

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC2/WG2/
Ideographic Rapporteur Group

Source / Contribution Identifier: Japan

Meeting: IRG#26 @ Hue, VietNam

Title: Proposition of CJK-C1 development

Keywords: (none)

Status: For discussion

Short Description:

Proposed Conclusion / Requested Action: None

Arguments / Text of Contribution: See attachments.

As resolved in the last WG2 meeting in April, WG2 expects IRG to finalize CJK-C1 development at the IRG#26 for submission to the next WG2 meeting (WG2 M48.39). WG2 also decided to re-issue the PDAM3, effectively delaying the amendment 3 for five months (WG2 M48.37). Thinking of the schedule, CJK-C1 seems to have a better chance to go in Amd 3 if we finalize the draft at this meeting with sufficient quality.

Because WG2 has no future amendment plans to 10646 beyond Amd 3 yet, any additions come after Amd 3 will require some long time to be a standard. We need to make CJK C1 ready for submission on time, if we really want it to be standardized timely.

This paper describes some concerns, at Japan's viewpoint, related finalizing the draft for discussion.

Firstly IRG should continue reviewing draft to ensure the quality. You may think that the quality of CJK-C1v61 can be accepted by WG2 because of the effect of reduction of the volume and adoption of the IDS scheme. However, at the last meeting, we planned two more review cycles and we know that more errors always might be found after the completion.

Also, be careful that modifying the draft after submission may cause WG2 distrust of the maturity. So in case of finding errors, we should collect and submit as a report to WG2 at an appropriate time such as voting.

Secondly we have more things to do other than reviewing draft but we haven't discussed about them.

(1) High quality font (TrueType), or *camera-ready copy* of code chart

IRG usually generated and submitted *camera-ready copy* of code chart to WG2. If we take the same way, IRG should decide by when, by whom and how to prepare what. Whatever IRG's submissions are, we should make the schedule during this meeting and act for it. Don't forget they need to be reviewed by members.

(2) Evidences

As agreed in IRG N1179, we should prepare evidences for all C1 characters to ensure the quality before finalizing the draft. They might be prepared before the vote of FDAM3, planned at 2007-05.

At least we've better to submit hundreds of samples along with the C1 draft to confirm if WG2 can accept them as evidences.

(END OF DOCUMENT)