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Background Documents

L2/17-041 Request to change glyphs of Kannada letters Vocalic L and Vocalic LL and their vowel signs – Srinidhi and Sridatta
L2/17-127 Feedback and Response on L2/17-041: Request to change glyphs of Kannada letters Vocalic L and Vocalic LL and their vowel signs – Anderson
L2/17-153 Recommendations to UTC #151 May 2017 on Script Proposals. Section 5, pg 4.
L2/17-160 On the glyph changes for Kannada Vowels Vocalic L/LL- Shriramana Sharma

Introduction

This document requests to change the representative glyphs of four Kannada characters. It also provides additional attestations from the manuscripts as requested by Script Ad hoc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Current Glyphs</th>
<th>Proposed Glyphs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0C8C</td>
<td>KANNADA LETTER VOCALIC L</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0CE1</td>
<td>KANNADA LETTER VOCALIC LL</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0CE2</td>
<td>KANNADA VOWEL SIGN VOCALIC L</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0CE3</td>
<td>KANNADA VOWEL SIGN VOCALIC LL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background and Recommendations

The current glyphs as given in L2/17-160 are mostly due to lack of proper glyph support in few early printed books of that time. As these letters are very rare, few printers have
used Devanagari-like glyphs. The usage of these glyphs is uncommon. These are not seen in any handwritten manuscripts examined so far and thus cannot be considered original and appropriate representative glyphs in Kannada script.

Many examples are given from handwritten manuscripts and printed records for proposed glyphs. These forms are evolutionarily associated with Southern Brahmi-derived scripts of Malayalam, of Tulu-Tigalari, of Grantha, of Balinese and of Khmer.

In the script review doc L2/17-153 p 4 had expressed that it is unclear whether the vertical line is a critical component of the dependent form. The vertical stroke is clearly seen in combination of dependent forms with other consonants from the same source.

Thus, it is requested that the glyphs given in this document which are original and unique to Kannada based on native texts like manuscripts and inscriptions be used for above four characters in the Code chart.

**Major Variations**

There are variations (1 & 2) with vertical stroke or curve. Vocalic LL also has further variations as seen in B and C. are chosen for representative glyphs for the code chart as these are more common in records and suit the nature of Kannada script. Rest should be considered as variants and managed through fonts. The variations also apply to corresponding vowel signs.
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Attestations from Handwritten manuscripts and inscriptions

Figure 1. Palmleaf manuscripts showing Vocalic L and Vocalic LL.

Figure 2. A palm leaf manuscript showing the alphabet having Vocalic LL. Vocalic L is missing.
Figure 3. A palmleaf manuscript of Sanskrit Grammatical work siddhāntakaumudī. It includes māheśvarasūtrāṇi. Source: https://archive.org/details/TMkf_81-siddhanta-koumudi-kannada-scr-sanskrit-language-damaged-folios-jangamwadi-math-collection/

Figure 4. Another palmleaf manuscript of Sanskrit Grammatical work siddhāntakaumudī.
Figure 5. A palmleaf manuscript of Kannada grammatical work- Śabdamaṇidarpaṇa.

Figure 6. Another paper manuscript of Kannada grammatical work- Śabdamaṇidarpaṇa.
Figure 7. A manuscript showing Vocalic L with other letters.

Figure 8. A religious manuscript having the Kannada alphabet.
Figure 9. A religious manuscript having the Kannada alphabet.

Figure 10. A handwritten manuscript of the 19th century having the Kannada alphabet.


Figure 11. A Kannada inscription of Jain Siddhachakra showing the letter Vocalic L along with other vowels.
Figure 12. A Sanskrit inscription written in Old Kannada script belonging to Western Chalukya dynasty dated śaka 535 showing the vowel sign vocalic l in the word ‘sakḷptaḥ’ from which modern form is derived (from Fleet 1881:73).

Figure 13. A Hoysala inscription from Keresante, Chikkamagaluru district showing the letters Vocalic L and Vocalic LL along with other letters.
Attestations from printed books

The sixteen vowels are as follows: ఎ అ ఉ ఇ ఔ ఔ ఔ ఔ ఔ ఔ ఔ ఔ ఔ ఔ ఔ ఔ ఔ. The two letters that belong to either class are ఎ and ఔ; and the thirty-eight consonants are thus arranged: ఖ క క క క క క క క క క క క క క. The above-mentioned fifty-six letters, forty-seven belong to the pure Carnāṭaka; and four of them, viz. జ ఢ ఐ and ఔ exclusively so. The remaining nine, viz. ఍ ఍ ఍ ఍ ఔ ఔ ఔ and ఔ have been introduced into the alphabet for the purpose of expressing sounds that are peculiar to the Sanscrit.

These four letters are only used in Sanscrit words. Their pronunciation on the western side of the Peninsula, and, generally speaking, in Southern India, is rū rū and lū lū; the u possessing nearly the same sound that it has in French in the words nue, flux, tueut, salut, &c.

Figure 14. Use of VOCALIC L and VOCALIC LL in a Kannada grammar (from M'Kerrell).
Figure 15. Use of VOCALIC L and VOCALIC LL in book- *Hosagannada nudigannadi*. (from Krishnamacharya).

Figure 16. An excerpt from a Kannada Grammar book showing the letters Vocalic L and Vocalic LL (from Campbell).
Figure 17. A table showing the letters Vocalic L, Vocalic LL and their vowel signs in Kannada script (from Harkness 1837).

Figure 18. Use of VOCALIC L and VOCALIC LL in book- Šabdamanidarpaṇa (from Kittel).