Universal Multiple-Octet Coded Character Set
UCS

ISO/IEC JTC1/SC2/WG2 IRG N 1534

Date: 2008-12-11

Doc. Type:	Individual contribution
Title:	Comments on IRGN1499
Source:	John Knightley
Action:	to be discussed
Distribution:	IRG Members and Ideographic Experts
Medium:	Electronic

Whilst many of the suggested compatibility glyphs suggested are obviously unifiable with the representative glyphs shown, there are a number of cases that give cause for concern. Examples of the different types of causes for concern are shown below. All such cases should be clearly indentified and appropriate action taken.

1) The two glyphs shown are already encode separately
   1.1) Suggested by error, namely that the compatibility glyph has been suggested because of a lapse in awareness that the character is already encoded.

   U+8861 of which the suggested compatibility is in Extension B

   1.2) Suggested deliberately, in which case some explaination would make things clearer.

Cf page 3 U+6703. Why not compatibility of U+66FE 曾 rather than U+6703 會?

2) The suggested compatibility glyph would be encoded separately.

Cf U+7469

3) Whether or not such a glyph would be encoded separately is unclear, in which case some indication would be helpful.

Cf U+E412, page 3 JTB657 and, and page 54 JTBD2D JTBD38)