Date: 2025/10/14

## SAT Feedback on "Request to move SAT-60289 from U+2E30B to U+809E" (IRG N2854)

Source: SAT Committee

Status: Member Submission

Action: For consideration by IRG

## 1. Response and analysis

We appreciate the valuable contribution on U+2E30B, including new pieces of evidence, in <u>IRG</u> <u>N2854</u>. However, after our inspection, the new facts seem to create no changes in the situation we originally described in <u>IRG N2218 Response</u>.

IRG N2854, citing 《漢文佛典疑難俗字彙釋與研究》, points out that the character which appears in the original text of 慧琳一切經音義 vol. 45, where the SAT character is sourced, is probably a variant of 杈 (U+6748) or 煩 (U+29540). We agree with this and believe that the latter is more probable.

At the same time, 《漢文佛典疑難俗字彙釋與研究》 recognizes that the same character appears in 龍龕手鏡, where it is a synonym of 艖 (U+4424). It concurs with the Kangxi Dictionary's definition of 肞 (U+809E). As of Unicode 17.0, the G source glyph of U+809E derive from 龍龕手鏡, and the T and J sources from Kangxi.

809E 肉 130.3

JJ.X GLK-4212074 肞

蚁

14-24/0

J1-556E



Since UCV #127 allows unification of  $\mathbb Z$  and  $\mathbb Z$ , and UCV #247 of  $\mathbb Z$  and  $\mathbb Z$ , we are not immediately sure which of U+809E or U+2E30B is clearly better to encode SAT-60289.

As for the character recommended as the alternative representative glyph in IRG N2854, it is clearly an error form of  $\mathbb{R}$  (U+4011) that we are currently reluctant to opt for encoding. (Actually, that is the original source of glyph we replaced with the current one.)

## 2. Possible actions

- Any inputs from Japan are welcome.
- If IRG experts see fit, we can also assign a new SAT source to the 慧琳一切經音義 vol. 37 character for U+2E30B, acknowledging that it is an error form, and move the current source to U+809E.
- As a speculation, U+2E30B might be a duplicate of U+809E. The G-source glyph of U+809E (□月叉) is only introduced on Unicode 12.0 (2019), following <u>IRG N2376</u>. Code charts from 5.2 (2009) through 11.0 (2018) all show □月义 forms consistently, though those before 5.1 (2008) have □月叉 as single-slot glyphs.



Hence, at the point U+2E30B was encoded, experts are possibly unaware of U+809E being in a unifiable range. If that is the case, a cleaner solution would be mark U+2E30B as a duplicate character in any way.

(End of document)