In the current code charts of CJK Compatibility Ideographs Supplement block, there is/are other corresponding or relative characters information under some CJK compatibility ideographs, such as U+2F89C, U+2F9B2 and so on as below.

1. U+2F82C and U+20984
The glyph shapes between U+2F82C and U+20984 are unifiable or similar.

I request adding U+20984 as the relative character under U+2F82C.

2. U+2F83B and U+4DB8
The glyph shapes between U+2F83B and U+4DB8 are unifiable or similar.

I request adding U+20984 as the relative character under U+2F82C.
I request adding U+4DB8 as the relative character under U+2F83B. Notice that U+4DB8 is the disunifiable non-cognate character from U+5406 pointed out by Ming Fan in IRGN2338.

3. U+2F860 and U+216A7
The glyph shapes between U+2F860 and U+216A7 are unifiable or similar.

![Fig. 3.1 U+2F860](image1)
![Fig. 3.2 U+216A7](image2)

I request adding U+216A7 as the relative character under U+2F860. Notice that U+216A7 and U+216A8 are non-cognates in Kangxi Dictionary.

![Fig. 3.3 Kangxi Dictionary, P. 254](image3)

4. U+2F874 and U+22450
The glyph shapes between U+2F874 and U+22450 are unifiable or similar.

![Fig. 4.1 U+2F874](image4)
![Fig. 4.2 U+22450](image5)

I request adding U+22450 as the relative character under U+2F874.
5. \textit{U+2F878 and U+4DB9}

The glyph shapes between \textit{U+2F878} and \textit{U+4DB9} are unifiable or similar.

\textbf{Fig. 5.1 U+2F878} \hspace{1cm} \textbf{Fig. 5.2 U+4DB9}

I request adding \textit{U+4DB9} as the relative character under \textit{U+2F878}.

Notice that \textit{U+4DB9} is the disunifiable non-cognate character from \textit{U+5C6E} pointed out by Ming Fan in IRGN2338.

6. \textit{U+2F8F0 and U+4DBE}

The glyph shapes between \textit{U+2F8F0} and \textit{U+4DBE} are unifiable or similar.

\textbf{Fig. 6.1 U+2F8F0} \hspace{1cm} \textbf{Fig. 6.2 U+4DBE}

I request adding \textit{U+4DBE} as the relative character under \textit{U+2F8F0}.

Notice that \textit{U+4DBE} is the disunifiable non-cognate character from \textit{U+238A7} pointed out by Ming Fan in IRGN2338.

7. \textit{U+2F8FD and U+2DC09}

The glyph shapes between \textit{U+2F8FD} and \textit{U+2DC09} are unifiable or similar.

\textbf{Fig. 7.1 U+2F8FD} \hspace{1cm} \textbf{Fig. 7.2 U+2DC09}

I request adding \textit{U+2DC09} as the relative character under \textit{U+2F8FD}.

Notice that T6-2C51 is non-cognate from \textit{U+6CCD} pointed out by Henry Chan in IRGN2207.

8. \textit{U+2F905 and U+23D40}

The glyph shapes between \textit{U+2F905} and \textit{U+23D40} are unifiable or similar.

\textbf{Fig. 8.1 U+2F905} \hspace{1cm} \textbf{Fig. 8.2 U+23D40}

I request adding \textit{U+23D40} as the relative character under \textit{U+2F905}.
9. U+2F90E and U+23F1C
The glyph shapes between U+2F90E and U+23F1C are unifiable or similar.

Fig. 9.1 U+2F90E  Fig. 9.2 U+23F1C

I request adding U+23F1C as the relative character under U+2F90E.

10. U+2F91C and U+242B3
The glyph shapes between U+2F91C and U+242B3 are unifiable or similar.

Fig. 10.1 U+2F91C  Fig. 10.2 U+242B3

I request adding U+242B3 as the relative character under U+2F91C.

11. U+2F927 and U+2AEC5
The glyph shapes between U+2F927 and U+2AEC5 are unifiable or similar.

Fig. 11.1 U+2F927  Fig. 11.2 U+2AEC5

I request adding U+2AEC5 as the relative character under U+2F927.

12. U+2F943 and U+2511A
The glyph shapes between U+2F943 and U+2511A are unifiable or similar.

Fig. 12.1 U+2F943  Fig. 12.2 U+2511A

I request adding U+2511A as the relative character under U+2F943.

13. U+2F94B and U+25271
The glyph shapes between U+2F94B and U+25271 are unifiable or similar.

Fig. 13.1 U+2F94B  Fig. 13.2 U+25271

I request adding U+25271 as the relative character under U+2F94B.
14. List
I list the information of all the previous characters mentioned above as below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CJK Comp.</th>
<th>Relative</th>
<th>Unifiable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U+2F82C</td>
<td>U+20984</td>
<td>U+5349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U+2F83B</td>
<td>U+4DB8</td>
<td>U+5406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U+2F860</td>
<td>U+216A7</td>
<td>U+216A8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U+2F874</td>
<td>U+22450</td>
<td>U+5F53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U+2F878</td>
<td>U+4DB9</td>
<td>U+5C6E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U+2F8F0</td>
<td>U+4DBE</td>
<td>U+238A7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U+2F8FD</td>
<td>U+2DC09</td>
<td>U+6CCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U+2F905</td>
<td>U+23D40</td>
<td>U+6D85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U+2F90E</td>
<td>U+23F1C</td>
<td>U+6DF9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U+2F91C</td>
<td>U+242B3</td>
<td>U+7145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U+2F927</td>
<td>U+2AE5</td>
<td>U+24814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U+2F943</td>
<td>U+2511A</td>
<td>U+25119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U+2F94B</td>
<td>U+25271</td>
<td>U+4046</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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