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Abstract

The Punctuation of Old Turkic writing system is not in Unicode. It is clearly an inseparable part of the script.

In this proposal we provide the evidence that these characters have been used and show an example case in another script.

Introduction

Old Turkic writing system has punctuation. The character is common and is a standard symbol.

This punctuation is: (multiple) and sometimes a variant is used as (single).

Since there are two variants, two consecutive CodePoints are needed in the Unicode Standard.

Suitability for inclusion

These symbols are characters according to the definition in the Glossary, and do not appear in the Archive of Notices of Non-Approval. As of this writing, they are not included in the Unicode Pipeline Table or BETA.

Non-inclusion of these symbols would impair researchers, historians, technical writers and readers since punctuation is part of Old Turkic writing system and must be used.
Evidence of use

Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 show evidence of the use of each of these variants in ancient media. Fig. 3 shows an example in a modern document.

Figure 1: Example of usage in running text from Second Tonyukuk Monument (image taken from Radloff (1995))

Figure 2: Example of usage in running text from Irk Bitig (image taken from Guru (750AD))

Figure 3: Example of usage in one of the publications of W. Radloff (image taken from Radloff (1995))
How the punctuation is used

Old Turkic Punctuation is used between words as well as punctuation at the end of a sentence and a fin of a paragraph. Briefly it is used as:

- Word separator
- Phrase separator
- Sentence separator

Character properties

Old Turkic is in Plane 1 (SMP) and is a right-to-left script, therefore punctuation should follow suite. Proposed characters should be placed directly after last character which is ⬕ BASH 10C48. Proposed characters should be kept together in a contiguous range.

Suggested character properties for the proposed glyphs are:

1. 10C49;OLD TURKIC MULTIPLE PUNCTUATION;Po;0;R;;;;;N;;;;;
2. 10C4A;OLD TURKIC SINGLE PUNCTUATION;Po;0;R;;;;;N;;;;;

Proposed glyphs are given in tbl. 1 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>CodePoint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>:</td>
<td>Multiple Punctuation</td>
<td>10C49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>Single Punctuation</td>
<td>10C4A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These two are essentially same character with an artistic difference similar to many of the same glyph (which already exist in the Old Turkic Block) with Orkhon and Yenisei variant.
An example punctuation can be found in Runic Script which is shown in tbl. 2 below.

Table 2: Punctuation example From Runic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>CodePoint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>Single Punctuation</td>
<td>16EB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>:</td>
<td>Multiple Punctuation</td>
<td>16EC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Drawing the symbols**

The proposed characters are part of Old Turkic. They may seem similar in appearance to other characters and could be confused. Examples are given below.

- Proposed : **Multiple Punctuation 10C49** compared to **Colon 003A**
- Proposed : **Multiple Punctuation 10C49** compared to **Two Dot Punctuation 205A**
- Proposed : **Single Punctuation 10C4A** compared to **Full Stop 002E**

To avoid confusion these considerations should be regarded properly.

**Other Considerations**

Other characters such as **Two Dot Punctuation 205A** is being used to fulfill the need for punctuation for the time being. But these are problematic like sprinkling a left-to-right character over a right-to-left text body and cause some challenges in terms of text styling, word-
wrapping etc. Therefore Old Turkic Block should have its own punctuation.

Since the punctuation is also used as a space in the Old Turkic writing it should do line-breaking in the digital version.

**Summary and conclusion**

The punctuation symbol : and its variant • are part of Old Turkic writing system and it is necessary that they are included in Unicode.

If a real world example desired to be seen please see [YAZGA - APP](#)

**Correspondence**

Email and other information can be found at [YAZGA - HOME](#)
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