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1 Introduction

This is a proposal to encode a new character in the Khojki block of the Unicode standard:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glyph</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Character Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>🕯️</td>
<td>U+1123F</td>
<td>KHOJKI LETTER QA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This letter was described in “Final Proposal to Encode the Khojki Script in ISO/IEC 10646” (L2/11-021), but was not included in the repertoire due to insufficient information at that time (p. 13). Now, a decade later, I’ve had the occasion to analyze Khojki manuscripts and printed books that attest to the identity of 🕯️ as a semantically distinct character. The evidence supports encoding the letter in Unicode, which will further enable the complete and accurate representation of Khojki documents in digital plain text.

The proposed character name for 🕷️ is KHOJKI LETTER QA, which references the primary sound value expressed by the letter. I have designed the representative glyph to conform with the letterforms used in the Khojki code chart, which are based on the ‘Khojki Jiwa’ font designed by Pyarali Jiwa.

2 Description

In Indic languages the voiceless uvular stop /q/ found in Arabic loanwords — represented by ﻞ U+0642 ARABIC LETTER QAF — is pronounced using the voiceless velar stop /k/. Accordingly, when Arabic words are transliterated into Indic scripts, the /q/ is represented using the letter KA, which expresses /k/. In northern Indic scripts, the combining sign NUKTA may be written with KA to explicitly signal that the letter conveys a non-Indic sound akin to /k/, which readers generally interpret as the Arabic /q/. Khojki follows the same convention: the /q/ is commonly written as /k/ using 🕛 U+11208 KHOJKI LETTER KA or it may be specifically referenced by placing 🕛 U+11236 KHOJKI SIGN NUKTA above KA, ie. 🕛.

There is another, unique method for representing Arabic /q/ in Khojki. In several handwritten and printed documents, the letter 🕷️ is used concurrently with 🕛 to differentiate /q/ and /k/. The origins of 🕷️ are unknown. Its shape suggests a relationship to 🕛 ka, of which it may be a regional alternate or a historical
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form. Indeed, र and ख have the same archetype, distinguished by their initial strokes, but possessing the same central and terminal strokes. The ख is not described in Khojki script primers or shown in conventional charts of the script. But, it is documented in the scholarly literature, eg. in The Harvard Collection of Ismaili Literature in Indic Languages (p. 294), compiled by Ali S. Asani (1992):

Both ख qa and र ka occur concurrently in manuscripts and printed books. But, there is no formal convention for usage of ख, and the letter is not used consistently across the sources. For example, not all words with /q/ are rendered using ख, and is several records such words are written with र. It is true that there are some sources in which ख is used for representing /k/, but these instances are idiosyncratic, and may be typographical errors. In L2/11-021 (p. 13), I showed the following entry from the Harvard catalogue (p. 283) to suggest that ख is a variant form of KA:

However, based on my analysis of this text, the gloss “ख = k” in the entry may be an error; it should refer to “qāf”. Throughout this text the ख is used for /q/, not /k/; excerpt for one instance in which the letters are reversed for these values. The ख is used contrastively with र, which is the regular form of /k/ in this text (see below for the example of haqīqātī from this very text). Moreover, in the numerous sources that I’ve consulted, when ख occurs in a document, it is always used concurrently with र, which is in turn always the normative form of KA.

The usage of ख for /q/ is a significant innovation. It indicates a conscious effort by writers and printers of Khojki texts to contrast /q/ from /k/ not by using the typical combination of KA + NUKTA, but by using distinctive letters. If ख is palaeographically a stylistic variant of KA, Khoja scribes may have been inspired by the graphical similarity of the form to र KA, such that they could assign to it a new value with phonetic similarity to U+n, in order to align similar letterforms with similar sound values. Given this similarity, it is quite possible that ख was intentionally created by scribes as a modification of र. Its concurrent usage with र established ख as a distinctive letter of the script with its own semantic identity.

The ख is also notable as, to my knowledge, it is the only letter in any northern Indic script that is used distinctively for expressing /q/ independent of the letter for /k/. Apart from this letter, all other Arabic
phonemes without Indic analogues, such as /ʕ/, /ħ/, /x/, /ɣ/, /z/, and /f/, are represented in Khojki using the sign NUKTA, eg. 𑈀 a, 𑈂 ha, 𑈃 ha, 𑈄 ga, 𑈅 za, 𑈆 fa. Moreover, Khojki lacks a distinctive letter for the basic, high-frequency Arabic and Indic phoneme /ʃ/= Brahmic SHA, which is graphically assimilated in the script with /s/ = 𑈩 U+11229 KHOJKI LETTER SA, and represented using NUKTA, eg. 𑈩 𑈶 śa. Even SA + NUKTA does not uniquely express /ʃ/ as it is also used for the Arabic /θ/ and /s/. Yet, Khojki users developed a distinctive method for writing /ʃ/.

3 Examples of Usage

Regular usage of 𑈂 (red) contrasted with 𑈁 (blue) in manuscripts is shown below. For each excerpt, the name of the manuscript is given, as well as the Khojki word containing the letter qa and the corresponding Arabic term. Note: the visible, vowel-suppressing sign VIRAMA is conventionally indicated in these written records using ◌ U+1122D KHOJKI VOWEL SIGN I or its variant form ◌, depending upon the base letter, instead of the representative glyph ◌ U+11235 KHOJKI SIGN VIRAMA.

From Kalāmi hazrat sultānil aulīā: 𑈪𑈙 haqīqat = Arabic خقيقة ḥaqīqat

From Kalāmi hazrat sultānil aulīā: 𑈉𑈧 maqbul = Arabic مقبول maqbūl

From Mi‘rāj nāma: 𑈛 vaqt = Arabic وقت waqt

From Rasālo hazrat emām jāfar sādhikjo: 𑈪 haq = Arabic خق ḥaq
Regular usage of ’acc’ (red) contrasted with ’acc’ (blue) in printed documents is shown below. Here also, the name of the source is given, as well as the Khojki word containing the letter qa and the corresponding Arabic term.

From *Elam sār sañgrah rāg mālā* : ’acc’ haq = Arabic َحَقَّ haq

From *Elam sār sañgrah rāg mālā* : ’acc’[‘] maqam = Arabic مَقَام maqam

From *Ārtī dhūā vakhat trejī sāñjījā ćogaḍīā 5* : ’acc’ haqīqatī = Arabic حَقِيقَتِي haqīqatī

From *Phaṃdhīāt zavāmardhī* : ’acc’ haq = Arabic َحَقَّ haq

There are also irregular usages of ’acc’. Shown below is contrastive usage of ’acc’ (blue) and ’acc’ (red), where ’acc’ written with NUKTA as ’acc’ in the word ‘Qurān’, the holy book of Islam. Such usage may be intended to emphasize the /q/ value of the letter, especially for words of significance to Islamic tradition.

The choice to use ’acc’ likely depends upon the scribe’s or printer’s awareness of the original spelling of Arabic words. The level of awareness can affect consistency usage of te letter, especially in handwritten documents. For example, a scribe may write a word with /q/ using ’acc’ ka, then when writing the word again, realize the /k/ is actually /q/. An example of such an orthographic ‘minimal pair’ occurs in the *Kalāmi hazrat sultānil auṭā*, in the transliteration of the Persian term عقل مند ‘ql mand’, which is first written with /q/ simplified to /k/ as َعَقِلَ مَنْدَ, then with preservation of /q/ in َعَقِلَ مَنْدَ: 
Similarly, a well-intentioned, but inaccurate assumption that the /k/ in an Arabic word is a /q/ can lead a scribe or printer to an erroneous spelling. Such a confusion of /q/ for /k/ is seen in the following excerpt from Elam sār saṅghraḥ rāg mālā, in which Arabic ḥikmat is spelled as Khojki *hiqmat:

In Ārtī dhūā vakhat tredjī sāñjījā ćogaḍīā 5, there is one instance in which the values of /q/ and /k/ are switched in words of Arabic origin. In this single instance, /q/ is used instead of the expected /k/ in Arabic كبر akbar, and /k/ is used instead of the expected /q/ in قوَّت quwwat:

Idiosyncratic usage of /q/ in a given text may be part of textual transmission. Some printed versions of the ginān titled “Kalām-e Maula” retain two spellings of the Arabic كلام kalām: كلام and قلم qalām, which are semantically identical. The preservation of idiosyncratic usage is observed in the version of the text printed in Elam sār saṅghraḥ rāg mālā:

The same pair of spellings is also observed in the following printed edition of the ginān:
There are cases where ख is used in high-frequency loanwords, such as खा haq = Arabic خ haq, but not for other Arabic words containing /q/ that occur within the same line of a text, eg. खा बक्र bakr = Arabic بقر baqr and खा ख सदिका sādika = Arabic صادق sādiq, shown below. Here, bākara is part of a longer phrase मुहम्मद बाकरा = Muhammad al-Baqir and सदिका is part of a longer phrase जफर al-Ṣādiq; these are the fifth and sixth imām-s of Shia Islam. The spelling of these names using ख instead of ख may be the conventional representation of the names of Shia imām-s in Khojki.

In all of the Khojki sources discussed above there is concurrent usage of ख and खा. Based upon the ‘regular’ usage of ख and खा for /q/ and /k/, it is evident that the writer or printer intended to use the two letters for different purposes. Even in ‘irregular’ cases where the sound values of the letters are switched, the letters are used contrastively. In printed texts, such discrepancies may be intentional and guided by the need to preserve the fidelity of the original manuscript upon which the text is based.

As Khojki is a liturgical script of Ismaili communities of South Asia, it is important that the Unicode repertoire for Khojki provide all characters required for the distinctive representation of records in digital plain text. To further enable such support, the ख KHOJKI LETTER QA should be encoded in the standard.

4 Collation

The ख KHOJKI LETTER QA should be sorted after खा U+11208 KHOJKI LETTER KA and before खा U+11209 KHOJKI LETTER KHA.

5 Glyph Interactions

The behavior of ख KHOJKI LETTER QA when combining with dependent vowel signs follows that for U+11208 KHOJKI LETTER KA. The excerpt below from From Kalāmi hazrat sultānil aulīā shows ख combined with खा U+1122D KHOJKI VOWEL SIGN II rendered as खा (red), as compared to the same vowel combination with खा, rendered as खा (blue):
6 Input Methods

On digital keyboards with touch-and-hold features, the KHOJKI LETTER QA should be added to the character palette for the U+11208 KHOJKI LETTER KA key. On other keyboards it may be placed on a SHIFT, CTRL, or ALT layer, mapped to the same key as U+11208 KHOJKI LETTER KA.

7 Character Data

Character Properties: UnicodeData.txt

1123F;KHOJKI LETTER QA;Lo;O;L;;;;;N;;;;;

Linebreaking Properties: LineBreak.txt

1123F;AL # Lo KHOJKI LETTER QA

Indic Syllabic Category: IndicSyllabicCategory.txt

1123F ; Consonant # Lo KHOJKI LETTER QA
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