would match
	the characters annotated with both "face" and
	with "moon".

<u>emoji–ordering</u>	draft ordering of emoji characters that groups like characters together. <i>Unlike the labels or</i> <i>annotations, each character only occurs once.</i>	
<u>other-labels</u>	other general symbols and punctuation. That can	
	be used to scan for other characters that might	
	qualify for emoji presentation.	
emoji-versions	a view of when different emoji were added to	
	Unicode, by Unicode version.	
emoji-versions-sources	a view of when different emoji were added to	
	Unicode, and the sources. (See the Version	
	information in <u>Full Emoji List</u> for the source	
	description.) The sources indicate where a	
	Unicode character corresponds to a character in	
	the source. In many cases, the character had	
	already been encoded well before the source was	
	considered for other characters.	

These are all live documents and may be updated or changed at any time during the draft development process.

Typically, hovering over an image usually shows the code point and name, and clicking on the image goes to the respective row in the <u>Full Emoji List</u>. Each image has the respective character as an alt value, so copying the image into plain text should (OS permitting) give the plain text character for that image.

The <u>Symbola</u> font can be installed for a readable text presentation where the emoji presentation or black&white fonts are not available on your browser. Your browser's zoom is also useful for examining the characters and images.

9.1 Full Emoji List

For the <u>full-emoji-list</u> file, the columns are:

Column	Description
Count	A line count, for reference.
Code	The code point(s) for the emoji characters. Some rows
	have more than one codepoint where a sequence is
	required, such as for flags and keycaps. Clicking on the
	code point puts a link to that row in the address bar.
Browser	The plaintext character, showing whatever image would
	be native for the browser.

B&W	The visual appearance of the codes, using the Unicode Chart font, plus PNGs for the flags.			
Apple,	Low resolution images from the respective sources for			
Android, Twitter, Windows	 Note that for the cells marked <i>missing</i>, there are sometimes B&W images that would appear on the 			
	source that are not shown here. For example, U+2639 (2) is shown as <i>missing</i> for Apple, but there are B&W images for it available on Apple platforms. Such cases should be fixed in a future version of these charts.			
Name	The character name in lowercase (or an informative gloss, for the case of flags and keycaps).			
Version	The version of Unicode in which the emoji was added (o will be, for Unicode 7.0). A superscript indicates the source of the character. Where a Unicode character corresponds to multiple sources, multiple superscripts will be present. The sources are:			
	<i>z</i> ZDings <i>a</i> ARIB	Zapf Dingbats		
	<i>j</i> JCarrier	Japanese telephone carriers		
	w WDings	Wingdings and Webdings		
	x Other	other sources		
Default	The draft proposed default presentation style. A *			
	indicates that there are variation selectors (text and emoji) for the character.			
Annotations	A rough-draft list of informative annotations. Clicking on a link goes to the respective row in the <u>emoji-</u> <u>annotations</u> .			

Because the name and code point are already present, hovering or clicking on an image don't have the same effect as in other files. However, the alt values are still present for cut and paste into plaintext.

Acknowledgments

Mark Davis and Peter Edberg created the initial versions of this document, and maintain the text.

Thanks to Norbert Lindenberg, Ken Lunde, Katsuhiko Momoi, Katrina Parrott, Markus Scherer, and Ken Whistler for feedback on this document, including earlier versions.

References

[Review Note: We'll flesh out the references later.]

- [Unicode] The Unicode Standard For the latest version, see: http://unicode.org/versions/latest/
- [UTR36] UTR #36: Unicode Security Considerations http://unicode.org/reports/tr36/
- [UTS39] UTS #39: Unicode Security Mechanisms http://unicode.org/reports/tr39/
- [Versions] Versions of the Unicode Standard <u>http://unicode.org/versions/</u> *For details on the precise contents of each version of the Unicode Standard, and how to cite them.*

Modifications

The following summarizes modifications from the previous revisions of this document.

Revision 1

- First working draft based on Feb 2014 UTC discussions
- Added draft data files (as HTML for viewing)
- Updated text, changed files to use images for viewing across platforms.
- Updated as per May 2014 UTC discussion.
- Additions based on other feedback.
- Moved some background material from the introduction into Background; changes some lists into tables for ease of reading.
- Cleaned up the text based on feedback from the editorial committee.

Copyright © 2008-2014 Unicode, Inc. All Rights Reserved. The Unicode Consortium makes no expressed or implied warranty of any kind, and assumes no liability for errors or omissions. No

liability is assumed for incidental and consequential damages in connection with or arising out of the use of the information or programs contained or accompanying this technical report. The Unicode <u>Terms of Use</u> apply.

Unicode and the Unicode logo are trademarks of Unicode, Inc., and are registered in some jurisdictions.