## Addressing inconsistencies in UAX #31

To: UTC

From: Robin Leroy, Mark Davis, Source code ad hoc working group

Date: 2022-07-21

While working on UAX #31, the source code ad hoc working group noticed some inconsistencies in Unicode Standard Annex #31 *Unicode Identifier and Pattern Syntax*. These have been called out by review notes in revision 36, draft 5 of the annex for Unicode 15.0 $\beta$ .

This document proposes changes to UAX #31 to address these inconsistencies.

## I. Proposed changes to Section 2.3 Layout and Format Control Characters.

Revision 36, draft 5 has the following paragraph and review note.

Variation selectors, in particular, including standardized variants and sequences from the Ideographic Variation Database, are not included in the default identifier syntax. These are subject to the same considerations as for other Default\_Ignorable\_Code\_Points listed above. Because variation selectors request a difference in display but do not guarantee it, they do not work well in general-purpose identifiers. The NFKC\_Casefold operation can be used to remove them, along with other Default\_Ignorable\_Code\_Points. However, in some environments it may be useful to retain variation sequences in the display form for identifiers. For more information, see *Section 1.3*, *Display Format*.

Review Note: The sentence "Variation selectors [...] are not included in the default identifier syntax" is incorrect: The variation selectors, as well as other default ignorable code points, are part of XID\_Continue.

**Proposal:** Change the paragraph above the review note, and add a paragraph mentioning the General Security Profile, as follows.

While not all Default\_Ignorable\_Code\_Points are in XID\_Continue, the variation selectors are included in XID\_Continue. These variation selectors are used in standardized variation sequences, sequences from the Ideographic Variation Database, and emoji variation sequences. However, they are subject to the same considerations as for other Default\_Ignorable\_Code\_Points listed above. Because variation selectors request a difference in display but do not guarantee it, they do not work well in general-purpose identifiers. A profile should be used to remove them from general-purpose identifiers (along with other Default\_Ignorable\_Code\_Points), unless their use is required in a particular domain, such as in a profile that includes emoji. For such a profile it may be useful to explicitly retain or even add certain Default\_Ignorable\_Code\_Points in the identifier syntax.

In any environment where the display form for identifiers differs from the form used to compare them, Default\_Ignorable\_Code\_Points should be ignored for comparison. For example, this applies to case-insensitive identifiers, and in particular for any implementation that uses the

NFKC\_Casefold operation, which ignores Default\_Ignorable\_Code\_Points. For more information, see Section 1.3, Display Format.

The General Security Profile defined in Section 3.1, General Security Profile for Identifiers, in *UTS #39, Unicode Security Mechanisms* [UTS39], excludes all Default\_Ignorable\_Code\_Points by default, including variation selectors.

## II. Proposed changes to <u>UAX31-R7 Filtered Case-Insensitive Identifiers</u>.

Revision 36, draft 5 has the following review note.

Review Note: The last sentence of this requirement incorrectly refers to Normalization Form. It should read "Except for identifiers containing excluded characters, allowed identifiers must be in the specified case folded form Normalization Form".

**Proposal:** Change the requirement according to this review note, as follows:

*UAX31-R7*. *Filtered Case-Insensitive Identifiers:* To meet this requirement, an implementation shall specify either simple or full case folding, and adhere to the Unicode specification for that folding. Except for identifiers containing excluded characters, allowed identifiers must be in the specified case folded form Normalization Form.

## III. Proposed changes to the note in <u>UAX31-R7</u>.

Revision 36, draft 5 has the following review note.

Review Note: \P{isCasefolded} is the wrong set to disallow, as that disallows neither case, but disallows numbers. It is the set \p{Changes\_When\_Casefolded} that should be disallowed.

**Proposal**: change the note as follows: