

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC2/WG2/
Ideographic Rapporteur Group

Source / Contribution Identifier: MS

Meeting: IRG#25 @ Berkeley, CA

Title: Typical Rationale for Error Report against Published Standard

Keywords: (none)

Status: Personal Contribution

Short Description: to be discussed on Editorial Group Meeting, IRG #25

Proposed Conclusion / Requested Action: to be discussed at IRG #25

Arguments / Text of Contribution: See attachments.

Typical Rationale for Error Report against Published Standard

2005-11-30
Masahiro Sekiguchi

When an editor submit an error report against ideographs that are already published in ISO/IEC 10646, the Rationale column in the submission form should show one from the list below.

1. Possible rationale for unification

If your report is of type “Two existing codepoints should have been unified”, use rationale from the following list:

(a) Identical glyph shape.

Supply which glyph and which glyph are considered identical; if the said code point shows multiple glyphs, e.g. “Glyph of 2XXXX and K column of YYYY.”

(b) Direct appliation of Annex S.1.5 example.

Supply which parts in the said ideographs are to be unified based on which example, e.g., “Upper right components of 2XXXX and J column of YYYY, based on the second example of Annex S.1.5 a).”

(c) Appliation of Annex S.1.5 rule with no applicable example.

Supply which parts in the said ideographs are to be unified based on which rule, and an explanation why you considered the difference in question falls into the said rule, e.g., “Lower right components of 2XXXX and 2YYYY, based on the Annex S.1.5 b), The difference here is just a same type of difference as in the sixth and seventh example to the rule.”

(d) Regressive use of source separation example in first half of Annex S.3

Supply which example is regressively applicable to which parts of the glyphs, e.g., “Phonetics of 2XXXX and 2YYYY, the third example of S.3”

(e) Use of multi-column codechart in the standard:

Supply which columns of which codepoint is applied to which parts, e.g., “Upper components of 2XXXX and 2YYYY, J and K columns of ZZZZ.”

(f) Referencing past decision

Supply which decision is applicable to which part. Unfortunately, we have no good way to refer to the past decision. IRG needs more discussion...

(g) Other reason:

Explain freely in detail.

2. Possible rationale for disunification

If your report is of type “Two glyphs allocated to a single codepoint should have been disunified”, use rationale from the following list (with some additional information similar to the cases in 1.):

(a) Completely different glyph (A mistake...)

(b) Direct application of Annex S.1.4 example

(c) Application of Annex S.1.4 rule with no exactly applicable example

(d) Regressive use of non-source code separation example in the last half of Annex S.3

(e) Use of existing codepoints

NOTE: Since we have source code separation cases other than those listed in Annex S.3, or Extension B still has some error cases, use of existing codepoints requires detailed evaluation.

(f) Referencing past decision

(g) Other reason

(END OF DOCUMENT)