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Ref WG2 N1727.

In Seattle, there was a draft proposal (or idea) about Ruby marker characters (N1727). Japan was asked to comment on it prior to WG2 London (if possible UTC).

There are two different view available.

View-1  
As far as Ruby, the proposed method is very similar to the real practice used in Toppan, so, it would be one of the way. In that sense, a possibility of YES is very high.

View-2  
On the other hand, if we take the proposed method as a general approach to any of "interlinear object" There are several in Japan, and Ruby is one of them, the proposal is not general enough to support all of the objects.

The proposal may be good when the object is a string of graphic characters and when the main body which the object to be attached is also a string of graphic characters.  
The interlinear objects are not only in this model, this is why the generalize is needed.

Japan supports the view-2  
To pursue “generalized solution” opportune at first.  
This means, it would be better to postpone the decision and open a group whose duty is to survey more about the "interlinear object".
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