## Universal Multiple-Octet Coded Character Set UCS

ISO/IEC JTC1/SC2/WG2/IRG N1992

Date: 2014-04-23

Source: Jaemin Chung

Title: Extension F1 and Extension E unification issue

Status: Member body contribution
Action required: To be considered by the IRG

Pages: 1

I checked the consolidated comments of IRG N1979, and those comments do not cover Extension E characters except one comment (00121 = U+2C037).

I found out that at least five characters (including 00121) in CJK F1 v2.0 (IRG N1979) are already in Extension E (WG2 N4571), which is soon to be encoded. Since there will be no more changes to Extension E at this point, the following four characters and 00121 will be encoded as Extension E characters and need to be excluded from CJK F1.

(Note that this list is not exhaustive; there may be more duplicates.)

SN1	Image 1	SN2	Image 2	Type	Comment	Note	
01528	<b>埼</b> JMJ-059453	U+2BB8D (Ext E)	琦	Unification	Extension E (WG2 N4571)	2BB8D ± 32.9	<b>订</b> TD-2424
03958	次 KA-KC01992	U+2C1E0 (Ext E)	滅	Unification	Extension E (WG2 N4571)	2C1E0 水 85.6	波 TC-3E37
04374	美 KA-KC05339	U+2C2D6 (Ext E)	菱	Unification	Extension E (WG2 N4571)	2C2D6 火 86.15	美 GKX-0686.18
07025	<u>越</u> JMJ-060209	U+2C98C (Ext E)	越	Unification	Extension E (WG2 N4571)	2C98C 走 156.6	<u></u> TD-4628

(End of document)

Title: Feedback to N1992, Result of Preliminary Check between Ext. E IDS and Ext. F IDS.

Source: Taichi Kawabata

Date: 2014/05/19

Status: Individual Contribution

Action: FYI

When Ext. F project had started, the Ext. E was not in FDIS stage, therefore machinery comparison of Ext. F was executed between URO, Ext. A – Ext. D. As a response to Jaemin Chung's survey in IRG N1992, a preliminary machinery comparison between Ext. E (in FDIS chart) IDS and Ext. F was tested. The pairs to be checked are following:

Z_SAT01687	06446	(F2)	<u></u> z_SAT01687	U+2C7D3	2C7D3 対 140.16	<b>動</b>	<u></u> 蘇
Z_SAT60405	00121	(F1)	复 Z_SAT60405	U+2C037	2C037	易 UTC-00113	
JMJ-059384	00762	(postponed)	<b>肋</b> JMJ-059384	U+2B9B1	2B9B1 力 19.8	<b></b> 可 TC-4465	

The 3 pairs are the pairs which the glyphic differences are quite small, almost negligible. I will continue IDS comparison to search the pairs with non-negligible but unifiable difference.

(end of document)