# JTC1/SC2/WG2 N2612-7

## L2/03-193

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2 PROPOSAL SUMMARY FORM TO ACCOMPANY SUBMISSI FOR ADDITIONS TO THE REPERTOIRE OF ISO/IEC 1064 Please fill all the sections A, B and C below.	
(Please read Principles and Procedures Document for guidelines and details before See http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html for latest A	
See <u>http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/principles.html</u> for latest <i>Principles and Pro</i> See <u>http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/roadmaps.html</u> for latest road	cedures document.
A. Administrative	
1. Title: Proposal to encode additional Punctuation Characters in the UCS	
2. Requester's name: Thesaurus Linguae Graecae Project (University of California	a, Irvine) and UTC
3. Requester type (Member body/Liaison/Individual contribution): Expert Con	ntribution
4. Submission date: 2003-06-11	
5. Requester's reference (if applicable):	
6. This is a complete proposal:	
B. Technical - General	
1. (Choose one of the following:)	
a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters):	
Proposed name of script:.	
b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block:	Yes
Name of the existing block: Supplemental Punctuation (	(2E0D-2E0F)
2. Number of characters in proposal:	3
3. Proposed category (see section II, Character Categories):	Category C
4. Proposed Level of Implementation (1, 2 or 3) (see clause 14, ISO/IEC 10646-1: 2000):	Level 1
Is a rationale provided for the choice? If Yes, reference:	
5. Is a repertoire including character names provided?	Yes
a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the 'character naming guidelines	
in Annex L of ISO/IEC 10646-1: 2000?	Yes
b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review?	Yes
<ul> <li>6. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font (ordered preference: True Type, or Populishing the standard?</li> <li>David Perry and TLG Projeting in the standard?</li> <li>David Perry and TLG Projeting in the standard?</li> </ul>	ect (True Type) c.) and indicate the tools
used: TLG Project, mcpantel@uc 7. References:	i.euu
a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provid	led? Yes
b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, of	
of proposed characters attached?	Yes
8. Special encoding issues:	
Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable	) such as input,
presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information	on)? No
9. Additional Information: The property for these char	acters is Po
Submitters are invited to provide any additional information about Properties of the proposed	
will assist in correct understanding of and correct linguistic processing of the proposed chara	
of such properties are: Casing information, Numeric information, Currency information, Dis	
such as line breaks, widths etc., Combining behaviour, Spacing behaviour, Directional behav behaviour, relevance in Mark Up contexts, Compatibility equivalence and other Unicode nor	
information. See the Unicode standard at <u>http://www.unicode.org</u> for such information on ot	
http://www.unicode.org/Public/UNIDATA/UnicodeCharacterDatabase.html and associated U	<b>Unicode Technical Reports</b>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Form number: N2352-F (Original 1994-10-14; Revised 1995-01, 1995-04, 1996-04, 1996-08, 1999-03, 2001-05, 2001-09)

C. Technical - Justification	
1. Has this proposal for addition of character(s) been submitted before?	No
If YES explain	
2. Has contact been made to members of the user community (for example: National Body, us characters, other experts, etc.)?	er groups of the script or Yes
If YES, with whom?	
Proposal has been reviewed by Professors Roger Bagnall, Columbia University, University, William Johnson, University of Cincinnati, Michael Haslam, Univer Angeles. Earlier versions of this proposal have been posted online and received members of the profession.	sity of California, Lo
If YES, available relevant documents:	
3. Information on the user community for the proposed characters (for example: size, demogratechnology use, or publishing use) is included? Reference:	aphics, information <b>Scholars</b>
4. The context of use for the proposed characters (type of use; common or rare)	Greek texts
Reference:	See proposal
5. Are the proposed characters in current use by the user community?	Yes
If YES, where? Character present in various editions of Greek te	
scholars of Greek.	and used by
Reference:	See proposal
6. After giving due considerations to the principles in <i>Principles and Procedures document</i> (a	
document) must the proposed characters be entirely in the BMP?	Yes
If YES, is a rationale provided? Accordance	with the Roadmap
If YES, reference:	
7. Should the proposed characters be kept together in a contiguous range (rather than being sc	attered)? Yes
8. Can any of the proposed characters be considered a presentation form of an existing character or character sequence?	No
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?	110
If YES, reference:	
9. Can any of the proposed characters be encoded using a composed character sequence of eith existing characters or other proposed characters?	her No
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?	
If YES, reference:	
10. Can any of the proposed character(s) be considered to be similar (in appearance	
or function) to an existing character?	No
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?	
If YES, reference:	
1. Does the proposal include use of combining characters and/or use of composite sequences (see clauses 4.12 and 4.14 in ISO/IEC 10646-1: 2000)?	No
If YES, is a rationale for such use provided?	
If YES, reference:	
Is a list of composite sequences and their corresponding glyph images (graprovided?	aphic symbols)
If YES, reference:	
12. Does the proposal contain characters with any special properties such as control function or similar semantics?	No
If YES, describe in detail (include attachment if necessary)	
13. Does the proposal contain any Ideographic compatibility character(s)?	No
If YES, is the equivalent corresponding unified ideographic character(s) is	dentified?
If YES, reference:	

#### Introduction

Ancient Greek scribes generally wrote continuously without separating letters into words. To facilitate reading of ancient texts, editorial characters were added. These characters have been preserved and are also used in modern editions of the texts.

The **Two Dot Punctuation** was used to indicate the end of a sentence or change of speaker. The lower dot should be placed at the baseline, the upper dot should be placed at the top of the line.

The **Four Dot Punctuation** and **Dotted Cross** were used in the margin as highlighter marks to indicate points of interest in the text or the final stanza of a hymn. For the Four Dot Punctuation, the middle dots appear half way up the line. The upper dot appears just above the line and the lower dot just below the line (see examples below).

The property for these characters is "Po".

#### **Bibliography**

Diekamp, F., Doctrina patrum de incarnatione verbi (Münster, 1907)

- M. Erler, Über Vorsehung und Schicksal [Beiträge zur klassischen Philologie 111] (Meisenheim am Glan, 1979)
- Grenfell, B.P. & Hunt, A.S. et al, *The Oxyrhynchus Papyri*, multiple volumes (London, 1898 onwards)
- Hiller von Graetringen, F., Inscriptiones Graecae I. Editio Minor (Berlin, 1924)
- Kenyon, F.G., The Palaeography of Greek Papyri. (Oxford, 1899)

Kenyon, F.G., "Abbreviations and Symbols in Greek Papyri" in Oikonomides, A. N. (ed.), *Abbreviations in Greek Inscriptions: Papyri, Manuscripts and Early Printed Books* (Chicago, 1974)

Mai, A. & Cozza-Luzi, J., Novae Patrum Biblithecae, vol. 10/1 (Rome, 1905) 265

McNamee, K., Sigla and Select Marginalia in Greek Literary Papyri. (Papyrologica Bruxellensia 26) (Brussels, 1992)

*Thesaurus Linguae Graecae, Beta Code Manual* (University of California, Irvine, 2002)

Turner, E.G. with Parsons, P.J., *Greek Manuscripts of the Ancient World* 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. (London, 1987)

### Examples



Theodosius Dyrrhachiensis, Opus alphabeticum de eutaxia<sup>3</sup>

DOTTED CROSS ECOOV το εριπα dai £1 έςτιν τὰ ώ(μ)οιω Doctrina Patrum, page 297 line 5<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Hiller von Graetringen, F., Inscriptiones Graecae I. Editio Minor (Berlin, 1924) 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Mai, A. & Cozza-Luzi, J., Novae Patrum Biblithecae, vol. 10/1 (Rome, 1905) 265

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Diekamp, Franz, *Doctrina patrum de incarnatione verbi* (Münster, 1907)

#### SUPPLEMENTAL PUNCTUATION

•	2E0D TWO DOT PUNCTUATION
	2E0E FOUR DOT PUNCTUATION
· · · ·	2E0F DOTTED CROSS